
Accepted Manuscript

Title: Simple and sensitive HPLC-UV method for determination of bexarotene in rat plasma

Author: Jong Bong Lee Atheer Zgair Tae Hwan Kim Min Gi Kim Sun Dong Yoo Peter M. Fischer Pavel Gershkovich



To appear in: Journal of Chromatography B

 Received date:
 6-10-2016

 Revised date:
 11-11-2016

 Accepted date:
 16-11-2016

Please cite this article as: Jong Bong Lee, Atheer Zgair, Tae Hwan Kim, Min Gi Kim, Sun Dong Yoo, Peter M.Fischer, Pavel Gershkovich, Simple and sensitive HPLC-UV method for determination of bexarotene in rat plasma, Journal of Chromatography B http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2016.11.024

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Simple and sensitive HPLC-UV method for determination of bexarotene in rat plasma

Jong Bong Lee¹, Atheer Zgair^{1,2}, Tae Hwan Kim³, Min Gi Kim³, Sun Dong Yoo³, Peter M

Fischer¹, Pavel Gershkovich^{1,*}

¹ School of Pharmacy, Centre for Biomolecular Sciences, University of Nottingham, University

Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, United Kingdom

² College of Pharmacy, University of Anbar, Anbar, Iraq

³ School of Pharmacy, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Gyeonggi-do, 16419, Republic of

Korea

* Corresponding author:

Pavel Gershkovich, PhD

Division of Medicinal Chemistry and Structural Biology School of Pharmacy Centre for Biomolecular Sciences The University of Nottingham University Park, NG7 2RD Nottingham, UK Tel: +44 (0) 115 846 8014 Fax: +44 (0) 115 951 3412 Email: <u>Pavel.Gershkovich@nottingham.ac.uk</u>

Highlights

- Bioanalytical method for determination of bexarotene in rat plasma was developed
- Full validation was performed including samples stability studies
- Applicability was demonstrated by pharmacokinetic study in rats
- The suitability of the method was also assessed for human and mouse plasma

ABSTRACT

Bexarotene is currently marketed for treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma and there has been growing interest in its therapeutic effectiveness for other cancers. Neuroprotective effects of bexarotene have also been reported. In this study, a simple, sensitive and cost-efficient bioanalytical method for determination of bexarotene in rat plasma was developed and fully validated. The method utilises protein precipitation with acetonitrile and liquid-liquid extraction with n-hexane-ethyl acetate (10:1, v/v). An HPLC-UV system with a Waters Atlantis C18 column and a mobile phase of acetonitrile-ammonium acetate buffer (10 mM, pH 4.1) at a ratio of 75:25 (v/v), flow rate 0.2 mL/min was used. Chromatograms were observed by a UV detector with wavelength set to 259 nm. Intra- and inter-day validations were performed and sample stability tests were conducted at various conditions. The applicability of the method was demonstrated by a pharmacokinetic study in rats. Intravenous bolus dose of 2.5 mg/kg was administered to rats and samples were obtained at predetermined time points. As a result, pharmacokinetic parameters of AUC_{inf} (4668 \pm 452 h·ng/mL), C₀ (6219 \pm 1068 ng/mL) and t_{1/2} (1.15 \pm 0.02 h) were obtained. In addition, the developed method was further applied to human and mouse plasma to assess the suitability of the method for samples from other species.

KEYWORDS

Bexarotene, HPLC-UV, preclinical pharmacokinetics, rat plasma, human plasma, mouse plasma

1. Introduction

Bexarotene is an orally bioavailable synthetic rexinoid that selectively binds to retinoid X receptors [1]. It was the first selective retinoid that entered clinical trials [2] and it is currently approved for treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL) in patients whose disease is refractory to at least one systemic chemotherapy [3]. Following the approval, the efficacy and safety of bexarotene was shown in refractory early-stage CTCL [4] and other related conditions such as panniculitis-like T-cell lymphoma [5]. Bexarotene also showed certain promise in non-small cell lung cancer [6-9]. In addition, clinical trials are currently ongoing for efficacy in acute myeloid leukaemia [10, 11] and other tumours such as aerodigestive tract cancer [12], breast cancer [13], metastases of differentiated thyroid carcinoma [14] and keratoacanthomas [15].

In the past few years, additional attention was drawn to bexarotene as it was reported to have neuroprotective effects [16, 17]. Preclinical studies have shown that bexarotene reverses cognitive and neuronal impairments and improves neural circuit function with a mechanism related to apolipoprotein E, therefore providing a new strategy for treatment of Alzheimer's disease [18, 19]. These results were followed by additional studies which showed neuroprotective effects of bexarotene in Parkinson's disease [20]. Although some debate on its effectiveness in neurodegenerative diseases still remains [21, 22], a clinical trial of bexarotene in Alzheimer's disease is ongoing and a clinical trial in healthy volunteers to elucidate its neuroprotective mechanism is also being conducted [17]. Other clinical trials investigating potential indications of bexarotene include trials in chronic severe hand dermatitis [23], lymphomatoid papulosis [24], psoriasis [25], alopecia areata [26], schizophrenia [27] and Cushing's disease [28].

In response to the extensive clinical research of bexarotene, interest in mechanistic preclinical studies to explore its benefits in cancer and other diseases persists. Apart from the already known anticancer effects such as inhibition of cell cycle progressions and induction of apoptosis [29], studies have demonstrated that bexarotene induces differentiation of cells, which can lead to treatment of cancer [30, 31]. It also prevents multidrug resistance, which is a major problem in chemotherapies [32] and other studies have shown that it has anticancer effects by inhibition of angiogenesis and metastasis [33]. Preventive effects of bexarotene have also been elucidated in lung cancer [34, 35], breast cancer [36] and intestinal cancer [37]. Other preclinical studies include research in neurodegenerative diseases as previously mentioned [38, 39], and also research in cholesterol homeostasis [40, 41] and pharmacokinetic studies to interpret relationships between exposure and effects [6]. Additionally, recent pharmaceutical research of nano-crystalisation of bexarotene has been reported with bioanalysis of plasma samples for pharmacokinetics [42, 43]. Unfortunately, these studies have not included a validation of the analytical methods used.

Previously published analytical methods for quantification of bexarotene in biological matrices include an assay that involves high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with fluorescence detection [44]. This method achieved good sensitivity with lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) of 0.5 ng/mL and has been applied to clinical pharmacokinetic studies. However, it is limited by the fact that it requires a high volume of plasma (1 mL) to achieve this sensitivity. This could be a major limitation for preclinical studies in rodents as sample volume is usually substantially lower in these studies. Furthermore, the calibration curve of this method was separated into a low-range and a high-range, and the two ranges utilised different instruments for analysis. Additionally, there was no full validation of that analytical method to meet the guidelines proposed by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [45].

Another published method employed gas chromatography-mass spectrometry to achieve LLOQ of 1 ng/mL, but requires a chemical derivatisation step which makes the method timeconsuming, complicated and expensive [46]. A more simple analytical method using HPLC-UV has been reported but this study was focused on the metabolic pathway of bexarotene [47]. Therefore it did not report specific details on the analytical procedure such as LLOQ, quantification range, use of an internal standard and validation.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to develop a simple, sensitive and cost-efficient bioanalytical method for determination of bexarotene in rat plasma, utilising low-volume samples and a high-range calibration curve. The assay was developed using HPLC coupled with ultra-violet (UV) detection and full validation of the method was performed to meet the regulations of the FDA. The developed and validated method was applied to a pharmacokinetic study of intravenous bolus administration in rats. The suitability of the method for mouse and human plasma was also assessed in this study.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals and reagents

Bexarotene (CAS: 153559-49-0) was purchased from LC Laboratories (Woburn, MA, USA). For internal standard (IS), Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol (CAS: 1972-08-3) was used (from THC Pharm GmbH (Frankfurt, Germany)). Rat and mouse plasma were purchased from Sera Laboratories (West Sussex, UK) and human plasma from TCS Biosciences (Buckingham, UK). All solvents were of HPLC grade and were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Leicestershire, UK). All other reagents were purchased from commercial sources and were of HPLC grade or higher.

2.2. Instrumentation

2.2.1. Analytical equipment

The HPLC-UV system consisted of a Waters Alliance 2695 separations module coupled with a Waters 996 photodiode array detector. The samples in the autosampler were maintained at 4°C and a column oven was used to control the column temperature at 40°C. EmpowerTM 2 software was used for data processing.

2.2.2. Analytical conditions

Chromatographic separation was achieved by Waters Atlantis C18 2.1 × 150 mm, 5 μ m particle size column (Milford, MA, USA) equipped with a SecurityGuard 2 × 4 mm, 3 μ m particle size (Phenomenex, Macclesfield, UK). A mobile phase mixture of acetonitrile/ammonium acetate buffer (10 mM, pH modified to 4.1 with glacial acetic acid) was used in a ratio of 75:25 (v/v). The mobile phase was eluted with isocratic conditions at 0.2 mL/min. The analytes were detected at 259 nm.

2.3. Sample preparation

2.3.1. Preparation of calibration curve standards and quality control samples

Stock standard solutions of bexarotene and IS were prepared at concentration of 1 mg/mL in acetonitrile and were stored at -20°C. Working standard solutions of bexarotene were prepared by diluting the stock standard solution with acetonitrile to yield concentrations of 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 5, 10, 50 and 100 μ g/mL. Working standard solution of the IS was prepared in the same manner to yield a concentration of 100 μ g/mL. Plasma calibration curve samples were prepared by spiking 100 μ L of plasma with 10 μ L of corresponding working standard solutions of bexarotene to yield concentrations of 10, 20, 50, 100, 500, 1000, 5000 and 10000 ng/mL.

Working standard solutions of bexarotene for quality control samples were prepared in a similar procedure at concentrations of 0.1, 0.25, 4 and 80 μ g/mL. Ten μ L of working standard solutions were spiked to 100 μ L of plasma to give lower limit of quantification (LLOQ), low quality control (LQC), medium quality control (MQC) and high quality control (HQC) samples at concentrations of 10, 25, 400 and 8000 ng/mL, respectively. These calibration curve and quality control samples then underwent sample preparation procedure as described below.

2.3.2. Sample preparation procedure

For the sample preparation, 10 μ L of IS solution (100 μ g/mL) was added to 100 μ L of plasma sample. Protein precipitation of the samples was performed by adding 300 μ L of acetonitrile. For pH modification, 300 μ L of 0.1 M HCl was then added. The samples were briefly vortexmixed for 1 min and extraction solvent (3.3 mL) consisting of n-hexane-ethyl acetate (10:1, v/v) was added. The samples were vortex-mixed for 10 min and were centrifuged at 1160 *g* for 10 min at 10°C (Harrier 18/80R, UK). The upper organic layer was transferred and evaporated to dryness under gentle stream of N₂ gas at 40°C (Techne DRI-Block type DB-3D, Cambridge, UK). Reconstitution solvent (100 μ L) of acetonitrile-water (1:1, v/v) was added to the residue and the samples were vortex-mixed for 10 min. A volume of 40 μ L was injected into the HPLC-UV system for analysis.

2.4. Bioanalytical method validation

Full validation of the bioanalytical method was conducted in accordance with the guideline established by the FDA [45].

2.4.1. Selectivity

The selectivity of the developed bioanalytical method was assessed by comparing the

chromatograms of plasma samples spiked with bexarotene (n = 6) at the LLOQ with the chromatograms of blank plasma samples. It was also assessed in plasma samples obtained from the pharmacokinetic experiment in rats [45].

2.4.2. Accuracy and precision

Accuracy was the bias from nominal concentration and was expressed as relative error (RE). Precision was the coefficient of variation and was expressed as relative standard deviation (RSD). The intra-day accuracy and precision were validated by preparing and analysing six replicates of QC samples (LLOQ, LQC, MQC and HQC) on the same day. The inter-day accuracy and precision were validated by preparing and analysing six replicates of QC samples on six different days. The acceptable values for accuracy and precision were RE within $\pm 15\%$ and RSD $\leq 15\%$, respectively, with the exception of RE within $\pm 20\%$ and RSD $\leq 20\%$, respectively, for the LLOQ.

2.4.3. Sensitivity

The LLOQ was determined as the lowest tested concentration of bexarotene in spiked plasma that had acceptable accuracy and precision of RE within $\pm 20\%$ and RSD $\leq 20\%$, respectively, from intra-day and inter-day analyses [45].

2.4.4. Linearity

Calibration curves were constructed at the range of 10 - 10000 ng/mL as described above with 10 ng/mL as LLOQ. A double blank sample (unspiked plasma) and a blank sample (plasma spiked with IS solution only) were included in each calibration curve. The peak ratio between bexarotene and the IS was plotted against nominal concentration to obtain calibration curves.

Calibration curves with correlation coefficient (r^2) values of >0.99 and accuracy of ±15% (except for LLOQ where ±20% was applied) were considered to be acceptable.

2.4.5. Recovery

The recovery of bexarotene was determined by comparing the peak areas of processed samples with peak areas of solutions of equivalent concentration in the reconstitution solvent mixture [45]. It was expressed as the mean \pm SD from three concentrations tested (LQC, MQC and HQC). The recovery of IS was determined in the same manner at a concentration of 10 µg/mL.

2.4.6. Freeze and thaw stability

Three freeze-thaw cycles (freezing at -80°C for 24 h and thawing at room temperature) were applied to six replicates of QC samples (LQC, MQC and HQC). Following the third freeze-thaw cycle the samples were processed and a freshly prepared set of calibration curve was used for quantification.

2.4.7. Bench-top, short-term and long-term stability

Sample stability studies were performed with six replicates of QC samples (LQC, MQC and HQC). Bench-top stability was assessed by preparing the QC samples and storing them at room temperature for 6 h. The QC samples for short-term stability were prepared and stored at -20°C for 24 h. Long-term stability was tested by storing the QC samples for 2, 4 and 8 weeks at - 80°C. After desired storage time, the samples underwent sample preparation procedure as described in section 2.3.2. Quantification was performed using a calibration curve freshly prepared each time.

2.4.8. Autosampler stability

Six replicates of QC samples (LQC, MQC and HQC) were prepared and processed according to the sample preparation procedure described above. These processed samples were stored at 4°C for 24 h to mimic the condition inside the autosampler of HPLC-UV system. After 24 h, the analysis was performed and the samples were quantified using a freshly prepared calibration curve.

2.4.9. Stock solution stability

Working standard solutions for QC samples were prepared at concentrations of 0.25, 4 and 80 μ g/mL and were stored in room temperature for 6 h. These solutions were then used to prepare six replicates of QC samples (LQC, MQC and HQC) and they were submitted for sample preparation procedure. Calibration curve samples used for quantification of these QC samples were prepared at the time of sample preparation procedure.

2.5. Pharmacokinetic study

2.5.1. Animals

All procedures of the *in vivo* pharmacokinetic study were reviewed and approved by the University of Nottingham Ethical Review Committee in accordance with the Animals [Scientific Procedures] Act 1986. Male Sprague Dawley rats (Charles River Laboratories, UK) weighing 350-380 g were used for the experiment. They were housed in the Bio Support Unit, University of Nottingham, with controlled temperature, 12 h light/dark cycle and free access to food and water. The acclimatisation of the animals was at least for six days. On the day of surgery, general anaesthesia was induced by intraperitoneal administration of a mixture of ketamine (90 mg/kg) and xylazine (8 mg/kg) and right jugular vein cannulation was performed. The rats were then allowed to recover for two nights before the experimental procedures.

2.5.2. Experimental procedure

A dosing formulation of bexarotene was prepared at 2.5 mg/mL in polyethylene glycol 400. Intravenous bolus dose of 2.5 mg/kg was administered to the rats and blood samples (0.25 mL) were collected before administration, and at 5, 15, 30, 45, 60, 120, 240 and 480 min after the administration via the jugular vein cannula. Plasma samples were obtained by centrifugation (3000 g, 10 min) and were stored at -80°C until analysis. Non-compartmental pharmacokinetic analysis of the plasma concentration profiles were performed using Phoenix WinNonlin 6.3 software (Pharsight, Mountain View, CA, USA).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Method development

As bexarotene is a weak acid ($pK_a = 4.08$, predicted by ACD/Labs, Toronto, Canada), to improve the extraction efficiency, the pH of plasma samples was modified using 0.1 M HCl following protein precipitation with acetonitrile. When 0.1 M HCl was not added to plasma, major fraction of bexarotene stayed in the ionised form, resulting in poor recovery. After acidification of the samples, bexarotene was easily extracted. Since bexarotene is a highly lipophilic compound (Log P = 8.55, predicted by ACD/Labs, Toronto, Canada), non-polar organic solvents were considered to be suitable for the extraction step [48-50]. Extraction using n-hexane was attempted initially, but interestingly addition of ethyl acetate, a relatively polar extraction solvent, improved recovery of bexarotene. However, increasing the ratio of ethyl acetate further decreased the recovery and finally n-hexane-ethyl acetate with the ratio of 10:1 (v/v) was selected as the extraction solvent.

For the mobile phase mixture, water was initially tried as the aqueous component. However, bexarotene was not efficiently retained in the C18 column as it had an ionised form in water. Therefore 10 mM ammonium acetate buffer was used instead of water. The pH of the buffer was tested at a range of 4.0-5.5 and from the shift of retention time, it was found that bexarotene was better retained at lower pH. The final pH of the buffer (pH 4.1) and the composition ratio with acetonitrile were selected to provide optimal selectivity and sensitivity.

3.2. Method validation

3.2.1. Selectivity

The selectivity of the method was demonstrated as interference peaks from blank rat plasma samples were effectively separated from the peak of bexarotene (Figure 1). It is also shown in Figure 1D that endogenous peaks that originate from plasma of rats used in pharmacokinetic

experiments did not interfere with the peaks of interest. Therefore the current method provides selective determination of bexarotene in rat plasma. The inability of UV-based analytical methods to detect metabolites can be a limitation of this method.

3.2.2. Accuracy and precision

Accuracy and precision, expressed as RE and RSD, respectively, for intra- and inter-day validations are shown in Table 1. The values for all QC samples (LQC, MQC and HQC) were within the acceptable range (RE within $\pm 15\%$ and RSD <15%). The values for LLOQ samples were also within the criteria (RE within $\pm 20\%$ and RSD <20%), indicating that the developed method was able to quantify bexarotene with appropriate accuracy and precision [45].

3.2.3. Sensitivity and linearity

As mentioned in the previous section, the LLOQ samples had acceptable accuracy and precision in intra- and inter-day validations and therefore 10 ng/mL was determined to be the LLOQ for the developed method (Table 1). The linearity of the calibration curves was established over a range of 10-10000 ng/mL as all the points within the calibration curve, except LLOQ, were within the criteria of RE within $\pm 15\%$ and RSD <15% and the correlation coefficient (r^2) values were >0.99. The LLOQ of 10 ng/ml is sufficiently sensitive to support preclinical pharmacokinetic studies in rats.

3.2.4. Recovery

The absolute recoveries (mean \pm SD) of bexarotene from rat plasma were 96.2 \pm 0.6%, 97.2 \pm 1.1%, and 96.0 \pm 2.8% for the LQC, MQC and HQC samples, respectively. Such high recovery was reached by optimising the extraction solvent. The high recovery of this method contributed to achieving good sensitivity. The recovery of the IS was 89.4 \pm 2.7%.

3.2.5. Stability

The stability of spiked rat plasma samples under different storage conditions is shown in Table 2. The RE and RSD values were within acceptable limits for all conditions tested. The benchtop stability and stock solution stability ensures that the samples and stock solutions are stable during sample preparation procedures, respectively. Short- and long-term stability results indicate that these samples can be stored under these conditions without compromising the accuracy and precision. Samples that had undergone freeze-thaw cycles have demonstrated that stability is not affected up to three cycles. Also, autosampler stability indicates that processed samples can be stored in the autosampler for up to 24 h. Although some stability data in human plasma samples with bexarotene has been previously reported, it only included bench-top, freeze-thaw cycles and autosampler stabilities [44]. To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first report of full validation in rat plasma, including all the stability tests required by the FDA [45].

3.3. Pharmacokinetic study in rats

The applicability of the analytical method was demonstrated in a pharmacokinetic study in rats following IV bolus administration. The plasma concentration-time profiles of bexarotene are shown in Figure 2 and pharmacokinetic parameters obtained by non-compartmental analysis are shown in Table 3. The plasma concentration profiles showed consistency across the animals (Figure 2) which is also reflected in the variability of the obtained pharmacokinetic parameters (Table 3). The selectivity, sensitivity and range of the calibration curve of the developed method are sufficient to be utilised in pharmacokinetic studies in rats.

3.4. Assessment of the suitability of the analytical method to human and mouse plasma.

Following the development and validation of the bioanalytical method for rat plasma, it was further applied to human and mouse plasma to assess the potential suitability of the methodology for studies in additional species. The same sample preparation method was applied to both human and mouse plasma for the levels of LQC, MQC and HQC. The validity was assessed by inter-day validation and the accuracy and precision are shown in Table 4. Good selectivity was also exhibited by comparing chromatograms of spiked samples and blank plasma samples for both human and mouse plasma (Figures 3 and 4, respectively). The only remarkable point was that for human plasma, an interference peak appeared at the retention time of IS (Figure 3). The problem was solved by observing the IS peak at 220 nm for human plasma only (Figure 3). These results show that the current method has good potential to be utilised in studies involving both human subjects and mice. A bioanalytical method for determination of bexarotene in mouse plasma has not been previously reported.

4. Conclusion

The present study describes development and full validation of a simple, sensitive and costefficient bioanalytical method using HPLC-UV for the determination of bexarotene in rat plasma. This method utilises 100 µL volume of samples to achieve LLOQ adequate to support preclinical pharmacokinetic studies. A full validation of a bioanalytical method for bexarotene in rat plasma is reported here for the first time. It was also shown that the method can be applied to studies involving human subjects and mice.

REFERENCES

[1] L.T. Farol, K.B. Hymes, Bexarotene: a clinical review, Expert Rev. Anticancer Ther. 4 (2004) 180-188.

[2] M.F. Boehm, L. Zhang, B.A. Badea, S.K. White, D.E. Mais, E. Berger, C.M. Suto, M.E. Goldman, R.A. Heyman, Synthesis and structure-activity relationships of novel retinoid X receptor-selective retinoids, J. Med. Chem. 37 (1994) 2930-2941.

[3] R.A. Abbott, S.J. Whittaker, S.L. Morris, R. Russell-Jones, T. Hung, S.J. Bashir, J.J.
 Scarisbrick, Bexarotene therapy for mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome, Br. J. Dermatol.
 160 (2009) 1299-1307.

[4] M. Duvic, A.G. Martin, Y. Kim, E. Olsen, G.S. Wood, C.A. Crowley, R.C. Yocum, G. Worldwide Bexarotene Study, Phase 2 and 3 clinical trial of oral bexarotene (Targretin capsules) for the treatment of refractory or persistent early-stage cutaneous T-cell lymphoma, Arch. Dermatol. 137 (2001) 581-593.

[5] N. Mehta, A.S. Wayne, Y.H. Kim, G.A. Hale, C.S. Alvarado, P. Myskowski, E.S. Jaffe, K.J. Busam, M. Pulitzer, J. Zwerner, S. Horwitz, Bexarotene is active against subcutaneous panniculitis-like T-cell lymphoma in adult and pediatric populations, Clin. Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk. 12 (2012) 20-25.

[6] K.H. Dragnev, W.J. Petty, S.J. Shah, L.D. Lewis, C.C. Black, V. Memoli, W.C. Nugent, T. Hermann, A. Negro-Vilar, J.R. Rigas, E. Dmitrovsky, A proof-of-principle clinical trial of bexarotene in patients with non-small cell lung cancer, Clin. Cancer Res. 13 (2007) 1794-1800.
[7] J.R. Rigas, K.H. Dragnev, Emerging role of rexinoids in non-small cell lung cancer: focus on bexarotene, Oncologist 10 (2005) 22-33.

[8] H.A. Wakelee, G. Middleton, D. Dunlop, R. Ramlau, N. Leighl, D. Hao, A. Lopez-Anaya,P. Zatloukal, C.D. Jacobs, A phase I pharmacokinetic study of bexarotene with vinorelbine and

cisplatin in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC), Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol. 69 (2012) 815-824.

[9] G.R. Blumenschein, Jr., F.R. Khuri, J. von Pawel, U. Gatzemeier, W.H. Miller, Jr., R.M. Jotte, J. Le Treut, S.L. Sun, J.K. Zhang, Z.E. Dziewanowska, A. Negro-Vilar, Phase III trial comparing carboplatin, paclitaxel, and bexarotene with carboplatin and paclitaxel in chemotherapy-naive patients with advanced or metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer: SPIRIT II, J. Clin. Oncol. 26 (2008) 1879-1885.

[10] J.S. Welch, H. Niu, G.L. Uy, P. Westervelt, C.N. Abboud, R. Vij, K.E. Stockerl-Goldstein,
M. Jacoby, I. Pusic, M.A. Schroeder, J.F. Dipersio, A.F. Cashen, A phase I dose escalation
study of oral bexarotene in combination with intravenous decitabine in patients with AML, Am.
J. Hematol. 89 (2014) E103-108.

[11] D.E. Tsai, S.M. Luger, C. Andreadis, D.T. Vogl, A. Kemner, M. Potuzak, A. Goradia, A.W. Loren, A.E. Perl, S.J. Schuster, D.L. Porter, E.A. Stadtmauer, S.C. Goldstein, J.E. Thompson, C. Swider, A. Bagg, A.R. Mato, M. Carroll, A phase I study of bexarotene, a retinoic X receptor agonist, in non-M3 acute myeloid leukemia, Clin. Cancer Res. 14 (2008) 5619-5625.

[12] K.H. Dragnev, W.J. Petty, S. Shah, A. Biddle, N.B. Desai, V. Memoli, J.R. Rigas, E. Dmitrovsky, Bexarotene and erlotinib for aerodigestive tract cancer, J. Clin. Oncol. 23 (2005) 8757-8764.

[13] F.J. Esteva, Multicenter Phase II Study of Oral Bexarotene for Patients With Metastatic Breast Cancer, J. Clin. Oncol. 21 (2003) 999-1006.

[14] Y.Y. Liu, M.P. Stokkel, A.M. Pereira, E.P. Corssmit, H.A. Morreau, J.A. Romijn, J.W. Smit, Bexarotene increases uptake of radioiodide in metastases of differentiated thyroid carcinoma, Eur. J. Endocrinol. 154 (2006) 525-531.

[15] C.B. Marquez, E.E. Smithberger, S.M. Bair, R.M. Wenham, N.A. Fenske, L.F. Glass, B.S.

Cherpelis, Multiple keratoacanthomas arising in the setting of sorafenib therapy: novel chemoprophylaxis with bexarotene, Cancer Control 16 (2009) 66-69.

[16] G. Aicardi, New hope from an old drug: fighting Alzheimer's disease with the cancer drug bexarotene (targretin)?, Rejuvenation Res. 16 (2013) 524-528.

[17] B. Tousi, The emerging role of bexarotene in the treatment of Alzheimer's disease: current evidence, Neuropsychiatr. Dis. Treat. 11 (2015) 311-315.

[18] P.E. Cramer, J.R. Cirrito, D.W. Wesson, C.Y. Lee, J.C. Karlo, A.E. Zinn, B.T. Casali, J.L. Restivo, W.D. Goebel, M.J. James, K.R. Brunden, D.A. Wilson, G.E. Landreth, ApoE-directed therapeutics rapidly clear beta-amyloid and reverse deficits in AD mouse models, Science 335 (2012) 1503-1506.

[19] V. Bomben, J. Holth, J. Reed, P. Cramer, G. Landreth, J. Noebels, Bexarotene reduces network excitability in models of Alzheimer's disease and epilepsy, Neurobiol. Aging 35 (2014) 2091-2095.

[20] A. Schafer, E.S. Burstein, R. Olsson, Bexarotene prodrugs: targeting through cleavage by NQO1 (DT-diaphorase), Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 24 (2014) 1944-1947.

[21] I. Tesseur, B. De Strooper, When the dust settles: what did we learn from the bexarotene discussion?, Alzheimers. Res. Ther. 5 (2013) 54.

[22] K.D. LaClair, K.F. Manaye, D.L. Lee, J.S. Allard, A.V. Savonenko, J.C. Troncoso, P.C. Wong, Treatment with bexarotene, a compound that increases apolipoprotein-E, provides no cognitive benefit in mutant APP/PS1 mice, Mol. Neurodegener. 8 (2013) 18.

[23] J.M. Hanifin, V. Stevens, P. Sheth, D. Breneman, Novel treatment of chronic severe hand dermatitis with bexarotene gel, Br. J. Dermatol. 150 (2004) 545-553.

[24] R.A. Krathen, S. Ward, M. Duvic, Bexarotene Is a New Treatment Option for Lymphomatoid Papulosis, Dermatology 206 (2003) 142-147.

[25] J.V. Smit, M.E. Franssen, E.M. de Jong, J. Lambert, D.I. Roseeuw, J. De Weert, R.C.

Yocum, V.J. Stevens, P.C. van De Kerkhof, A phase II multicenter clinical trial of systemic bexarotene in psoriasis, J. Am. Acad. Dermatol. 51 (2004) 249-256.

[26] R. Talpur, J. Vu, R. Bassett, V. Stevens, M. Duvic, Phase I/II randomized bilateral halfhead comparison of topical bexarotene 1% gel for alopecia areata, J. Am. Acad. Dermatol. 61 (2009) 592 e591-599.

[27] M. George, R. Amrutheshwar, R.P. Rajkumar, S. Kattimani, S.A. Dkhar, Newer antipsychotics and upcoming molecules for schizophrenia, Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 69 (2013) 1497-1509.

[28] N.A. Tritos, B.M. Biller, Advances in medical therapies for Cushing's syndrome, Discov.Med. 13 (2012) 171-179.

[29] L. Qu, X. Tang, Bexarotene: a promising anticancer agent, Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol.65 (2010) 201-205.

[30] M. Kizaki, M.I. Dawson, R. Heyman, E. Elster, R. Morosetti, S. Pakkala, D.L. Chen, H. Ueno, W. Chao, M. Morikawa, Y. Ikeda, D. Heber, M. Pfahl, H.P. Koeffler, Effects of novel retinoid X receptor-selective ligands on myeloid leukemia differentiation and proliferation in vitro, Blood 87 (1996) 1977-1984.

[31] R.M. Cesario, J. Stone, W.C. Yen, R.P. Bissonnette, W.W. Lamph, Differentiation and growth inhibition mediated via the RXR:PPARgamma heterodimer in colon cancer, Cancer Lett. 240 (2006) 225-233.

[32] W.C. Yen, W.W. Lamph, A selective retinoid X receptor agonist bexarotene (LGD1069, Targretin) prevents and overcomes multidrug resistance in advanced prostate cancer, Prostate 66 (2006) 305-316.

[33] W.C. Yen, R.Y. Prudente, M.R. Corpuz, A. Negro-Vilar, W.W. Lamph, A selective retinoid X receptor agonist bexarotene (LGD1069, targretin) inhibits angiogenesis and metastasis in solid tumours, Br. J. Cancer 94 (2006) 654-660.

[34] Y. Wang, Z. Zhang, R. Yao, D. Jia, D. Wang, R.A. Lubet, M. You, Prevention of lung cancer progression by bexarotene in mouse models, Oncogene 25 (2006) 1320-1329.

[35] Y. Wang, W. Wen, Y. Yi, Z. Zhang, R.A. Lubet, M. You, Preventive effects of bexarotene and budesonide in a genetically engineered mouse model of small cell lung cancer, Cancer Prev. Res. (Phila) 2 (2009) 1059-1064.

[36] H.T. Kim, G. Kong, D. Denardo, Y. Li, I. Uray, S. Pal, S. Mohsin, S.G. Hilsenbeck, R. Bissonnette, W.W. Lamph, K. Johnson, P.H. Brown, Identification of biomarkers modulated by the rexinoid LGD1069 (bexarotene) in human breast cells using oligonucleotide arrays, Cancer Res. 66 (2006) 12009-12018.

[37] N.B. Janakiram, A. Mohammed, L. Qian, C.-I. Choi, V.E. Steele, C.V. Rao, Chemopreventive Effects of RXR-Selective Rexinoid Bexarotene on Intestinal Neoplasia of ApcMin/+ Mice, Neoplasia 14 (2012) 159-168.

[38] L. Xu, F. Cao, F. Xu, B. He, Z. Dong, Bexarotene reduces blood-brain barrier permeability in cerebral ischemia-reperfusion injured rats, PLoS One 10 (2015) e0122744.

[39] K. McFarland, T.A. Spalding, D. Hubbard, J.N. Ma, R. Olsson, E.S. Burstein, Low dose bexarotene treatment rescues dopamine neurons and restores behavioral function in models of Parkinson's disease, ACS Chem. Neurosci. 4 (2013) 1430-1438.

[40] F. Lalloyer, C. Fievet, S. Lestavel, G. Torpier, J. van der Veen, V. Touche, S. Bultel, S. Yous, F. Kuipers, R. Paumelle, J.C. Fruchart, B. Staels, A. Tailleux, The RXR agonist bexarotene improves cholesterol homeostasis and inhibits atherosclerosis progression in a mouse model of mixed dyslipidemia, Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 26 (2006) 2731-2737.
[41] F. Lalloyer, T.A. Pedersen, B. Gross, S. Lestavel, S. Yous, E. Vallez, J.A. Gustafsson, S. Mandrup, C. Fievet, B. Staels, A. Tailleux, Rexinoid bexarotene modulates triglyceride but not cholesterol metabolism via gene-specific permissivity of the RXR/LXR heterodimer in the liver, Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 29 (2009) 1488-1495.

[42] L. Chen, Y. Wang, J. Zhang, L. Hao, H. Guo, H. Lou, D. Zhang, Bexarotene nanocrystal-Oral and parenteral formulation development, characterization and pharmacokinetic evaluation, Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 87 (2014) 160-169.

[43] L. Li, Y. Liu, J. Wang, L. Chen, W. Zhang, X. Yan, Preparation, in vitro and in vivo evaluation of bexarotene nanocrystals with surface modification by folate-chitosan conjugates, Drug Deliv. 23 (2016) 79-87.

[44] N.C. van de Merbel, J.H. van Veen, G. Wilkens, G. Loewen, Validated liquid chromatographic method for the determination of bexarotene in human plasma, J. Chromatogr.B: Anal. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci. 775 (2002) 189-195.

[45] U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Guidance for Industry, Bioanalytical Method Validation, (2001).

[46] V.A. Miller, F.M. Benedetti, J.R. Rigas, A.L. Verret, D.G. Pfister, D. Straus, M.G. Kris,M. Crisp, R. Heyman, G.R. Loewen, J.A. Truglia, R.P. Warrell, Jr., Initial clinical trial of a selective retinoid X receptor ligand, LGD1069, J. Clin. Oncol. 15 (1997) 790-795.

[47] S.R. Howell, M.A. Shirley, T.A. Grese, D.A. Neel, K.E. Wells, E.H. Ulm, Bexarotene metabolism in rat, dog, and human, synthesis of oxidative metabolites, and in vitro activity at retinoid receptors, Drug Metab. Dispos. 29 (2001) 990-998.

[48] P. Gershkovich, B. Qadri, A. Yacovan, S. Amselem, A. Hoffman, Different impacts of intestinal lymphatic transport on the oral bioavailability of structurally similar synthetic lipophilic cannabinoids: dexanabinol and PRS-211,220, Eur. J. Pharm. Sci. 31 (2007) 298-305.

[49] P. Gershkovich, F. Ibrahim, O. Sivak, J.W. Darlington, K.M. Wasan, A simple and sensitive method for determination of vitamins D3 and K1 in rat plasma: application for an in vivo pharmacokinetic study, Drug. Dev. Ind. Pharm. 40 (2014) 338-344.

[50] A. Zgair, J.C. Wong, A. Sabri, P.M. Fischer, D.A. Barrett, C.S. Constantinescu, P. Gershkovich, Development of a simple and sensitive HPLC-UV method for the simultaneous

determination of cannabidiol and Delta(9)-tetrahydrocannabinol in rat plasma, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 114 (2015) 145-151.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Representative chromatograms from rat plasma. **A**, Rat plasma spiked with 10 µg/mL of IS; **B**, rat plasma spiked with 10 ng/mL bexarotene and 10 µg/mL of IS (LLOQ sample); **C**, rat plasma spiked with 100 ng/mL bexarotene and 10 µg/mL of IS (calibration curve sample); **D**, rat plasma sample obtained in pharmacokinetic study 1 h following intravenous bolus administration of 2.5 mg/kg bexarotene. All observed at $\lambda = 259$ nm.

Figure 2. Plasma concentration-time profiles of bexarotene following intravenous bolus administration at 2.5 mg/kg in rats (n = 3).

Figure 3. Representative chromatograms from human plasma. A, blank human plasma observed at $\lambda = 259$ nm; B, human plasma spiked with 400 ng/mL bexarotene observed at $\lambda = 259$ nm (MQC sample); C, blank human plasma observed at $\lambda = 220$ nm; D, human plasma spiked with 10 µg/mL of IS observed at $\lambda = 220$ nm.

Figure 4. Representative chromatograms from mouse plasma. A, blank mouse plasma; B, mouse plasma spiked with 400 ng/mL bexarotene and 10 μ g/mL of IS (MQC sample). All observed at $\lambda = 259$ nm.

Figure 1




Figure 2







Figure 3











Figure 4



	Intr	a-day	Inter-day		
Concentration levels	Accuracy (RE, %)	Precision (RSD, %)	Accuracy (RE, %)	Precision (RSD, %)	
LLOQ (10 ng/mL)	4.67	6.10	-2.73	14.30	
LQC (25 ng/mL)	-5.06	10.00	-0.27	7.57	
MQC (400 ng/mL)	7.57	3.00	-0.82	12.27	
HQC (8000 ng/mL)	7.93	3.50	0.54	9.63	

Table 1. Intra- and inter-day validation results of the analytical method of bexarotene in rat plasma using HPLC-UV (n = 6)

ng/mL)DisconstructionLLOQ, lower limit of quantification; LQC, low quality control; MQC, medium quality
control; HQC, high quality control.

	Benchtop stability (25°C, 6 h)		Short term stability (-20°C, 24 h)		Autosampler stability (4°C, 24 h)		Freeze-thaw stability (-80°C, 3 cycles)		Stock solution stability (25°C, 6 h)		Long stat (-80°C
Concentration levels	RE (%)	RSD (%)	RE (%)	RSD (%)	RE (%)	RSD (%)	RE (%)	RSD (%)	RE (%)	RSD (%)	RE (%)
LQC (25 ng/mL)	0.14	7.05	-3.99	3.78	7.88	4.27	-2.86	13.14	-4.14	4.08	-10.18
MQC (400 ng/mL)	7.81	4.84	-2.41	10.46	12.20	2.69	-1.83	12.17	-2.48	1.68	-13.94
HQC (8000 ng/mL)	7.58	6.07	-1.67	6.63	-5.83	5.75	-3.30	8.78	-8.53	11.56	-11.39

Table 2. Stability results of rat plasma samples of bexarotene under various storage
conditions (n = 6)

RE, relative error; RSD, relative standard deviation; LQC, low quality control; MQC, medium quality control; HQC, high quality control.

Parameters	Mean	SD
AUC _{0→t} (h·ng/mL)	4631	453
AUC _{inf} (h·ng/mL)	4668	452
C ₀ (ng/mL)	6219	1068
t _{1/2} (h)	1.15	0.02
V _{ss} (mL/kg)	734	104
CL (mL/h/kg)	539	55

Table 3. Pharmacokinetic parameters of bexarotene obtained following intravenous bolus administration of 2.5 mg/kg bexarotene in rats (n = 3)

AUC_{inf}, area under the curve from time zero to infinity; AUC_{0→t}, area under the curve from time zero to the last sampling time point; C₀, concentration extrapolated to time zero; $t_{1/2}$, half-life; V_{ss}, volume of distribution at steady state; CL, clearance.

	Humar	ı plasma	Mouse plasma		
Concentration levels	Accuracy (RE, %)	Precision (RSD, %)	Accuracy (RE, %)	Precision (RSD, %)	
LQC (25 ng/mL)	-2.67	8.52	5.59	7.08	
MQC (400 ng/mL)	-1.69	13.04	10.75	5.87	
HQC (8000 ng/mL)	-5.49	14.65	6.96	3.54	

Table 4. Intra-day validation results of the analytical method of bexarotene in human and mouse plasma using HPLC-UV (n = 6)

RE, relative error; RSD, relative standard deviation; LQC, low quality control; MQC, medium quality control; HQC, high quality control.