

## Energy-Harvesting and Energy Aware Routing Algorithm (EHEARA) for Heterogeneous Energy WSNs

Mohammed Mehdi Saleh<sup>1</sup>, Ruslan Saad Abdulrahman<sup>2</sup>, Aymen Jaber Salman<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Education College – Qaim, University of Anbar, Iraq

<sup>2,3</sup> Department of Computer Engineering, College of Engineering, Al-Nahrain University, Iraq

### Article Info

#### Article history:

Received month dd, yyyy

Revised month dd, yyyy

Accepted month dd, yyyy

#### Keywords:

Wireless Sensor Networks

Solar Energy Harvesting

Clustering

Sleep-Awake mechanism

Heterogeneous networks

### ABSTRACT

Wireless sensor networks are regarded as the most essential components of contemporary technologies since they are in charge of sensing and monitoring processes, which are the primary functions of these technologies. Because these nodes rely on an unchangeable battery and are randomly deployed in the environment, node energy management is the most essential issue to consider when designing algorithms to enhance the network's life. Clustering is a WSN routing technique that has been implemented in order to extend network lifetime. Also, it is trendy to increase the energy levels of the node battery by utilizing various energy harvesting techniques in order to extend the network lifetime. In this paper, a new energy-aware clustering algorithm (EHEARA) has been proposed. The proposed algorithm is based on a dynamic clustering function and adopts a solar energy harvesting scheme in order to improve network lifetime. Furthermore, the active-sleep mechanism was used to distribute node activity and balance communication among nodes within clusters and cluster heads with the base station. The proposed algorithm is simulated using MATLAB, and the results show that it outperforms the LEACH, DEEC, and SEP algorithms in terms of network lifetime, energy consumption, and network throughput.

*This is an open access article under the [CC BY-SA](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) license.*



### Corresponding Author:

Mohammed Mehdi Saleh,  
Education College – Qaim, University of Anbar  
11, Ramadi, Iraq.  
Email: mohammedmhedi@uoanbar.edu.iq

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, wireless sensor networks (WSNs) are becoming increasingly important in many fields, such as military, industrial control, environmental monitoring, home automation. This type of network is mainly composed of hundreds of thousands of nodes with limited resources known as sensors [1], [2]. These sensors have the ability to communicate with each other to monitor and detect different parameters for a particular application. The sensors will send their sensed data which are continuously collected at all or at some of the sensor nodes and sent to the Base station (BS) for further processing [3]. Generally, sensors are typically powered by a battery, so as sensor networks grow in number and size, the replacement of exhausted batteries becomes time-consuming and inefficient [4]. While getting WSN big attention in the last decade, power consumption in the sending and receiving data between nodes is considered as one of the main challenges that needs more and more research from the scientific community [5]. In addition, it may be deployed in unreachable environments, making it difficult to manage energy consumption during the sensing and routing process. In all cases, one of the major goals for the design and development of any application in WSN is to maintain the sensor nodes alive and usable for as long as needed [6]–[8]. There are various fields wherein the

energy of sensor nodes consumed such as communication, sensing, processing, idleness and sleeping. Therefore, by managing these fields, the power of the nodes can be controlled [9]–[11]. The most critical problem is how the sensor nodes transmit their collected data to the BS. Heterogeneity is another challenge that should be considered, which can occur at different levels, for example, sensor nodes hardware capabilities, energy levels, communication links, sensing skills and tasks, and energy harvesting techniques [12]–[14].

The research community has proposed many routing algorithms to cope with these challenges, where cluster topology is one of these proposals and is considered as the most used because of its efficiency in terms of energy consumption [15]–[18]. Based on research in this area, the hierarchical routing technique is more efficient in terms of minimizing energy consumption and prolonging the life of the network [19], [20]. In this technique, the nodes are organized into clusters, and there is a central node called the cluster head (CH) for each cluster. CHs will be responsible for collecting data from sensors within the cluster, and then forwarding it to the BS. By managing and controlling the clustering process and the selection role of CHs, the energy consumption of the nodes can be balanced and reduced [21]. Furthermore, in order to make the process more efficient, the wake-up and sleeping mechanism of the nodes can be implemented on the basis of certain conditions and techniques. Instantly, once the residual energy of the node is below a certain threshold, it will send it to sleep mode where the consumption is too small, it can be ignored [22]. Solar Energy Harvesting (SEH) is a new technology used in WSN nodes as a solution to energy constraints, converting solar light into electrical energy to recharge node battery [23], [24]. The energy harvested is also used for powering the WSN node directly. Solar energy is the most efficient and highly motivating factor in the design of WSN nodes compared to other energy sources such as kinetics, thermal and radio frequency (RF) energy. The average potential energy collected from outdoor solar energy is 10-15 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> with an efficiency of up to 30% [25]–[27].

A new energy harvesting routing algorithm for heterogeneous energy WSNs is proposed in this paper. The proposed algorithm takes into account the heterogeneity of the network, where nodes have varying energy levels, and the ability of nodes to harvest energy. In addition, the energy harvesting capabilities of the nodes are taken into account in this proposed algorithm in both Cluster Head CH mode and Cluster Member CM mode. The network will be divided into two main groups according to energy level: the CH group and the CM group. In addition, a new approach was introduced to regulate the state of the nodes from active to sleep, and vice versa. Depending on what is given, we have chosen solar energy harvesting to provide alternative energy to WSNs as it has the highest power density and efficiency. The remaining sections of the paper are arranged as follows: Section 2, presents the System Model for the proposed algorithm. Section 3 displays the results of the simulation. Finally, in section 4, the conclusion of the paper is presented.

## 2. SYSTEM MODEL FOR THE PROPOSED ALGORITHM

### 2.1. Solar Energy Harvesting Model for the WSNs

Solar Energy Harvesting (SEH) is a technique that reuses unused solar energy from the ambient and transforms the light energy obtained into electrical energy. The light energy from sunlight rays should be completely used. The SEH-WSN node will use solar energy to operate the sensor node circuit and charge the battery for the future use of the sensor node. The energy harvested from solar radiation can be estimated on the basis of seasonal and diurnal cycles and weather prediction for the region. There are several predictive algorithms available to forecast potential available solar energy over time [26], [28], [29]. In [30], the production of electricity from solar energy is unregulated, and it can be predicted for the majority of SEH sensor network scenarios. In the model, the energy harvested over time is assumed to be uniform for all nodes used when deploying WSN in an open environment. As shown in Figure 1, energy harvested is simulated at different times of the day, based on the difference in diurnal variations in solar intensity. Assuming the day is divided into 24 WSN operating rounds, each round is of one-hour duration and the highest energy harvested during this round is  $Eh_{max}$ . The total energy-harvested  $Eh$  by node  $i$  in round  $r$  of WSN operations is given by [30].

$$Eh_i(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & r \bmod 24 \leq 7 \\ \frac{Eh_{max}(r \bmod 24 - 7)}{3}, & 7 < r \bmod 24 \leq 10 \\ Eh_{max}(12 - 0.2(r \bmod 24)), & 10 < r \bmod 24 \leq 15 \\ \frac{Eh_{max}(18 - (r \bmod 24))}{3}, & 15 < r \bmod 24 \leq 18 \\ 0, & 18 < r \bmod 24 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

The average energy-harvested by any node in where is between ( $1 \leq i \leq N$ ) per round (hour) is given by:

$$Eh_{av} = \frac{Eh_{max}}{24} \tag{2}$$

Random energy harvesting will be used in all nodes to simulate real energy harvesting, as shown in Equ.3. The value of ( $Eh_{max}$ ) will be randomly adjusted over ( $Eh_{lowest}, Eh_{highest}$ ) for each node. Whereas,  $Eh_{lowest}$  represents the lowest value of energy harvested by the node depending on the location of the node and the surrounding weather conditions, while  $Eh_{highest}$  represents the highest value of energy harvested under ideal conditions.

$$Eh_{max} = Eh_{lowest} + \frac{(Eh_{highest} - Eh_{lowest})}{(1+p_h)} \tag{3}$$

Where  $p_h$  represents the optimal harvest energy probability.




Figure 1. Simulation of a sensor node solar energy harvest process throughout the duration of a 24-hour day

## 2.2. Energy-Harvesting and Energy Aware Routing (EHEAR) algorithm

### 2.2.1. Network and Wireless Communication Model

Before going into the specifics of the algorithm, it's vital to establish the set of requirements and assumptions that the algorithm must meet.

1. Assume N sensor nodes are randomly deployed across a M×M area.
2. After deployment, the sensor nodes are in a fixed position, and each node has only one unique ID.
3. There is only one BS located in the center of the area, which is in stationary mode and has a continuous power supply.
4. The Node has characteristics such as energy heterogeneous, solar energy acquisition and data fusion.
5. The communication link is symmetrical, and it can determine the distance between the sender and itself based on the sender's transmitting power.
6. The transmitting power of the node can be adjusted according on the distance. When no data has to be transferred, the node enters a sleeping mode to conserve energy.
7. The sensor nodes will communicate with each other and with the BS through single-hop communication.
8. The clustering function is dynamic, which means, as soon as the clusters are formed and/or cluster heads are selected, they will be changeable according to certain thresholds.
9. The new round will start based on a time condition that is set at 1 hour (60 minutes), which is related to the time needed for the node to harvest the energy from the solar system every hour. The idea for the proposed algorithm is illustrated in Figure 3.

The simple radio communication model proposed by [31] was used in this paper to demonstrate energy dissipation in the transmitter (Tx) to operate the radio electronics and the power amplifier, and in the receiver (Rx) to operate the radio electronics, as shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2. wireless communication radio model


The energy consumed by an active node  $i$  during sending a message of length  $l_m$  bits across a distance  $d$  can be calculated as follows:

$$E_{Tx}(l_m, d) = \begin{cases} l_m E_{elec} + l_m \epsilon_{fs} d^2 & \text{if } d \leq d_o \\ l_m E_{elec} + l_m \epsilon_{mp} d^4 & \text{if } d > d_o \end{cases} \tag{4}$$


Where  $E_{elec}$  is the energy dissipation per bit of a transmitter Tx/receiver Rx electronic circuit.  $\epsilon_{fs}$  and  $\epsilon_{mp}$  are the radio parameter associated with energy dissipation in Tx amplifiers in free space and multipath scenarios, respectively (when  $d_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_{fs}}{\epsilon_{mp}}}$ ). In the receiver side, the energy consumption is based on the message size that is transmitted from the active cluster member CM nodes.

$$E_{Rx}(l_m, d) = l_m E_{elec} \tag{5}$$


Where  $E_{Rx}$  is the dissipating energy to receive the  $l_m$ -bits message.




(a): The main flowchart of proposed algorithm



(b): Setup phase (Cluster Head and Cluster Member Groups Formation)



(c): Setup phase (Cluster Head/Member Active/Sleep Mode)



(e): Steady State Phase

Figure 3: Flowchart of proposed algorithm.

### 2.2.2. The clustering function

The main goal of the clustering function is to optimize the energy consumption of the sensor nodes. This will be achieved by managing and controlling the process of cluster head selection and cluster formation. In general, the clustering function is separated into two main phases: the setup phase, where the cluster head nodes are selected, and cluster formation. The steady state phase is when the organized nodes begin to communicate with their cluster heads. The sections that follow will go over these two phases of the proposed algorithm in detail.

#### 2.2.2.1. Setup phase

According to the proposed model, the probable cluster head CH will be selected as well as the cluster that will be formed based on the highest residual energy of the node, its distance from the BS, and the distance between the sensor and its neighbor. The remaining sensors will be considered as cluster members CMs and will join with the nearest cluster head as shown in Figure 3(b). The sensor  $S(i)$  will be considered as qualified to be chosen as CH, if the following conditions are met: first, if the distance between the  $S(i)$  node and its

neighbor is less than 3 meters, second, if the distance between it and the BS  $d_{StoBS}(i)$  is greater than (d2) and less than (d0) and finally, if the residual energy level of the sensor S(i) is greater than the first threshold Th1, else S(i) will be considered a cluster member CM. The pseudocode used to set the first threshold Th1 is presented in algorithm 1 Table 1. According to the pseudocodes Algorithm 1, the  $E_S(i)$  represent the residual energy of sensor node S(i) for  $i=1,2,\dots,N$ . The Matlab function (maxk) returns a vector containing the N/2 largest elements of  $E_S(i)$ . Then will set first threshold Th1 equal to the lowest value of  $E_{max}$ .  $N_{CH}$  represents the number of cluster heads and  $N_{CM}$  represents the number of cluster members. Therefore, the total number of nodes N for both cases will be as illustrated below.

$$N = N_{CH} + N_{CM} \tag{6}$$

Table 1. The algorithm 1&2 for setting the threshold levels

Algorithm 1	Algorithm 2
> $[E_{max}] = \text{maxk}(E_S(i), N/2)$	> $[ECHmax] = \text{maxk}(E_{CH}, 1/p)$
> Set Th1 = $E_{max}(N/2)$	> Set Th2 = ECHmax (1/p)
> processing group 2	> $[ECMmax] = \text{maxk}(E_{CM}, 1/\alpha)$
	> Set Th3 = ECMmax (1/α)
	> processing group 3

With regard to managing the sensor node’s energy utilization, and after completing the clustering function, the active-sleep mechanism will be adopted. The CH nodes and CM nodes will be divided into active and sleeping based on their residual energy and the required number of active cluster head nodes. Based on design assumptions, the number of active cluster head nodes and sleep cluster head nodes will be set equal to 1/P. The CH nodes will be divided into active cluster head nodes  $N_{CHA}$  and sleep cluster head nodes  $N_{CHS}$  based on their residual energy according to the second threshold value Th2. Also, for the cluster member nodes, they will be divided into active cluster members  $N_{CMA}$ , and sleep cluster members  $N_{CMS}$  according to the third threshold Th3 values as shown in figure 3(c). If the sensor S(i) which is selected as CH has a residual energy level greater than the second threshold  $Th2$ , it will be considered as an active CH; otherwise, it will go into sleep mode. In terms of cluster members, if the sensor S(i) chosen as a CM has a residual energy level greater than the third threshold  $Th3$ , but less than  $Th2$ , it will be set to an active CM; otherwise, it will enter sleep mode. In order to understand this step, the pseudocode for setting the value of  $Th2$ ,  $Th3$  and active/sleep cluster heads and cluster members is presented in Algorithm 2 Table 1. In general, the total number of cluster head nodes  $N_{CH}$  in both cases is illustrated below.

$$N_{CH} = N_{CHA} + N_{CHS} \tag{7}$$

Furthermore, the total number of cluster member nodes  $N_{CM}$  is represented below.

$$N_{CM} = N_{CMA} + N_{CMS} \tag{8}$$

Finally, the total number of active nodes ( $N_{ACTIVE}$ ) (as active CHs and CMs) is explained in the equation below.

$$N_{ACTIVE} = N_{CHA} + N_{CMA} \tag{9}$$

**2.2.2.1.1. Energy consumption analysis for clustering function**

In order to understand how the nodes consumption and manage their energy, this section includes the energy consumption model for the proposed design. For cluster head nodes, if there are  $N_{CHA}$  clusters, there are on average  $N_{ACTIVE}/N_{CHA}$  nodes per cluster (one active CH and  $((N_{ACTIVE}/N_{CHA}) - 1)$  active CM nodes). The energy dissipated  $E_{CHA}$  in the active CH node during a single round in various fields is:

$$E_{CHA} = \left(\frac{N_{ACTIVE}}{N_{CHA}} - 1\right) E_{RxCHA} + \left(\frac{N_{ACTIVE}}{N_{CHA}}\right) E_{DA} + E_{TxCHA} \tag{10}$$

Where  $(E_{RxCHA} = l_m E_{elec})$  is the dissipating energy to receive the  $l_m$ -bits message from the active cluster member nodes. During the aggregation of data from active CM nodes, the energy dissipated to aggregate data EDA can be expressed as  $(E_{DA} = l_m E_{da})$ . The dissipating energy to transmit the aggregate data from the active CH to the BS is  $E_{TxCHA}$ . Assuming the base station BS in the central of the target area and that the distance of any node to the BS is less than  $d_0$ . So the free space model ( $d^2$  power loss) will be recommended for the transmissions side so  $(E_{TxCHA} = l_m E_{elec} + l_m \epsilon_{fs} d_{CH-to-BS}^2)$ .

$$E_{CHA} = \left(\frac{N_{ACTIVE}}{N_{CHA}}\right) l_m E_{elec} + \left(\frac{N_{ACTIVE}}{N_{CHA}}\right) l_m E_{da} + l_m E_{elec} + l_m \epsilon_{fs} d_{CHA-to-BS}^2 \tag{11}$$

On other hand, for active cluster member node only needs to send its data to the corresponding active CH once in a frame. The distance to the active cluster head is typically less than  $d_0$ , so the energy dissipation  $E_{CMA}$  in each active cluster member node is:

$$E_{CMA} = l_m E_{elec} + l_m \epsilon_{fs} d_{CMA\_to\_CHA}^2 \quad (12)$$

The distance from the active CM node to the active CH node ( $d_{CMA\_to\_CHA}$ ) and the distance from active CH to the BS ( $d_{CHA\_to\_BS}$ ) for scenario of equal node distribution [30] with  $N_{CHA}$  the number of clusters are defined as ( $d_{CMA\_to\_CHA} = d_2 = \frac{M}{\sqrt{2\pi N_{ACH}}}$ ) and ( $d_{CHA\_to\_BS} = d_1 = 0.765 \frac{M}{2}$ ) where  $M$  represents the sensing area.

### 2.2.2.2. Steady State phase

At this phase, the sensor nodes will start sensing and transmitting data to the active cluster head nodes once every cycle, according to a timer. The active CH nodes, will collect data from their members, aggregate it, and send it to the base station. The main concept for this step is shown in Figure 3 (e). Overall, The energy dissipated in a cluster  $E_{cluster}$  during the frame is:

$$E_{cluster} = E_{CHA} + \left( \frac{N_{ACTIVE}}{N_{CHA}} - 1 \right) E_{CMA} \approx E_{CHA} + \frac{N_{ACTIVE}}{N_{CHA}} E_{CMA} \quad (13)$$

Finally, the total energy consumption for the whole network is shown in the equation below.

$$E_{total} = N_{CHA} E_{cluster} = N_{CHA} E_{ACH} + N_{ACTIVE} E_{ACM} \quad (14)$$

In the case of nodes with energy-harvesting capability and an energy heterogeneity situation, a round's effective energy will take into account both lost and harvested energy. According the recommended solar energy harvesting technique defined in Section 2.1, the average harvested energy  $E_{hav}$  can be considered as the collecting energy per round for any node. The effective energy dissipation with the average harvested energy of the network for one round of operation is given by:

$$E_{Round} = E_{total} - E_{hav} \quad (15)$$

## 3. SIMULATION AND RESULTS

In our simulation, 100 sensor nodes with solar energy harvesting are randomly distributed in a 100mx100m area, with the base station located at (50m, 50m). Assume the sensors energy  $E_s$  are randomly set from (0.5J to 1J). MATLAB was used to run the simulation and analysis of the proposed model, and Table 2 lists the parameters that were taken into consideration. As mentioned previously, During the setup phase, the CHs will be selected based on distance and residual energy to extend the network's life. In addition to that, the nodes will be classifying into active and sleep groups. This classification is to ensure that the node has enough energy to deliver information to the BS and prolong the life of the network. It is worth noting that the entry of a number of nodes into a state of early sleep, despite the presence of sufficient energy to perform their work for several rounds, contributed to the strengthening of the network's work, as the node will not start sleeping in a state of zero energy as in the rest of the algorithms. For energy heterogeneity consideration, LEACH, DEEC and SEP are upgraded as discussed in [30], [32]. All three algorithms have been upgraded to include single-hop communication and random level energy-harvesting capabilities as discussed in section 2. Uniformity in the energy-harvesting behavior of these algorithms was maintained to provide an unbiased comparison of observing different cluster header selection behaviors and mechanisms for different algorithms. As shown in Figure 5, you will notice that there are 10 active CH nodes and 10 sleeping CH nodes. With this, there will be 10 clusters distributed around the BS to cover the target area. Each cluster contains from 5 to 8 active CM nodes according to the location of the active CH during the round ( $r$ ). According to the residual energy, the number of sleep CM nodes  $\alpha$  will be semi-constant here, which is equal to (9 or 10) nodes, as shown in Figure 5. The remaining CM nodes will be active based on the amount of energy they have available during the round ( $r$ ).

As shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7, the number of active/sleep nodes affecting the longevity of the network. For the EH-SEP the first node enters sleep mode in 1912th round, the number of sleep nodes progressively increases until it reaches 42 in the 8760th round. The first node to enter the sleep mode in the EH-DEEC algorithm was in the 5373rd round, and the number of nodes that entered the sleep mode gradually increased to become in the 8760th round's 52 nodes. The first node in the EH-LEACH algorithm entered sleep mode in the 5071st round, and the number of nodes increased until it reached 58 sleeping nodes in the 8760th

round as seen in Table 3 and figure 7. It is clear that our proposed algorithm EHEARA is more efficient and more stable than other algorithms. The simulation results also demonstrate the algorithm's stability over the working period, where the number of sleeping nodes settled 19 nodes with better performance in terms of packets sent to BS and energy consumption as shown in Figure 8 & 9 and Table 3.

Table 2. Simulation parameters

Simulation parameter	Symbol	Value	Simulation parameter	Sym bol	Value
Number of sensor nodes	N	100	Data Aggregation energy consumption	$E_{DA}$	5 nJ/bit/signal
Deployment area	M	100m x100m	Heterogeneous initial energy	$E_s$	Rand (0.5,1) J
Total number of rounds	r	8760 hours	Desired probability of CH	P	0.1
Packet length	ml	4000 bit	Distance between active CH and BS	d1	38.25 m
Sink node position	-	50x50	Distance between active CMs and CHs	d2	24.9649 m
Network deployment	-	Randomly	Threshold to receive a message of ml bit	d0	87.7058 m
Energy consumption of Tx/Rx electronics circuit	$E_{elec}$	50 nJ/bit	Harvested Energy lower bound	$Eh_{low}$	0.00005J
Free-space channel parameter	$E_{fs}$	10 pJ/bit/m2	Harvested Energy upper bound	$Eh_{high}$	0.00015J
Multipath channel parameter	$E_{mp}$	0.0013 pJ/bit/m4	Optimal harvest energy probability	$p_h$	Rand (0,1)




Figure 4. Sensor Nodes with BS




Figure 5. Cluster formation with active/sleep nodes.




Figure 6. Comparison of number of active nodes.




Figure 7. Comparison of number of sleeping nodes.

As shown in Figure 8 and Table 3, when the proposed algorithm is used, the energy of the network after the 8760th round is equal to 35.5355J. These results are obtained because the proposed algorithm was computed in the most accurate and balanced manner, and total energy is utilized in a more balanced and distributed manner while CH is selected, where the proposed algorithm beats other existing algorithms. The simulation results for other algorithms (LEACH, DEES, SEP), show that the network energy has reached its lowest level of less than 8 joules in round 8760. In this regard, the proposed algorithm EHEARA increases the network lifetime over longer rounds better than the three clustering algorithms. Figure 9 shows the number of packets sent to the BS, as well as how the proposed algorithm increase network throughput. Table 3, shows the results of the simulation and comparison between the proposed algorithm and the rest of the algorithms in terms of residual energy, number of sent packets and number of active nodes.




Figure 8. Comparison of total residual energy at round r.




Figure 9. Comparison of the number of packets sent to the BS.

Table 3. Compared with other algorithms

No. of round	Network residual energy in J				Total number of packets send to BS				Number of sensor nodes active per r			
	EHEARA	DEEC	SEP	LEACH	EHEARA	DEEC	SEP	LEACH	EHEARA	DEEC	SEP	LEACH
1	75.3525	75.3518	75.3520	75.3518	10	10	4	4	81	100	100	100
1000	70.8114	66.0254	65.0973	66.1661	9990	8961	10252	5000	81	100	100	100
2000	66.2794	56.6159	54.8867	56.8999	19990	17695	20481	10000	81	100	98	100
3000	61.7266	47.1466	45.5679	47.5222	29990	25936	30308	15000	81	100	93	100
4000	57.1860	37.6928	37.2031	38.1029	39990	33538	39696	20000	81	100	90	100
5000	52.6017	28.2690	29.2091	28.7995	49990	40455	48979	25000	81	100	90	100
6000	48.0791	19.1400	21.7592	20.0795	59990	46475	58223	29997	81	94	83	87
7000	43.5348	11.5207	15.8187	12.8834	69990	51326	67520	34990	81	79	71	71
8000	38.9920	6.3001	10.8748	7.5234	79990	54509	76791	39967	81	59	63	59
8760	35.5355	3.7107	7.7921	4.6184	87590	55597	83881	43755	81	48	58	42

#### 4. CONCLUSION

WSN faces many obstacles to being the ideal solution for environmental monitoring. One of the biggest obstacles was power consumption and changing the batteries. Therefore, using solar energy harvesting is considered one of the effective solutions, but we need to balance the time it needed to recharge the node battery with the time it needed to consume the harvested energy to ensure a steady-state performance for the network. In this paper, we proposed a new algorithm that takes into consideration this required balance and ensures to keep the network working with the same performance it starts with for a long time. As shown in the simulation results, Table 3, the proposed algorithm offers the largest amount of residual energy compared to other algorithms. In addition, it is the best performer in terms of the number of packets sent to BS. The proposed algorithm also maintains the same performance throughout all 8760 rounds representing an entire year, by working with 81 active sensor nodes and 19 sleeping nodes through all 8760 rounds.

#### REFERENCES




- [1] J. Y. Lee and D. Lee, "Improvement of CH election in three-level heterogeneous WSN," *Indones. J. Electr. Eng. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 13, no. 1, 2019, doi: 10.11591/ijeecs.v13.i1.pp272-278.
- [2] M. M. Saleh, A. A. Abbas, and A. Hammoodi, "5G cognitive radio system design with new algorithm asynchronous spectrum sensing," *Bull. Electr. Eng. Informatics*, vol. 10, no. 4, Aug. 2021, doi: 10.11591/eei.v10i4.2839.
- [3] B. Abood and Y. K. Al-Rikabi, "Lifetime enhancement for clustering protocols in heterogeneous wireless sensor networks," *Indones. J. Electr. Eng. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 14, no. 3, 2019, doi: 10.11591/ijeecs.v14.i3.pp1305-1314.
- [4] B. S. Jayasri and G. Raghavendra Rao, "A novel predictive optimization scheme for energy-efficient reliable operation of a sensor in dynamic scenarios," *Int. J. Electr. Comput. Eng.*, vol. 10, no. 1, 2020, doi: 10.11591/ijece.v10i1.pp323-332.
- [5] A. Naushad, G. Abbas, S. A. Shah, and Z. H. Abbas, "Energy Efficient Clustering with Reliable and Load-Balanced Multipath Routing for WSNs," 2020, doi: 10.1109/ICACS47775.2020.9055957.
- [6] K. W. Al-Ani, A. S. Abdalkafor, and A. M. Nassar, "An overview of wireless sensor network and its applications," *Indones. J. Electr. Eng. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 17, no. 3, 2019, doi: 10.11591/ijeecs.v17.i3.pp1480-1486.
- [7] M. Q. Taha, Z. H. Ali, and A. K. Ahmed, "Two-level scheduling scheme for integrated 4G-WLAN network," *Int. J. Electr. Comput. Eng.*, vol. 10, no. 3, 2020, doi: 10.11591/ijece.v10i3.pp2633-2643.
- [8] M. Saleh, "WSNs and IoT Their Challenges and applications for Healthcare and Agriculture: A Survey," *Iraqi J. Electr. Electron. Eng.*, vol. 3d, pp. 37–43, Jul. 2020, doi: 10.37917/ijece.sceer.3rd.6.
- [9] L. K. Ketshabetswe, A. M. Zungeru, M. Mangwala, J. M. Chuma, and B. Sigweni, "Communication protocols for wireless sensor networks: A survey and comparison," *Heliyon*, vol. 5, no. 5, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e01591.






- [10] B. Hasan, S. Alani, and M. A. Saad, "Secured node detection technique based on artificial neural network for wireless sensor network," *Int. J. Electr. Comput. Eng.*, vol. 11, no. 1, 2021, doi: 10.11591/ijece.v11i1.pp536-544.
- [11] Y. S. Alwan, M. S. Zidan, and M. Q. Taha, "Evaluation of mobile microwave electric field severity at al-door residential complex in Iraq," *Indones. J. Electr. Eng. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 14, no. 3, 2019, doi: 10.11591/ijeecs.v14.i3.pp1281-1285.
- [12] M. Zeng, X. Huang, B. Zheng, and X. Fan, "A heterogeneous energy wireless sensor network clustering protocol," *Wirel. Commun. Mob. Comput.*, vol. 2019, 2019, doi: 10.1155/2019/7367281.
- [13] K. Nagarathna, "Energy-aware strategy for data forwarding in IoT ecosystem," *Int. J. Electr. Comput. Eng.*, vol. 10, no. 5, 2020, doi: 10.11591/ijece.v10i5.pp4863-4871.
- [14] V. Sivasankarareddy and G. Sundari, "Survey on wireless sensor networks: Energy efficient optimization routing algorithms," *Indones. J. Electr. Eng. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 19, no. 2, 2020, doi: 10.11591/ijeecs.v19.i2.pp756-765.
- [15] P. Rawat, S. Chauhan, and R. Priyadarshi, "Energy-Efficient Clusterhead Selection Scheme in Heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Network," *J. Circuits, Syst. Comput.*, vol. 29, no. 13, 2020, doi: 10.1142/S0218126620502047.
- [16] R. Sridhar and N. Guruprasad, "Energy efficient chaotic whale optimization technique for data gathering in wireless sensor network," *Int. J. Electr. Comput. Eng.*, vol. 10, no. 4, 2020, doi: 10.11591/ijece.v10i4.pp4176-4188.
- [17] N. M. S. Almurisi and T. Srinivasulu, "A novel scheme for energy-efficient bridge layer in sensor-cloud," *Indones. J. Electr. Eng. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 18, no. 2, 2020, doi: 10.11591/ijeecs.v18.i2.pp1048-1056.
- [18] D. L. Shanthi and K. Prasanna, "Energy efficient intelligent routing in WSN using dominant genetic algorithm," *Int. J. Electr. Comput. Eng.*, vol. 10, no. 1, 2020, doi: 10.11591/ijece.v10i1.pp500-511.
- [19] A. A. Hussien, S. W. Al-Shammari, and M. J. Marie, "Performance evaluation of wireless sensor networks using LEACH protocol," *Indones. J. Electr. Eng. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 19, no. 1, 2020, doi: 10.11591/ijeecs.v19.i1.pp395-402.
- [20] J. Metan and K. N. N. Murthy, "FSDA: Framework for Secure Data Aggregation in Wireless Sensor Network for Enhancing Key Management," *Int. J. Electr. Comput. Eng.*, vol. 8, no. 6, 2018, doi: 10.11591/ijece.v8i6.pp4684-4692.
- [21] A. El Aalaoui and A. Hajraoui, "Energy efficiency of organized cluster election method in wireless sensor networks," *Indones. J. Electr. Eng. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 18, no. 1, 2019, doi: 10.11591/ijeecs.v18.i1.pp218-226.
- [22] D. Ye and M. Z. Au, "A Self-Adaptive Sleep/Wake-Up Scheduling Approach for Wireless Sensor Networks," *IEEE Trans. Cybern.*, vol. 48, no. 3, 2018, doi: 10.1109/TCYB.2017.2669996.
- [23] D. Gurusamy and S. Abas, "Modified clustering algorithms for energy harvesting wireless sensor networks- a survey," 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACITT50332.2020.9300078.
- [24] A. Kumar and B. Alam, "Energy harvesting earliest deadline first scheduling algorithm for increasing lifetime of real time systems," *Int. J. Electr. Comput. Eng.*, vol. 9, no. 1, 2019, doi: 10.11591/ijece.v9i1.pp539-545.
- [25] S. Pundir, M. Wazid, A. Bakshi, and D. P. Singh, "Optimized low-energy adaptive clustering hierarchy in wireless sensor network," in *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, 2021, vol. 1162, doi: 10.1007/978-981-15-4851-2\_4.
- [26] T. Lu, G. Liu, and S. Chang, "Energy-efficient data sensing and routing in unreliable energy-harvesting wireless sensor network," *Wirel. Networks*, vol. 24, no. 2, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s11276-016-1360-6.
- [27] D. Sharma and A. P. Bhondekar, "Traffic and Energy Aware Routing for Heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Networks," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 22, no. 8, 2018, doi: 10.1109/LCOMM.2018.2841911.
- [28] O. M. Bushnaq, A. Chaaban, S. P. Chepuri, G. Leus, and T. Y. Al-Naffouri, "Sensor placement and resource allocation for energy harvesting IoT networks," *Digit. Signal Process. A Rev. J.*, vol. 105, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.dsp.2020.102659.
- [29] A. Rashid, F. Khan, T. Gul, S. Khan, and F. K. Khalil, "Improving energy conservation in wireless sensor networks using energy harvesting system," *Int. J. Adv. Comput. Sci. Appl.*, vol. 9, no. 1, 2018, doi: 10.14569/IJACSA.2018.090149.
- [30] D. Sharma and A. P. Bhondekar, "An Improved Cluster Head Selection in Routing for Solar Energy-Harvesting Multi-heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Networks," *Wirel. Pers. Commun.*, vol. 108, no. 4, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s11277-019-06518-4.
- [31] W. B. Heinzelman, A. P. Chandrakasan, and H. Balakrishnan, "An application-specific protocol architecture for wireless microsensor networks," *IEEE Trans. Wirel. Commun.*, vol. 1, no. 4, 2002, doi: 10.1109/TWC.2002.804190.
- [32] X. N. Xu, M. B. Xiao, and W. Yan, "Clustering Routing Algorithm for Heterogeneous WSN with Energy Harvesting," *Appl. Mech. Mater.*, vol. 733, 2015, doi: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/amm.733.734.

## BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS






**Mohammed Mehdi Saleh**    received a bachelor's degree in Electrical Engineering from the University of Anbar, Iraq in 2009 and a master's degree in Electronics and Communication Engineering (SSP) from Osmania University, India in 2014. He has served as a teaching assistant for the following undergraduate courses taught at Al-maaref University College: Coding Theory, Computer Network, Real Time Signal Processing, OFC and he is presently teaching staff at University of Anbar. His main interests are in 5G, WSNs.



**Ruslan Saad Abdulrahman**    received her B.Sc. degree in Computer Engineering from Al-Nahrain University, Iraq (2003), and her M.Sc. in Computer Engineering from Al-Nahrain University/Iraq (2006). PhD in Computer Networks/University of Salford/UK (2017). She has served as a lecturer for the following undergraduate and postgraduate courses at Al-Nahrain University: Computer Networks, Information Technology, Website Design, Wireless Networks, Multimedia Communications, and Operating Systems. Her main interests are in WSNs, computer networks, and IoT.



**Aymen Jaber Salman**    holds a B.Sc. in Electrical and Electronics Engineering and an M.Sc. in Computer Engineering and Information Technology from the University of Technology, Iraq, in 2002 and 2006, respectively. He received his Ph.D. in Data Telecommunication and Networks from the University of Salford, UK, in 2017. Currently, he is the head of the Computer Engineering Department at Al-Nahrain University. His research interests include Domain Specific Languages, Model-Driven Development, Web Services, the Internet of Things (IoT) and Wireless Sensor Networks.