Study The Relationship between Vitamin D deficiency and Thyroid disorders in sample of Iraqi patients

Rana Hazim Hamoode¹. Muna Mohammed Yaseen², Sami Awad Alkubaisy³

^{1,2} Basic Science Department, Dentistry of College, University of Anbar, Al-Anbar, Iraq.

³ Desert Studies Center, University of Anbar, Al-Anbar, Iraq

saalkubaisy@uoanbar.edu.iq

Abstract

The deficiency of vitamin D is a common health problems all over the world, its role in many diseases is being studied recently. However, its role in thyroid diseases is not well established yet. In this study, 120 Iraqi samples with age ranged between (30 and 55) years participate in this research, divided in two groups, The first group which diagnosed as having vitamin D deficiency consists of(31 male and 29 female), and the other group contained normal people which play as control group consists of (28 male and 32 female). As we found from our results that the vitamin D was significantly positive correlated with T3 in both groups, TSH was only significantly negative correlated with vitamin D in the patients' group, we found that the results of T3, T4 and TSH were significantly correlated in the patients' group only and they were not correlated in the control group. With regard to age we found not contributing to the means vitamin D in both groups.

Keywords: Vitamin D deficiency, Thyroid function parameters, thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH), thyroxine (T4), triiodothyronine (T3)

1. Introduction

Scientifically, it is a well-known fact that vitamin D is a nutrient that produced by human bodies when exposed to sunlight. Vitamin D deficiency is a health problem that is scattered all over the world[1]. It is also believed that about 50% of the people in our world do not get enough sunlight [1, 2].

According to Z Lu et al.,2007, a quantity of 800 IU (20 mcg) of vitamin D is recommended from the daily food intake. When sunlight is not enough to be exposed to, the daily intake should be approaching 1000 IU (25 mcg) [3].

It is also known that vitamin D can be obtained from different sources, in order to ease the classification of such sources, two main types can be realized, the first one is D_3 (or cholecalciferol) which is synthesized in the skin when people exposure to sunlight that involved a wide spectrum of rays including ultraviolet B radiation UVB that plays the essential role in the process of vitamin D. There are also some foods like fatty fish which is considered a good source of it. The other type of vitamin D is that produced by some sorts of plants and fungi [4, 5]. These types of vitamin D are processed and converted in liver to 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D or calcidiol) by 25-hydroxylase (CYP27A1 and CYP2R1). It is proved that 25(OH)D is the essential stored preserved form of vitamin D by which the serum levels can be rely on measuring the content of vitamin D in the body [4-6]. Many researchers revealed the essential role of vitamin D in the modulation of immune system by enhancing the immune action during the operation of inhibition response on the adaptive immune system [6, 7].

Vitamin D believed to be responsible for bone health because it maintain calcium and phosphorus homeostasis. Vitamin D is also responsible for some disorders that found accompanied the low levels of it. Actually, low levels of vitamin D can be considered as a serious medical issue that threatening the public health. Prevalence of this medical problem in children, young and middle-age people is found to be similar to that of elderly making this problem a nightmare that should be seriously confronted [8].

In some studies a remarkable homology was found between the molecular structure of vitamin D3 and thyroid hormone receptor. However, they did not observe any significant vitamin D receptor polymorphism in subject vs control suggesting that correction with vitamin D supplements may possibly have a therapeutic benefit in correcting thyroid status s9].

In an experiment on rats, 1,25(OH)2D3 was administrated for three days and found that it has not affect T3, T4 or TSH. Such results establish the basis for further research work on vitamin D and thyroid diseases. According to the Office of Dietary Supplements, the following (table-1) shows the daily amount of vitamin D that should be intake with regard to age:

(Table 1)Average daily recommended amounts in micrograms (mcg) and International Units (IU)						
Age Amount						
0-12 months	10 mcg or 400 IU					
1-70 years	15 mcg or 600 IU					
71+ years	20 mcg or 800 IU					
And (table-2) below shows a rough guide for the						
three status of vitamin D in human bodies.						
Level	Blood Test Result					
Low	12 ng/ml or below					
Adequate	20 ng/ml or above					
High	50 ng/ml or above					

Study The Relationship between Vitamin D deficiency...

(table-2) A rough guide for the three status of vitamin D in human bodies.

In this study we aimed to evaluate the effects of vitamin D deficiency on thyroid function which investigated among two groups of people, control and patients.

Patients and methods

Two groups of people were considered in this study each with 60 person. The first group is those who have already diagnose as Vitamin D deficiency, whereas the other group plays as control group. Information about age, gender, and parameters of thyroid disease such as T3, T4 and TSH were recorded for all individuals involved in this study.

This study was conducted in a period from March to May 2020 on total number of 120 subjects, their age rang (30-50 years). It including 31 male deficiency of vitamin D3, 29 female deficiency of vitamin D3 and healthy (control group) control group consists of 28 male and 32 female. Serum (T3, T4 and TSH) was measured by TOSOH AIA 360 System Analyers. and supplied by TOSOH Bioscience, Japan. Serum of Vitamin D3 was measured by Minividas using a kit supplied by BioMeriux-France.

Relationship of thyroid parameters with age and gender will be investigated with respect to the groups once and within each group once again to see how these parameters are associated with each other as well as with age.

All data were collected from private clinics during the period 1^{st} of September to 31^{st} October 2020. The data were subjected to statistical analysis (T-test for two means and person correlation. SPSS ver. 25) will be used for this purpose.

2. Results

The control group consists of 28 male and 32 female (male: female ratio is 0.85:1), patients group consists of 31 male and 29 female (male: female ratio is 1.08:1). The Chi-square test for comparing two proportions indicated that there is no significant difference between the two groups with respect to proportion of gender (Chi-square = 0.36 and p-value = 0.55).

The t-test for comparing two means indicated that no significant differences were found between means age with respect to gender in both groups as well as for the total number of individuals in both groups. Accordingly, the two groups are theoretically identical for comparison in terms of age and gender. Table 3 shows the results of t-test used for comparing two means regarding all parameters considered in this study with respect to group and gender. Means T4 for males and TSH for males, females and total, were found not significantly different. All other means were significantly different as stated in the table.

(Table 3) The comparing of level D3, T3, T4, TSH and Age with respect to gender and groups.							
		Group					p-
Parameter	Gender	Control			Patients	t-test	value
		Ν	Mean±Sd	Ν	Mean±Sd		value
52	Male	28	38.00±6.78	26	11.84±5.65	14.56	0.0001
D3 (ng/mL)	Female	32	38.85±5.91	24	11.05±6.26	16.25	0.0001
	Total	60	38.46±6.27	50	11.46±5.91	22.16	0.0001
Т3	Male	28	1.20±0.42	26	3.07±3.80	-2.5	0.02
(nmol/L)	Female	32	1.27±0.52	24	3.35±3.27	-3.08	0.005

	Total	60	1.24±0.48	50	3.21±3.52	-3.92	0.0003
	Male	28	7.36±1.49	26	9.10±5.25	-1.62	0.12
T4	Female	32	6.84±1.57	24	9.61±4.84	-2.67	0.013
(nmol/L)	Total	60	7.08±1.54	50	9.34±5.01	- 3.049	0.003
тси	Male	28	2.80±1.64	26	4.36±4.35	-1.69	0.1
TSH (mU/L)	Female	32	3.63±1.62	24	4.62±4.74	-0.97	0.34
	Total	60	3.25±1.67	50	4.49±4.50	-1.82	0.074
Age	Male	28	41.30±6.15	26	39.46±5.74	1.083	0.284
	Female	32	36.81±5.66	24	39.63±6.76	- 1.621	0.12
	Total	60	38.88±6.25	50	39.54±6.18	- 0.531	0.597

In order to know how the thyroid parameters act in normal people and patients, the Pearson correlation coefficients each pair of parameters was obtained once for the control group (normal people) as in table 4, and another for patients as in table 5. The main concern in both groups was to see how vitamin D is associated with considered parameters in each group.

With respect to the control group, vitamin D was found to be only significantly positive correlated with T3. T4 is only significantly positive correlated with age. TSH was not significantly correlated with any of the parameters involved in table 4.

On the other hand table 3 of the patients showed that vitamin D was found significantly positive correlated with T3 and significantly negative correlated with TSH. In addition T3 was found significantly negative correlated with TSH.T4 was significantly positive correlated with T3 and significantly negative correlated with T4 was significantly negative correlated with T3 and significantly negative correlated with T3 and significantly negative correlated with T4 was significantly negative correlated with T5H. Age was not significantly correlated with of the other parameters stated in the table.

the control group. T3 T4 TSH Vit. D3 Age T3 Sig.(2-tailed) .368 .903 .024 .207 T4 Pearson r 130 1 .026 124 .338* T4 Sig.(2-tailed) .368 .857 .392 .016 TSH Pearson r .018 .026 1 .047 022 Sig.(2-tailed) .903 .857 .744 .880 Vit. D3 Pearson r .319* 124 .047 1 .085 Sig.(2tailed) .024 .392 .744 .556 Age Pearson r .181 .338* 022 .085 1 Sig.(2tailed) .207 .016 .880 .556 1 .556 Age Pearson r .181 .338* 022 .085 1 Sig.(2tailed) .207 .016 .880 .556 1 .556	Table 4. The correlations between all parameters of								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } T3 & Pearson r & 1 &130 & .018 & .319* & .181 \\ \hline Sig.(2-tailed) & .368 & .903 & .024 & .207 \\ \hline Pearson r &130 & 1 & .026 &124 & .338* \\ \hline Sig.(2-tailed) & .368 & .857 & .392 & .016 \\ \hline TSH & Pearson r & .018 & .026 & 1 & .047 &022 \\ \hline Sig.(2tailed) & .903 & .857 & .744 & .880 \\ \hline Vit. D3 & Pearson r & .319* &124 & .047 & 1 & .085 \\ \hline Sig.(2tailed) & .024 & .392 & .744 & .556 \\ \hline Age & Pearson r & .181 & .338* &022 & .085 & 1 \\ \hline Sig.(2tailed) & .207 & .016 & .880 & .556 \\ \hline Age & Pearson r & .181 & .338* &022 & .085 & 1 \\ \hline Sig.(2tailed) & .207 & .016 & .880 & .556 \\ \hline Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the patients group. \\ \hline Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the patients group. \\ \hline T3 & Pearson r & 1 & .615** & .484** & .323* & .153 \\ \hline Sig.(2-tailed) & .000 & .000 & .022 & .288 \\ T4 & Pearson r & .615** & 1 &791** & .228 & .143 \\ \hline Sig.(2-tailed) & .000 & .000 & .0024 & .967 \\ \hline Vit. & Pearson r & .323* & .228 &319* & 1 & .052 \\ \hline Sig.(2tailed) & .022 & .112 & .024 & .718 \\ \hline Age & Pearson r & .153 & .143 & .006 & .052 & 1 \\ \hline Sig.(2tailed) & .288 & .322 & .967 & .718 \\ \hline **, Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level(2-tailed). \\ \hline \end{array}$	the control group.								
T3 Sig.(2-tailed) .368 .903 .024 .207 T4 Pearson r 130 1 .026 124 .338* Sig.(2-tailed) .368 .857 .392 .016 TSH Pearson r .018 .026 1 .047 022 Sig.(2tailed) .903 .857 .744 .880 Vit. D3 Pearson r .319* 124 .047 1 .085 Sig.(2tailed) .024 .392 .744 .556 Age Pearson r .181 .338* 022 .085 1 Sig.(2tailed) .207 .016 .880 .556 - Age Pearson r .181 .338* 022 .085 1 Sig.(2tailed) .207 .016 .880 .556 - Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the patients group. - - - - - - - -			Т3	T4	TSH	Vit. D3	Age		
Sig.(2-tailed) .368 .903 .024 .207 T4 Pearson r 130 1 .026 124 .338* Sig.(2-tailed) .368 .857 .392 .016 TSH Pearson r .018 .026 1 .047 022 Sig.(2tailed) .903 .857 .744 .880 Vit. D3 Pearson r .319* 124 .047 1 .085 Age Pearson r .181 .338* 022 .085 1 Sig.(2tailed) .207 .016 .880 .556 1 Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the 0.05 level(2-tailed) .000 .022 .288 .153 <	т2	Pearson r	1	130	.018	.319*	.181		
T4 Sig.(2-tailed) .368 .857 .392 .016 TSH Pearson r .018 .026 1 .047 022 Sig.(2tailed) .903 .857 .744 .880 Vit. D3 Pearson r .319* 124 .047 1 .085 Age Pearson r .319* 124 .047 1 .085 Age Pearson r .181 .338* 022 .085 1 Age Pearson r .181 .338* 022 .085 1 Age Pearson r .181 .338* 022 .085 1 Table S. The correlation is significant at the 0.05 level(2-tailed) .556 - - TB T4 TSH Vit. D3 Age T3 Pearson r 1 .615** .323* .153 T3 Pearson r 1 .615** 1 .791** .228 .143 T4 Pearson r .615**	15	Sig.(2-tailed)	.368	.903	.024	.207		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Sig.(2-tailed) & .368 & .857 & .392 & .016 \\ \hline Sig.(2tailed) & .018 & .026 & 1 & .047 &022 \\ \hline Sig.(2tailed) & .903 & .857 & .744 & .880 \\ \hline Sig.(2tailed) & .024 & .392 & .744 & .556 \\ \hline Age & Pearson r & .181 & .338^* &022 & .085 & 1 \\ \hline Sig.(2tailed) & .207 & .016 & .880 & .556 & \\ \hline Age & Sig.(2tailed) & .207 & .016 & .880 & .556 & \\ \hline Sig.(2tailed) & .207 & .016 & .880 & .556 & \\ \hline Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the patients group. \\ \hline Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the patients group. \\ \hline Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the patients group. \\ \hline Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the patients group. \\ \hline Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the patients group. \\ \hline Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the patients group. \\ \hline Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the patients group. \\ \hline Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the patients group. \\ \hline Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the patients group. \\ \hline Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the patients group. \\ \hline Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the patients group. \\ \hline Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the patients group. \\ \hline Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the patients group. \\ \hline Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the patients group. \\ \hline Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the patients group. \\ \hline Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the patients group. \\ \hline Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the parameters of the parameters of the patients group. \\ \hline Table 5. The correlation for the patient group. \\ \hline Table 5. The correlation for the patient group. \\ \hline Table 5. The correlation for the patient group. \\ \hline Table 5. The correlation for the patient group. \\ \hline Table 5. The correlation for the patient group. \\ \hline Table 5. The correlation group$	τı	Pearson r	130	1	.026	124	.338*		
TSH Sig.(2tailed) .903 .857 .744 .880 Vit. D3 Pearson r .319* 124 .047 1 .085 Age Pearson r .181 .338* 022 .085 1 Sig.(2tailed) .207 .016 .880 .556 - *Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level(2-tailed) Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the patients group. Table Pearson r 1 .615** .484** .323* .153 T3 Pearson r .615** 1 .791** .228 .143 Sig.(2-tailed) .000 .000 .000 .0112 .322 T4 Pearson r .484** .791** </td <td>14</td> <td>Sig.(2-tailed</td> <td>.368</td> <td></td> <td>.857</td> <td>.392</td> <td>.016</td>	14	Sig.(2-tailed	.368		.857	.392	.016		
Sig.(2tailed) .903 .857 .744 .880 Vit. D3 Pearson r .319* 124 .047 1 .085 Age Pearson r .181 .338* -022 .085 1 Age Pearson r .181 .338* -022 .085 1 Age Pearson r .181 .338* -022 .085 1 Table Sig.(2tailed) .207 .016 .880 .556 - *Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level(2-tailed) Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the patients group. T3 T4 TSH Vit. D3 Age T3 Pearson r 1 .615** .484** .323* .153 T4 Sig.(2-tailed) .000 .000 .002 .288 T4 Sig.(2-tailed) .000 .000 .112 .322 T5H Pearson r .484** .791** 1 .319* .006	тсц	Pearson r	.018	.026	1	.047	022		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	131	Sig.(2tailed)	.903	.857		.744	.880		
Sig.(2tailed) .024 .392 .744 .556 Age Pearson r .181 .338* 022 .085 1 Age Pearson r .181 .338* 022 .085 1 Sig.(2tailed) .207 .016 .880 .556 - *Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level(2-tailed) Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the patients group. T3 T4 TSH Vit. D3 Age T3 Pearson r 1 .615** .484** .323* .153 T4 Pearson r .615** 1 791** .228 .143 T4 Pearson r .615** 1 791** .228 .143 T4 Sig.(2-tailed) .000 .000 .112 .322 T5H Pearson r .323* .228 .319* 1 .052 T3 Sig.(2tailed) .000 .000 .000 .024 .967 </td <td>Vi+ D2</td> <td>Pearson r</td> <td>.319*</td> <td>124</td> <td>.047</td> <td>1</td> <td>.085</td>	Vi+ D2	Pearson r	.319*	124	.047	1	.085		
Age Sig.(2tailed) .207 .016 .880 .556 *Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level(2-tailed) Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the patients group. T3 T4 TSH Vit. D3 Age T3 Pearson r 1 .615** .484** .323* .153 T3 Pearson r .615** 1 .791** .228 .143 T4 Pearson r .615** 1 .319* .000 T4 Pearson r .484** .791** 1 .319* .006 T5H Pearson r .323* .228 .319* .006 .024 .967 Vit. Pearson r .323* .228 .319* 1 .052 D3 Sig.(2tailed) .022 .112 .024 .718 Age Pearson r .153 .143 .006 .052 1 Sig.(2tailed) .288 .322 .967 .718 <td>VIL. DS</td> <td>Sig.(2tailed)</td> <td>.024</td> <td>.392</td> <td>.744</td> <td></td> <td>.556</td>	VIL. DS	Sig.(2tailed)	.024	.392	.744		.556		
Sig.(2tailed) .207 .016 .880 .556 *Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level(2-tailed) Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the patients group. Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the patients group. Vit. D3 Age T3 T4 TSH Vit. D3 Age T3 Pearson r 1 .615** .484** .323* .153 T4 Pearson r .615** 1 .791** .228 .143 Sig.(2-tailed) .000 .000 .000 .112 .322 T5H Pearson r .484** .791** 1 .319* .006 Sig.(2tailed) .000 .000 .000 .024 .967 Vit. Pearson r .323* .228 .319* 1 .052 D3 Sig.(2tailed) .022 .112 .024 .718 Age Pearson r .153 .143 .006 .052 1 Sig.(2tailed) <td>A.co</td> <td>Pearson r</td> <td>.181</td> <td>.338*</td> <td>022</td> <td>.085</td> <td>1</td>	A .co	Pearson r	.181	.338*	022	.085	1		
the 0.05 level(2-tailed) Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the patients group. T3 T4 TSH Vit. D3 Age Pearson r 1 .615** .484** .323* .153 T3 Pearson r 1 .615** .484** .323* .153 T3 Sig.(2-tailed) .000 .000 .000 .022 .288 T4 Pearson r .615** 1 791** .228 .143 Sig.(2-tailed) .000 .000 .0112 .322 Pearson r .484** .791** 1 319* .006 Sig.(2-tailed) .000 .000 .024 .967 Vit. Pearson r .323* .228 .319* 1 .052 Sig.(2tailed) .002 .112 .024 .718 Pearson r .153 .143 .006 .052 1 Sig.(2tailed) .288 .322 .967 .718 **,Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level(2-tailed).	Age	Sig.(2tailed)	.207	.016	.880	.556			
Table 5. The correlations between all parameters of the patients group. T3 T4 TSH Vit. D3 Age T3 Pearson r 1 .615** .484** .323* .153 T3 Pearson r .615** 1 .791** .228 .484** T3 Pearson r .615** 1 .791** .228 .484 T3 Pearson r .615** 1 .791** .228 .433 .319* .000 .000 .0112 .324 .967 .718 **, Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level(2-tailed).		*Cor	relation i	s signific	ant at				
the patients group. T3 T4 TSH Vit. D3 Age Pearson r 1 6.615** 484** .323* .153 T3 T4 TSH Vit. D3 Age Pearson r .615** 1 .791** .228 .143 T3 Pearson r .615** 1 .791** .228 .143 TSH Pearson r .484** .791** 1 .323 .322 .324 .967 TSH Pearson r .323* .228 .319* .006 Sig.(2tailed) .000 .024 .718 Age Pearson r <th< td=""><td></td><td>th</td><td>e 0.05 lev</td><td>/el(2-tail</td><td>ed)</td><td></td><td></td></th<>		th	e 0.05 lev	/el(2-tail	ed)				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Table	5. The corre	lations l	betwee	n all p	aramet	ters of		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		tł	e patie	nts grou	ıp.				
I3 Sig.(2-tailed) .000 .000 .022 .288 T4 Pearson r .615** 1 791** .228 .143 Sig.(2-tailed) .000 .000 .112 .322 TSH Pearson r 484** 791** 1 319* .006 TSH Pearson r .484** 791** 1 319* .006 Vit. Pearson r .323* .228 319* 1 .052 D3 Sig.(2tailed) .002 .112 .024 .718 Age Pearson r .153 .143 .006 .052 1 Sig.(2tailed) .288 .322 .967 .718 ***,Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level(2-tailed).			T3	T4	TSH	Vit. D	03 Age		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	T 2	Pearson r	1	.615**	484	** .323	* .153		
I4 Sig.(2-tailed) .000 .000 .112 .322 TSH Pearson r 484** 791** 1 319* .006 Sig.(2tailed) .000 .000 .024 .967 Vit. Pearson r .323* .228 319* 1 .052 D3 Sig.(2tailed) .022 .112 .024 .718 Age Pearson r .153 .143 .006 .052 1 Sig.(2tailed) .288 .322 .967 .718 ***,Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level(2-tailed).	13	Sig.(2-tailed)		.000	.000	.022	2.288		
Sig.(2-tailed) .000 .000 .112 .322 TSH Pearson r 484** 791** 1 319* .006 Sig.(2tailed) .000 .000 .000 .024 .967 Vit. Pearson r .323* .228 319* 1 .052 D3 Sig.(2tailed) .022 .112 .024 .718 Age Pearson r .153 .143 .006 .052 1 Sig.(2tailed) .288 .322 .967 .718 **, Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level(2-tailed).	τa	Pearson r	.615**	1	791	** .228	3 .143		
TSH Sig.(2tailed) .000 .000 .024 .967 Vit. Pearson r .323* .228 319* 1 .052 D3 Sig.(2tailed) .022 .112 .024 .718 Age Pearson r .153 .143 .006 .052 1 Sig.(2tailed) .288 .322 .967 .718 **,Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level(2-tailed). .	14	Sig.(2-tailed)	.000		.000	.112	2.322		
Sig.(2tailed) .000 .000 .024 .967 Vit. Pearson r .323* .228 319* 1 .052 D3 Sig.(2tailed) .022 .112 .024 .718 Age Pearson r .153 .143 .006 .052 1 Sig.(2tailed) .288 .322 .967 .718 **,Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level(2-tailed). . .	TCU	Pearson r	484**	791**	1	319	9* .006		
D3 Sig.(2tailed) .022 .112 .024 .718 Age Pearson r .153 .143 .006 .052 1 Sig.(2tailed) .288 .322 .967 .718 **,Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level(2-tailed). .	ISH	Sig.(2tailed)	.000	.000		.024	4 .967		
Age Pearson r .153 .143 .006 .052 1 Sig.(2tailed) .288 .322 .967 .718 **,Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level(2-tailed).	Vit.	Pearson r	.323*	.228	319)* 1	.052		
Age Sig.(2tailed) .288 .322 .967 .718 **,Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level(2-tailed).	D3	Sig.(2tailed)	.022	.112	.024	1	.718		
Sig.(2tailed) .288 .322 .967 .718 **,Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level(2-tailed).	100	Pearson r	.153	.143	.006	5 .052	2 1		
	Age	Sig.(2tailed)	.288	.322	.967	7 .718	3		
*, Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level(2-tailed).	**,Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level(2-tailed).								
	*, (

3. Discussion

vitamin D deficiency related with many pathogenesis of autoimmune disease, where the most common autoimmune are thyroid diseases [10]. Epidemiological studies revealed a widespread prevalence of vitamin D deficiency. In this context, similar epidemiological studies must taking place in Irag in order to estimate the prevalence of it and to what extent it is related to thyroid disease. It is very important to state that in India they found vitamin D deficiency in 53.94% of subjects irrespective of thyroid hormone status [11, 12]. Moreover, there are some evidence suggesting an association between vitamin D [25(OH)D, the form by which vitamin D status is measured in blood] deficiency and autoimmune thyroid diseases (e.g., Hashimoto's thyroiditis and Graves' disease, and/or postpartum thyroiditis), and a relationship between vitamin D levels and titers of antibodies and thyroid autoimmunity replacement [13].

The main goal of this prospective study was to determine the relationship between deficiency of vitamin D and thyroid dysfunction or disease,

On comparing the two groups, serum TSH level was significantly higher in patients group than that of controls. When serum TSH levels in patients were compared regarding to the sex, we noticed a non-significant difference between males and females patients table (3), this agree with other studies [14].

Serum T3 and T4 were significantly higher in controls than those of hypothyroidism (t= 4.78, t= 5.48, P = 0.000) respectively.

mean serum TSH was significantly higher in the patients group than in the control group (table 3). This is in agreement with other studies [11, 12]. It suggested that vitamin D does have a role to play in hypothyroidism, and this back to both vitamin D receptors and thyroid hormone receptors are similar called (steroid hormone receptors), and a different gene in Vitamin D receptor was shown to influence people to autoimmune thyroid disease.

although a causal relationship could not be established. But there are some studies that reported both normal and decreased concentrations of vitamin D in patients with thyroid disorders [15], and for the same explanation above A significant negative correlation was found between vitamin D in patients' group and TSH (r=-0.319, p=0.024) suggesting the existence of inter relationship between vitamin D deficiency and thyroid disorders. This is in accordance with that of other studies.

T3, T4 and TSH were not significantly correlate in the control group, whereas all these parameters were significantly correlated in the patients' group. T3 and T4 were significantly negative correlated with TSH in the same group of patients.

The significant correlation of vitamin D with T3 in both groups of individuals might suggest that vitamin D alter T3 secretion.

T4 is the only parameter that found significantly positive correlated with age in the control group (table 4).

4. Conclusion

Vitamin D deficiency is still a challenge health problem. Many studies came up with some several findings that support the role of vitamin D in the pathogenesis of thyroid diseases. Age and gender were found to be not contributing to the levels of vitamin D neither in the control group nor in the patients' group.

Currently, there is not enough strong evidence to support screening for vitamin D deficiency or vitamin D supplementation in thyroid profile. Several factors will be still considered in future studies, such as seasonal adjustment of vitamin D levels, and variable populations.

As a matter of fact there is a considerable amount of discrepancies in the literatures with the same or even similar concern, such discrepancies could be due to variety of factors, such as the severity of illness, duration of illness, the dietary regime, type of supplement intake, and perhaps many others. In this context further studies are needed to capture as much as possible of these factors in order to come up with more reliable conclusion.

5. References

1. Haq A, Svobodová J, Imran S, Stanford C, Razzaque MS. Vitamin D deficiency: A single centre analysis of patients from 136 countries. The Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. 2016;164:209-13.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2016.02.007

2. Forrest KYZ, Stuhldreher WL. Prevalence and correlates of vitamin D deficiency in US adults. Nutrition Research. 2011;31(1):48-54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nutres.2010.12.001

3. Lu Z, Chen TC, Zhang A, Persons KS, Kohn N, Berkowitz R, Martinello S, Holick MF. An evaluation of the vitamin D3 content in fish: Is the vitamin D content adequate to satisfy the dietary requirement for vitamin D? The Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. 2007;103(3):642-4.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2006.12.010

4. Bikle D. Nonclassic Actions of Vitamin D. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism. 2009;94(1):26-34. <u>https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2008-1454</u>

5. Prietl B, Treiber G, Pieber TR, Amrein K. Vitamin D and Immune Function. Nutrients. 2013;5(7):2502-21.

https://doi.org/10.3390/nu5072502

6. Wacker M, Holick MF. Vitamin D — Effects on Skeletal and Extraskeletal Health and the Need for Supplementation. Nutrients. 2013;5(1):111-48. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu5010111

7. D'Aurizio F, Villalta D, Metus P, Doretto P, Tozzoli R. Is vitamin D a player or not in the pathophysiology of autoimmune thyroid diseases? Autoimmunity Reviews. 2015;14(5):363-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autrev.2014.10.008

8. Yasuda T, Okamoto Y, Hamada N, Miyashita K, Takahara M, Sakamoto F, Miyatsuka T, Kitamura T, Katakami N, Kawamori D, Otsuki M, Matsuoka T-a, Kaneto H, Shimomura I. Serum vitamin D levels are decreased and associated with thyroid volume in female patients with newly onset Graves' disease. Endocrine. 2012;42(3):739-41. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12020-012-9679-y

9. Talaei A, Ghorbani F, Asemi Z. The Effects of Vitamin D Supplementation on Thyroid Function in Hypothyroid Patients: A Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled Trial. Indian J Endocrinol Metab. 2018;22(5):584-8. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijem.IJEM_603_17

10. Wang J, Lv S, Chen G, Gao C, He J, Zhong H, Xu Y. Meta-analysis of the association between vitamin D and autoimmune thyroid disease. Nutrients. 2015;7(4):2485-98. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu7042485

11. Giovannucci E, Liu Y, Rimm EB, Hollis BW, Fuchs CS, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC. Prospective Study of Predictors of Vitamin D Status and Cancer Incidence and Mortality in Men. JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute. 2006;98(7):451-9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djj101</u>

12. Gross MD. Vitamin D and Calcium in the Prevention of Prostate and Colon Cancer: New Approaches for the Identification of Needs. The Journal of Nutrition. 2005;135(2):326-31. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/135.2.326</u>

13.Kim D. The Role of Vitamin D in Thyroid Diseases.InternationalJournalofMolecularSciences.2017;18(9):1949.https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms18091949

14. Mackawy AM, Al-Ayed BM, Al-Rashidi BM. Vitamin d deficiency and its association with thyroid disease. Int J Health Sci (Qassim). 2013;7(3):267-75. https://doi.org/10.12816/0006054

15. Zaletel K, Gaberscek S. Hashimoto's thyroiditis: from genes to the disease. Current genomics. 2011;12(8):576-88.

https://doi.org/10.2174/138920211798120763