

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

## Effect of Different Substrates and Supplement with Three Types of Spawn on *Letinula Edodes* Parameters for First Production in Iraq

To cite this article: Mohammed Barakat Abdullah *et al* 2022 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.* **1060** 012060

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- [The removal of heavy metals by iron mine drainage sludge and \*Phragmites australis\*](#)  
Nguyen Thi Hoang Ha and Bui Thi Kim Anh
- [Invasive \*Phragmites\* provides superior wave and surge damage protection relative to native plants during storms](#)  
Y Peter Sheng, Adail A Rivera-Nieves, Ruizhi Zou *et al.*
- [Use Remote Sensing Techniques to Study Epiphytic Algae on \*Phragmites australis\* in Um El-Naaj Lake, Maysan Province, Southern Iraq](#)  
AL NAQEEB Neran A., AL HASSANY Jinan S. and MASHEE Fouad K.



The Electrochemical Society  
Advancing solid state & electrochemical science & technology

### 242nd ECS Meeting

Oct 9 – 13, 2022 • Atlanta, GA, US

Early hotel & registration pricing  
ends September 12

Presenting more than 2,400  
technical abstracts in 50 symposia

The meeting for industry & researchers in

**BATTERIES**  
**ENERGY TECHNOLOGY**  
**SENSORS AND MORE!**



**ECS Plenary Lecture featuring  
M. Stanley Whittingham,**  
Binghamton University  
Nobel Laureate –  
2019 Nobel Prize in Chemistry



# Effect of Different Substrates and Supplement with Three Types of Spawn on *Lentinula Edodes* Parameters for First Production in Iraq

Mohammed Barakat Abdullah<sup>1</sup>, Idham Ali Abed<sup>2</sup> and Jamal Salih Alkobaisy<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Care Talent Sports, Ministry of Youth and Sport, Anbar, Iraq.

<sup>2</sup> College of Agriculture, University of Anbar, Anbar, Iraq.

<sup>3</sup> College of Agriculture, University of Anbar, Anbar, Iraq.

<sup>1</sup>E-mail: muhammadbrakat46@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>E-mail: ds.dr.idhamalassaffi@uoanbar.edu.iq

<sup>3</sup>E-mail: ag.jamal.saleh@uoanbar.edu.iq

**Abstract.** Shiitake mushroom (*Lentinula edodes*) can have an important role specially in agriculture where land is limited. This study was the first step to improve mushroom cultivation in Iraq by using locally available lignocellulosic materials as substrates, supplements and types of spawn. Effect of substrates, supplements and spawn on mycelium and browning period, time of three flush, weight of three flush, biological efficiency (BE), total yield, diameter of cap and length of stalk. Used substrates were sawdust and *Phragmites australis*, supplements were *Sesbania sesban*, *Trifolium* and molasses factories residuals. The treatment were T1( sawdust 73%, *Sesbania sesban*20%), T2(Sawdust73%, *Trifolium*20%), T3(*Phragmites australis* vegetative73%, *Sesbania sesban*20%),T4 (*Phragmites australis* vegetative73%, *Trifolium*20%), T5 (*Phragmites australis* fruiting), T6 (*Phragmites australis* vegetative73%, *Trifolium*20%), T6(73%, *Sesbania sesban*20%) and 2% CaCO<sub>3</sub>, 5% molasses factories residuals for all treatment. Spawn used were wheat grains C<sub>1</sub>, date seeds C<sub>2</sub>, white corn grains C<sub>3</sub>. Results show that faster mycelium covering substrate was at T1 (23.167 days), T6 gave the first complete browning (55.417 days), the days of three flushes were (68.91, 88.42 and 107.83 days) for T5, T6 and T6 respectively. T6 was superior by showing highest values of weight of three flush (73.93, 76.13 and 49.06 g for the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd respectively), total yield and BE (199.14 g and 49.784 % respectively), and diameter of cap and length of stalk (6.7 and 6.0 cm respectively). Hence, T6 which content of *Phragmites australis* in fruiting stage, *Trifolium* and molasses was the best substrate used in this study, while C2 (date grains) was best type of spawn.

**Keywords.** Shiitake cultivation, *Lentinula edodes*, *Phragmites australis*, Date grains.

## 1. Introduction

*Lentinula edodes* (Berck.) pegler, known as shiitake mushroom, is one of the most commonly produced mushrooms in the world. There has been a significant increase in shiitake production over the years, in 2012 the productivity of shiitake was 4.5 million tons [1], where shiitake consider as natural source of protein with high level of sugar and polyunsaturated fatty acid and its flavor taste [2],



medical properties as antiviral [3], antibacterial [4], antifungal [5,6], cholesterol-lowering [7]. There are two methods to produce shiitake mushroom, one of them is-log cultivation placed on forest floor which made of dead trees cut to 12 inches in length and 2.5 to 7 inches as diameter [6], this method is too expensive and it takes long time to harvest, the 2<sup>nd</sup> method by using bags cultivation or sawdust indoor method and this is the most main method for mushrooms cultivation which recently applied world wide (75%), this method is applying by using residual agro-wastes and make its sawdust in small pieces. Shiitake mushroom is Basidiomycetes, which is type of white-rot fungi that have the most efficient lignin, cellulose and hemicellulose degraders in natural like hemicellulases, cellulases, and lignin-modifying enzymes [3,4], different agricultural residues may be used as substrates for mushroom cultivation [8], in Iraq there is a lot of agro-waste that is not used or burned, such as *Sesbania sesban*, *Phragmites australis*, *Trifolium*, as a source of cellulose, lignin and supplements (as nitrogen and sugar). *Phragmites australis* in Iraq is about (17300 ha) in 2000 as reported by [9] *Phragmites* content of cellulose ranged between 33-59% and lignin 22-33% [10]. The aim of this study is to produce shiitake mushroom for the first-time in Iraq using agricultural residuals with different types of spawn.

## 2. Methods and Materials

This study was carried out at the Dep. of Soil & Water Resources Sciences / College of Agricultural-University of Anbar, Mycelium of shiitake mushroom *L. edodes* was obtained from the UK [11]. The shiitake mycelia was produced on potato dextrose agar (PDA) and incubated at  $25 \pm 2$  for two weeks and then used, spawn was prepared on wheat grain, prepared mixture of white corn grain and date seeds inoculated with actively growing shiitake mycelia and incubated at  $25 \pm 2$  °C for mycelial growth until the mycelium fully covered the grains.

### 2.1. Preparing Substrates

*Sesbania sesban*, *Phragmites australis*, *Trifolium* collected from nature, cut to small pieces and dried, sawdust and molasses factories residuals then mixed with each one as show in table (1), all substrates weight 400 g in bags and pasteurized in hot water at 80 °C for 2 h after that then the water drained until 55-60% moisture [12]. After that sterilized at 121 °C at 1.5 br for 40 min, the process was repeated for 40 min again. After bags cooled down translocated to the laboratory and spawned with three types of spawn (wheat grains C<sub>1</sub>, date seeds C<sub>2</sub>, white corn grains C<sub>3</sub>) for each treatment in four replicates, which make it 72 treatments. Bags were incubated in dark at  $25 \pm 2$  °C, 80-85% Rh until bags fully covered with mycelium and dark brown-colored crust. After that stage the bags exposed to low temperature stimulus, as well as vibration stimulus, then opened and transported to fruiting room at 16 °C, 90-95% Rh, for 12hr lighting per day and fresh air every 2 h until the first flush, after that the substrates returned to vegetative room for 10 days, bags then soaked in water to recover the moisture moved to fruiting room, this process repeated until the third flush. The yield and various quality parameters for mushrooms were recorded regularly.

### 2.2. Evaluation of The Cultivation Parameters

Spawn run time (days), time to complete browning (days), time to first harvest (days), weight for-of three flushes (g), yield (g/kg), biological efficiency (%). Yields were obtained from three flushes and expressed as grams of fresh mushrooms harvested at maturity per gram of wet substrates (w/w), diameter of cap (cm), length of stalk (cm), biological efficiency was calculated as a percentage ratio of the fresh weight of harvest per gram of dry substrates [13].

**Table 1.** Formula of treatment and carbon, nitrogen ratio.

Treatments	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>	T <sub>5</sub>	T <sub>6</sub>
Sawdust	73	73	-	-	-	-
Phragmites australis vegetative	-	-	73	73	-	-
Phragmites australis fruiting	-	-	-	-	73	73
Trifolium	-	20	-	20	-	20
Sesbania sesban	20	-	20	-	20	-
molasses factories residuals	5	5	5	5	5	5
CaCO <sub>3</sub>	2	2	2	2	2	2
C%	52.75	51.86	50.93	51.30	49.64	49.95
N%	0.46	0.50	0.56	0.59	0.66	0.68

### 2.3. Statistical Analysis

The experiments were set up in a two way design to test four replicates of six treatment of substrates and supplements mixed and three types of spawn. The data obtained from the experiments were subjected to variance and means analyses using GINSTAT 12.0 for windows statistical computer program at a significant level LSD 5%.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Time From Inoculation to Complete Mycelium and Browning

Presented results in table (2) showing that there are a significant differences in time of mycelia fully covered the bags, the shorter period from inoculation was 23.167 days at T1 ( sawdust + Sesbania sesban+ molasses), the type of spawn was C2 ( date seeds ) 24.833 days, the shorter treatment between T& C was 22.5 days at T2C3 and T1C2 with decrease percent of 8% from longer period 30.5 days at T3C2. significant different was found in time to full browning between used substrates, the shorter period from inoculation (55.417 days) was shown by T6 (Phragmites australis fruiting stag +Trifolium+ molasses), C2 was the best type of spawn by showing shorter time (58.33 day) to browning, the best combination between T&C was T6C2 which gave 54.5 day to browning with decrease percent of 12% compared to longer period (66.5 day) at T2C3.

**Table 2.** Effect of different agro-waste in time of mycelia growth and browning period.

TREATMENTS	Time run mycelia days				Time run browning days			
	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>		C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	
T <sub>1</sub>	23.500	22.500	23.500	23.167	61.500	61.750	61.250	61.500
T <sub>2</sub>	24.250	24.500	22.500	23.750	63.500	62.500	66.500	64.167
T <sub>3</sub>	27.250	27.750	30.500	28.500	57.250	57.250	56.250	56.917
T <sub>4</sub>	27.500	23.750	27.500	26.250	57.500	57.500	58.250	57.750
T <sub>5</sub>	27.500	25.250	26.500	26.417	58.500	56.500	55.250	56.750
T <sub>6</sub>	25.500	25.250	25.250	25.333	55.250	54.500	56.500	55.417
	25.917	24.833	25.958	Means	58.917	58.333	59.000	Means
LSD 5%	TC = 0.777	C = 0.317	T = 0.449		TC = 0.771	C = 0.315	T = 0.445	

### 3.2. Effect of Treatment and Spawn on Time of Flushes

Table (3) show significant different ( $p < 0.001$ ) in the time of three flushes, time from inoculation to the first flush was 68.91 day at  $T_5$  and the shorter time for spawn was 71.92 for  $C_2$ , the shorter treatment between T&C was  $T_5C_2$  (68.500 day) which decreased around 14% from longer treatment  $T_2C_3$ . The time for second flush was 88.42 day for  $T_6$ , the shorter time for spawn type was 91.71 day at  $C_2$ , the relationship between T&C was  $T_5C_3$  87.25 day with significant decrease reached 14.25 from long treatment  $T_2C_3$ . The time for third flush was 107.833 days for  $T_6$ , the shorter time for spawn type was  $C_2$  111.71 day, the relationship between T&C was  $T_6C_3$  106.50 days with significant decrease 15% from long treatment  $T_2C_3$ .

**Table 3.** Effect of substrates with supplement and types of spawn on time of three flushes.

Treat	Time to First flush (days)				Time to Second flush (days)				Time to Third flush(days)			
	$C_1$	$C_2$	$C_3$		$C_1$	$C_2$	$C_3$		$C_1$	$C_2$	$C_3$	
$T_1$	78.50	75.50	75.50	76.50	97.75	95.50	95.5	96.25	117.50	116.5	118.5	117.5 0
$T_2$	72.75	76.50	82.50	77.25	93.75	96.50	101.5	97.25	114.25	116.5	121.5	117.4 2
$T_3$	72.25	71.50	70.50	71.41	91.75	91.25	90.5	91.17	111.5	110.75	111.75	111.3 3
$T_4$	71.00	70.50	73.25	71.58	90.75	90.75	92.5	91.33	110.75	110.5	112.5	111.2 5
$T_5$	70.75	68.50	67.50	68.91	90.75	87.75	87.25	88.58	110.50	107.5	107.0	108.3 3
$T_6$	70.00	69.00	70.25	69.75	88.50	88.50	88.25	88.42	108.50	108.5	106.5	107.8 3
	72.5	71.9	73.2	Mean	92.2	91.7	92.5	Mean	112.1	111.7	112.9	Mean
	4	2	5	s	1	1	8	s	7	1	6	s
LSD	TC = 0.9402			C = 0.3838	TC = 0.895			C = 0.363	TC = 0.863		T = 0.498	
5%	T = 0.5428				T = 0.517				C = 0.352			

### 3.3. Weight of Three Flushes

Table (4) show significant different ( $p < 0.001$ ) in weight of three flushes, weight for first flush was 73.935 g appeared in  $T_6$  and the high weight for spawn was 70.315g for  $C_2$ . Best interaction between T&C was at  $T_6C_1$  which showed 90.823 day with increase percent of 47.67% compared to the lowest ( $T_1C_1$ .) The weight for second flush was 76.138 g shown by  $T_6$ , while spawn type had the highest weight at  $C_2$  and gave 59.565 g. for the interaction between T and C; 84.750 g was the highest value shown by  $T_6C_3$  with significant increase reached 49.83% from the lowest treatment  $T_1C_1$ . Third flush obtained at  $T_6$  was the highest (49.065 g), the highest weight obtained from used spawn type was 54.618 g at  $C_2$ , T and C had the best interaction at  $T_6C_1$  by giving value reached (51.180 g) which increased significantly by 30.41% from lowest value shown by treatment  $T_1C_1$ .

### 3.4. Total Yield and Biological Efficiency BE

Result of total yield and biological efficiency (Table 5) demonstrated significant differences between applied treatments ( $P < 0.001$ ), the highest yield and BE% were found in  $T_6$  and type of spawn was  $C_2$ , T and C interacted significantly and was superior at  $T_6C_1$  that gave 212.60 g and 53.149 % with increased percent of 113.77% and 28.441% for yield and BE respectively from the lowest treatment  $T_1C_1$ .

**Table 4.** Effect of different substrates and supplements and type of spawn on weight of three flushes.

Treat.	Weight of first flush g				Weight of second flush g				Weight of third flush g					
	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>		C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>		C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>			
T <sub>1</sub>	43.150	60.560	65.778	56.496	34.915	46.675	53.538	45.043	20.767	35.447	44.037	33.418		
T <sub>2</sub>	65.748	75.705	70.650	70.701	54.410	50.490	52.578	52.493	35.282	35.475	35.635	35.464		
T <sub>3</sub>	54.465	59.105	53.173	55.581	65.368	55.832	47.977	56.393	49.802	48.492	30.185	42.827		
T <sub>4</sub>	51.135	77.653	60.643	63.143	48.013	70.980	49.765	56.253	45.167	50.883	38.837	44.963		
T <sub>5</sub>	61.885	67.632	60.850	63.456	55.935	60.347	50.960	55.748	46.305	55.270	44.797	48.791		
T <sub>6</sub>	90.823	81.235	49.748	73.935	70.595	73.067	84.750	76.138	51.180	45.618	47.875	49.065		
	61.201	70.315	60.140	mean	54.873	59.565	56.595	mean	41.417	45.618	40.228	mean		
	TC= 1.0349			T= 0.5975	TC= 0.9156			T= 0.5286	C=	TC=0.1146			T=0.1620	C =
	C= 0.4225				0.3738					0.2806				

**Table 5.** Effect of different substrates and supplements and type of spawn in total yield and BE.

TREAT.	Total yield g				BE%					
	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>		C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>			
T <sub>1</sub>	98.83	142.68	163.35	134.96	24.708	35.671	40.838	33.739		
T <sub>2</sub>	155.44	155.44	158.66	158.66	38.860	40.417	40.417	39.664		
T <sub>3</sub>	158.66	158.66	131.34	154.80	39.664	39.664	32.834	38.700		
T <sub>4</sub>	144.31	199.52	149.25	164.36	36.079	49.879	37.311	41.090		
T <sub>5</sub>	164.12	183.25	156.61	167.99	41.031	45.812	39.152	41.999		
T <sub>6</sub>	212.60	202.44	182.37	199.14	53.149	50.611	45.593	49.784		
	157.49	175.50	156.96	MEAN	39.373	43.875	39.241	MEAN		
LSD 5%	TC= 1.496			C=0.611	T= 0.864	TC = 0.3741			C= 0.1527	T= 0.2160

### 3.5. Diameter of Cap and Length of Stalk cm

Obtained results of cap diameter and stalk length (table 6) showing significant different between the treatments ( $P < 0.001$ ), the highest diameter and length was found in T6 and type of spawn was C2, the relationship between T&C the highest was T6C2 7.950 cm and 7.575 cm with increase 2.45% and 3.925 % for diameter and length, respectively from the lowest treatment T1C1 and T1C3.

**Table 6.** Effect of substrate and type of spawn on cap and stalk.

Treatment	Diameter of cap cm				Length of stalk cm					
	C1	C2	C3		C1	C2	C3			
T1	5.500	6.450	6.225	6.058	3.900	4.550	3.650	4.033		
T2	6.375	6.450	6.775	6.533	4.075	4.900	4.475	4.483		
T3	7.150	7.350	6.825	7.108	5.225	5.625	5.200	5.350		
T4	6.750	7.150	7.075	6.992	5.150	6.325	6.450	5.975		
T5	7.150	7.600	7.575	7.442	6.775	7.025	7.150	6.983		
T6	7.500	7.950	7.650	7.700	7.275	7.575	7.200	7.350		
	6.737	7.158	7.021	means	5.400	6.000	5.688	means		
LSD 5%	TC= 0.2406			C= 0.982	T= 0.1389	TC = 0.2514			C= 0.1062	T= 0.1451

#### 4. Discussion

Mentioned results were agreed with [5], findings where they reported that period of spawn run time of shiitake mushroom was 24-29 day, while [14], confirmed that time was 38.83 -59.0 days on different growing substrates, this may be due to the concentration of nitrogen in the substrates, Nitrogen supplementation play a significant regulatory role, the faster mycelia were found in low nitrogen [15], and that what we found in T1& T2 which had the faster growth and lowest nitrogen concentration as presented in table (1).

In another hand particle size of substrate plays an important role in growth of mycelium. *Phragmites australis* in this study was larger than sawdust, so the mycelia will take additional time to move to another piece in *Phragmites australis* and that clearly shown in figure (1.A), (12,14) reported that used corncob 50% with sawdust and wheat bran was best browning color and that related to corncob effect on substrate's physical properties, such as air permeability and water holding capacity. Result of flushes time agree with [16], which reported that the time from inoculation to first flush was 58-76 days, while [8], reported that time for first flush was 81-117 day, [17] reported (50-65 , 70-138 and 113-160) days for first, second and third flush respectively.

Nitrogen plays limited role in shiitake mushroom cultivation, T1,T2 was the lowest concentration of nitrogen, which could explain the reduced productivity of the three flushes, conjunction to their relatively high lignin content, and shiitake known have demonstrated higher ligninolytic enzyme activities in nitrogen sufficient condition [18]. Obtained results were in agreement with [19], who reported that high weight was 72.5, 104.7 and 69.2 g for the first, second and third flush for substrates chickpea straw, sunflower head residue and chickpea straw respectively, which were higher from [20], that reported values of that weight of 37.69, 60.04 and 30.92 g for the first, second and third flush respectively. While lowest weight (of three flush was 293, 268 and 297g respectively) recorded by [21]. Nitrogen plays limited role in shiitake mushroom cultivation, T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> were lower in nitrogen concentration, which could explain the reduced productivity of the three flushes, and that was agreed with [22], who reported that low nitrogen in substrate affect the yield, when they add (1%) they the yield increased. The total productivity and BE% results were highest from [23,24], findings where they reported that the total yield was 118.5 g. Kg (w/w) and 113-194 g respectively, but lowest from [2], who reported that total yield for three flushes was 233g. BE result agreed with [25]they reported that BE% was 25.12-54.17 %.

The yield and BE correlation with the increase in weigh of flush. The diameter and length result was highest from [26], they report that the diameter was 5.85- 7.09 cm and the length of stalk was 4.05-4.98 cm for different substrates, while, [27], they report that the diameter of cap was 7.37- 9.70 cm and the length of stalk was 6.2 cm when grown on wheat straw with different strains. There is many studies on showed that the formula sometimes effect on the shape of fruit body [28,29].



**Figure 1.** A: mycelium growth in substrate *Phragmites australis*, B: complete mycelium in sawdust, C starting fruit, D: complete fruiting in sawdust, E: complete fruiting in *Phragmites australis*, F: *Phragmites australis* substrate.

## Conclusion

In this study T<sub>6</sub> was best treatment for cultivation of shiitake mushroom, which content high ratio of nitrogen with enough ratio of sugar from molasses residuals, best type of spawn was C<sub>2</sub> which is date grains that make a spores in substrate and make suitable gas exchangeable.

## Reference

- [1] Ashrafuzzaman, M., Kamruzzaman, A.K.M Razi Ismail M. and Shahidullah, S.M. 2009 Comparative Studies on the Growth and Yield of Shiitake Mushroom (*Lentinus Edodes*) on Different Substrates, *Adv. Environ. Biol.*, 3(2): 195-203,
- [2] Atila, F. 2019. Compositional changes in lignocellulosic content of some agro-wastes during the production cycle of shiitake mushroom. *Scientia Horiticulturae*, 245(October 2018), 263-268.
- [3] Carvalho, M. A., Costa, L. M. A. S., Santos, D. M. S. E., Dias, D. R., Zied, D. C., & Dias, E. S. 2016 Ligninase and cellulase activity of *Lentinula edodes* (Berk.) Pegler strains in different culture media. *Journal of Pure & Applied Microbiology*, 10, 1683-1691.
- [4] Chen, L., Gong, Y., Cai, Y., Liu, W., Zhou, Y., Xiao, Y., Xu, Z., Liu, Y., Lei, X., Wang, G., Guo, M., Ma, X., & Bian, Y. 2016 Genome sequence of the edible cultivated mushroom *Lentinula edodes* (Shiitake) reveals insights into lignocellulose degradation. *PLoS One*, 11(8), e0160336.
- [5] Elisashvili, V., Kachlishvili, E., Asatiani, M., 2015 Shiitake medicinal mushroom *Lentinus edodes*(Higher Basidiomycetes) productivity and lignocellulolytic enzyme profiles during wheat straw and tree leaf bioconversion. *Int. J. Med. Mushroom* 17 (1), 77–86.
- [6] Fegel, T., Extenshion, N. R., Berger, C., & Foresty, E. 2017 Shiitake mushroom Nontimber Forest Products For Small Woodland Owners. *Forestry & Natural Resources Extension Program*.
- [7] Fukushima, M., Ohashi, T., Fujiwara, Y., Sonoyama, K., Nakano, M., 2001 Cholesterol-lowering effects of maitake (*Grifola frondosa*) fiber, shiitake (*Lentinus edodes*) fiber, and enokitake (*Flammulina velutipes*) fiber in rats. *Exp. Biol. Med.* 226 (8), 758–765.
- [8] Gaitán-Hernández, R. and G. Mata. 2004 Cultivation of edible mushroom *Lentinula edodes* (shiitake) in pasteurized wheat straw, alternative use of geothermal energy in Mexico. *Eng. Life Sci.* 4: 363-367.
- [9] Gaitán-Hernández, R., Aquino-Bolaños, E. N., Herrera, M., Salmones, D. 2020 Yield and phenolic content of shiitake mushrooms cultivated on alternative substrates. *Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture*. 2020. 32(3): 188-197. doi: 10.9755/ejfa.2020.v32.i3.2076.
- [10] Hassan, F.R.H. 2011 Utilization of Agro. and Agro-industrial Wastes for Cultivation of Shiitake (*Lentinus edodes*) an Edible and Medicinal Mushroom and Their Drying Aspects in Egypt. *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences*, 7(6): 491-497.
- [11] Hatvani, N., 2001 Antibacterial effect of the culture fluid of *Lentinus edodes* mycelium grown in submerged liquid culture. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents*. 17 (1), 71–73.
- [12] Hong, C. P., Moon, S., Yoo, S., Noh, J.-H., Ko, H.-G., Kim, H. A., Ro, H.-S., et al. 2020 Functional Analysis of a Novel ABL (Abnormal Browning Related to Light) Gene in Mycelial Brown Film Formation of *Lentinula edodes*. *Journal of Fungi*, 6(4), 272.
- [13] Jatav, R. S., Gupta, A. K., Anila, D., & Meena, A. K. 2012 Studies of different physical factors on mycelial growth of blue oyster mushroom [*hypsizygus ulmarius* (Bul.) redhead]. *International Journal of Agricultural and Statistical Sciences*, 8(1), 347-354.
- [14] Kaal, E.E., Field, J.A. & Joyce, T.W. 1995 Increasing lignolytic enzyme activities in several white-rot basidiomycetes by nitrogensufficient media. *Bioresource Technology* 53, 133–139.
- [15] Kumar, A. S., Sharma, V. P., Kumar, S., Barh, A., Banayal, S., & Kamal, S. 2018 Enzyme profile of Shiitake mushroom strains grown on wheat straw. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 75(3), 475-481.
- [16] Meng L, Fu Y, Li D, Sun X, Chen Y, Li X, Xu S, Li X, Li C, Song B, Li Y 2019 Effects of corn stalk cultivation substrate on the growth of the slippery mushroom (*Pholiota microspora*). *RSC Advances* 9 (10):5347-5353. doi:10.1039/c8ra10627d.



- [17] Muslat, M. M., AL- Assaffii, I. A.A., Owaid, M.N 2014 Agaricus bisporus product development by using local substrate with bio- amendment. *International Journal for Environment & Global Climate Change*.2(4).
- [18] Oki, T., Watanabe, H., Ishikawa, H., 1981. The biodegradation of lignin by shiitake *Lentinus edodes* (Berk.) Sing (in Japanese). *Food Agric. Organiz. U. N.* 27, 696–702.
- [19] Owaid, M.N., AL-saeedi, S. S., Abed, I. A. 2018 Cultivation Performance of *Pleurotus salmoneostramineus* Mushroom on Wastes of Date-palm Trunk, *Phoenix dactylifera* L., and Woodworking Sawdust. *Walailak Journal of Science and Technology*. 15(12): 831-839.
- [20] Ozcelik, E., Peksen, A., 2007 Hazelnut husk as a substrate for the cultivation of shiitake mushroom (*Lentinula edodes*). *Bioresour. Technol.* 98 (14), 2652–2658.
- [21] Philippoussis, A. N., Diamantopoulou, P. A., & Zervakis, G. I. 2003 Correlation of the properties of several lignocellulosic substrates to the crop performance of the shiitake mushroom *Lentinula edodes*. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 19(6), 551-557.
- [22] Philippoussis, A., Diamantopoulou, P., & Israilides, C. 2007 Productivity of agricultural residues used for the cultivation of the medicinal fungus *Lentinula edodes*. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*, 59(3), 216-219.
- [23] Puri, S. 2011 agricultural wastes as substrate for spawn production and their effect on shiitake mushroom cultivation. *International Journal of Science and Nature*. 2(4): 733-736.
- [24] Reis, S.R., Barros, L., Martins, A., Ferreira, I.C., 2012 Chemical composition and nutritional value of the most widely appreciated cultivated mushrooms: an inter-species comparative study. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 50 (2), 191–197.
- [25] Rincão, V.P., Yamamoto, K.A., Ricardo, N.M.P.S., Soares, S.A., Nozawa, C., Linhares, R.E.C., 2012 Polysaccharide and extracts from *Lentinula edodes*: structural features and antiviral activity. *Virol. J.* 9 (37), 1–6.
- [26] Rodewald-Rudescu, L. (1958) Schilfrohr und Fischkultur im Donaudelta (Reed stalk and fish culture in the Danube Delta). *Archiv für Hydrobiologie*, 54(3), 303–339 (in German).
- [27] Royse, D. J. 2014, November). A global perspective on the high five: *Agaricus*, *Pleurotus*, *Lentinula*, *Auricularia* & *Flammulina*. In *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Mushroom Biology and Mushroom Products (ICMBMP8)* (Vol. 1, pp. 1-6). (*Flammulina velutipes*) fiber in rats. *Exp. Biol. Med.* 226 (8), 758–765.
- [28] Royse, D.J., 1985 Effect of spawn run time and substrate nutrition on yield and size of the shiitake mushroom. *Mycologia* 77 (5), 756–762.
- [29] Shen, Q., Liu, P., Wang, X., Royse, D.J., 2008 Effects of substrate moisture content, log weight and filter porosity on shiitake (*Lentinula edodes*) yield. *Bioresour. Technol.* 99 (17), 8212–8216.