

On Chromatic Uniqueness of Certain 6-Partite Graphs

H. Roslan ¹, A. Sh. Ameen

School of Mathematical Sciences
University Sains Malaysia, 11800 Penang, Malaysia

Y. H. Peng

Department of Mathematics, and
Institute for Mathematical Research
University Putra Malaysia
43400UPM Serdang, Malaysia

Abstract

Let $P(G, \lambda)$ be the chromatic polynomial of a graph G . Two graphs G and H are said to be chromatically equivalent, denoted $G \sim H$, if $P(G, \lambda) = P(H, \lambda)$. We write $[G] = \{H | H \sim G\}$. If $[G] = \{G\}$, then G is said to be chromatically unique. In this paper, we first characterize certain complete 6-partite graphs with $6n + 2$ vertices according to the number of 7-independent partitions of G . Using these results, we investigate the chromaticity of G with certain star or matching deleted. As a by-product, many new families of chromatically unique complete 6-partite graphs with certain star or matching deleted are obtained.

Mathematical Subject Classification: 05C15

Keywords: Chromatic Polynomial; Chromatically Closed; Chromatic Uniqueness

¹hroslan@cs.usm.my

1 Introduction

All graphs considered here are simple and finite. For a graph G , let $P(G, \lambda)$ be the chromatic polynomial of G . Two graphs G and H are said to be *chromatically equivalent* (or simply χ -equivalent), symbolically $G \sim H$, if $P(G, \lambda) = P(H, \lambda)$. The equivalence class determined by G under \sim is denoted by $[G]$. A graph G is *chromatically unique* (or simply χ -unique) if $H \cong G$ whenever $H \sim G$, i.e, $[G] = \{G\}$ up to isomorphism. For a set \mathcal{G} of graphs, if $[G] \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ for every $G \in \mathcal{G}$, then \mathcal{G} is said to be χ -closed. Many families of χ -unique graphs are known (see [6,7,8]).

For a graph G , let $V(G)$, $E(G)$ and $t(G)$ be the vertex set, edge set and number of triangles in G , respectively. Let S be a set of s edges in G . Let $G - S$ (or $G - s$) be the graph obtained from G by deleting all edges in S , and by $\langle S \rangle$ the graph induced by S . Let $K(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t)$ be a complete t -partite graph. We denote by $\mathcal{K}^{-s}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t)$ the family of graphs which are obtained from $K(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t)$ by deleting a set S of some s edges.

In [4,5,7–10,12,13,14,17,18,19], one can find many results on the chromatic uniqueness of certain families of complete t -partite graphs ($t = 2, 3, 4, 5$). However, there are very few 6-partite graphs known to be χ -unique, see [3,15,16].

In [3,15,16], Chen and Roslan et al. have obtained many families of χ -unique graphs which are obtained by deleting the edges of a star or matching from a complete 6-partite graph with $6n + i$ vertices where $i = 0, 1, 5$. Thus, the aim of this paper is to study the chromaticity of the graphs which are obtained by deleting the edges of a star or matching from a complete 6-partite graph with $6n + 2$ vertices.

Let G be a complete 6-partite graph with $6n + 2$ vertices. In this paper, we characterize certain complete 6-partite graphs with $6n + 2$ vertices according to the number of 7-independent partitions of G . Using these results, we investigate the chromaticity of G with certain star or matching deleted. As a by-product, many new families of chromatically unique complete 6-partite graphs with certain star or matching deleted are obtained.

2 Some lemmas and notations

For a graph G and a positive integer r , a partition $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r\}$ of $V(G)$, where r is a positive integer, is called an r -independent partition of G if every A_i is independent of G . Let $\alpha(G, r)$ denote the number of r -independent partitions of G . Then, we have $P(G, \lambda) = \sum_{r=1}^p \alpha(G, r)(\lambda)_r$, where $(\lambda)_r = \lambda(\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2) \cdots (\lambda - r + 1)$ (see [11]). Therefore, $\alpha(G, r) = \alpha(H, r)$ for each $r = 1, 2, \dots$, if $G \sim H$.

For a graph G with p vertices, the polynomial $\sigma(G, x) = \sum_{r=1}^p \alpha(G, r)x^r$ is called the σ -polynomial of G (see [2]). Clearly, $P(G, \lambda) = P(H, \lambda)$ implies that $\sigma(G, x) = \sigma(H, x)$ for any graphs G and H .

For disjoint graphs G and H , $G \cup H$ denotes the disjoint union of G and H . The join of G and H denoted by $G \vee H$ is defined as follows: $V(G \vee H) = V(G) \cup V(H)$; $E(G \vee H) = E(G) \cup E(H) \cup \{xy \mid x \in V(G), y \in V(H)\}$. For notations and terminology not defined here, we refer [1].

Lemma 2.1 (Brenti [2], Koh and Teo [7]) *Let G and H be two disjoint graphs. Then*

- (1) $|V(G)| = |V(H)|$, $|E(G)| = |E(H)|$, $t(G) = t(H)$ and $\alpha(G, r) = \alpha(H, r)$ for $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots, p$, if $G \sim H$;
- (2) $\sigma(G \vee H, x) = \sigma(G, x)\sigma(H, x)$.

Lemma 2.2 (Brenti [2]) *Let $G = K(n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_t)$ and $\sigma(G, x) = \sum_{r \geq 1} \alpha(G, r)x^r$, then $\alpha(G, r) = 0$ for $1 \leq r \leq t - 1$, $\alpha(G, t) = 1$ and $\alpha(G, t + 1) = \sum_{i=1}^t 2^{n_i - 1} - t$.*

Let $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq x_3 \leq x_4 \leq x_5 \leq x_6$ be positive integers and $\{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, x_{i_3}, x_{i_4}, x_{i_5}, x_{i_6}\} = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6\}$. If there are two elements x_{i_1} and x_{i_2} in $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6\}$ such that $x_{i_2} - x_{i_1} \geq 2$, then $H' = K(x_{i_1} + 1, x_{i_2} - 1, x_{i_3}, x_{i_4}, x_{i_5}, x_{i_6})$ is called an *improvement* of $H = K(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6)$.

Lemma 2.3 (Chen [3]) *Suppose $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq x_3 \leq x_4 \leq x_5 \leq x_6$ and $H' = K(x_{i_1} + 1, x_{i_2} - 1, x_{i_3}, x_{i_4}, x_{i_5}, x_{i_6})$ is an improvement of $H = K(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6)$, then*

$$\alpha(H, 7) - \alpha(H', 7) = 2^{x_{i_2} - 2} - 2^{x_{i_1} - 1} \geq 2^{x_{i_1} - 1}.$$

Let $G = K(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6)$. For a graph $H = G - S$, where S is a set of some s edges of G , define $\alpha'(H) = \alpha(H, 7) - \alpha(G, 7)$. Clearly, $\alpha'(H) \geq 0$.

Lemma 2.4 (Chen [3]) *Let $G = K(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6)$. Suppose that $\min \{n_i | i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\} \geq s + 1 \geq 1$ and $H = G - S$, where S is a set of some s edges of G , then*

$$s \leq \alpha'(H) = \alpha(H, 7) - \alpha(G, 7) \leq 2^s - 1,$$

$\alpha'(H) = s$ iff the set of end-vertices of any $r \geq 2$ edges in S is not independent in H , and $\alpha'(H) = 2^s - 1$ iff S induces a star $K_{1,s}$ and all vertices of $K_{1,s}$ other than its center belong to a same A_i .

Let $K(A_1, A_2)$ be a complete bipartite graph with partite sets A_1 and A_2 . We denote by $K^{-K_{1,s}}(A_i, A_j)$ the graph obtained from $K(A_i, A_j)$ by deleting s edges that induce a star with its center in A_i . Note that $K^{-K_{1,s}}(A_i, A_j) \neq K^{-K_{1,s}}(A_j, A_i)$ if $|A_i| \neq |A_j|$ for $i \neq j$ (see [5]).

Lemma 2.5 (Dong et al. [4]) *Let $K(n_1, n_2)$ be a complete bipartite graph with partite sets A_1 and A_2 such that $|A_i| = n_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. If $\min \{n_1, n_2\} \geq s + 2$, then every $K^{-K_{1,s}}(A_i, A_j)$ is χ -unique, where $i \neq j$ and $i, j = 1, 2$.*

Let $G = K(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6)$ be a complete 5-partite graph with partite sets $A_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, 6)$ such that $|A_i| = n_i$. Let $\langle A_i \cup A_j \rangle$ be the subgraph of G induced by $A_i \cup A_j$, where $i \neq j$ and $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. By $K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6)$, we denote the graph obtained from $K(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6)$ by deleting a set of s edges that induce a $K_{1,s}$ with its center in A_i and all its end vertices are in A_j . Note that $K_{i,l}^{-K_{1,s}}(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6) = K_{j,l}^{-K_{1,s}}(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6)$ and $K_{l,i}^{-K_{1,s}}(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6) = K_{l,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6)$ for $n_i = n_j$ and $l \neq i, j$.

Lemma 2.6 (Chen [3]) *If $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, t\}$, $i \neq j$, $n_i \neq n_j$, then*
 $P(K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_t), \lambda) \neq P(K_{j,i}^{-K_{1,s}}(n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_t), \lambda)$.

3 Classification

In this section, we shall characterize certain complete 6-partite graph $G = K(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6)$ according to the number of 7-independent partitions of G where $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4 + n_5 + n_6 = 6n + 2, n \geq 1$.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $G = K(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6)$ be a complete 6-partite graph such that $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4 + n_5 + n_6 = 6n + 2, n \geq 1$. Define $\theta(G) = [\alpha(G, 7) - 2^{n+2} + 6]/2^{n-2}$. Then*

- (i) $\theta(G) \geq 0$;
- (ii) $\theta(G) = 0$ if and only if $G = K(n, n, n, n, n + 1, n + 1)$;
- (iii) $\theta(G) = 1$ if and only if $G = K(n - 1, n, n, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1)$;
- (iv) $\theta(G) = 2$ if and only if $G = K(n, n, n, n, n, n + 2)$ or $G = K(n - 1, n - 1, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1)$;
- (v) $\theta(G) = 5/2$ if and only if $G = K(n - 2, n, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1)$;
- (vi) $\theta(G) = 3$ if and only if $G = K(n - 1, n, n, n, n + 1, n + 2)$;
- (vii) $\theta(G) = 4$ if and only if $G = K(n - 1, n - 1, n, n + 1, n + 1, n + 2)$;
- (viii) $\theta(G) = 17/4$ if and only if $G = K(n - 3, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1)$;
- (ix) $\theta(G) \geq 9/2$ if and only if G is not a graph appeared in (ii)–(viii).

Proof. For a complete 6-partite graph H_1 with $6n + 2$ vertices, we can construct a sequence of complete 6-partite graphs with $6n + 2$ vertices, say H_1, H_2, \dots, H_t , such that H_i is an improvement of H_{i-1} for each $i = 2, 3, \dots, t$, and $H_t = K(n, n, n, n, n + 1, n + 1)$. By Lemma 2.3, $\alpha(H_{i-1}, 7) - \alpha(H_i, 7) > 0$. So $\theta(H_{i-1}) - \theta(H_i) > 0$, which implies that $\theta(G) \geq \theta(H_t) = \theta(K(n, n, n, n, n + 1, n + 1))$. From Lemma 2.2 and by a simple calculation, $\theta(K(n, n, n, n, n + 1, n + 1)) = 0$. Thus, (ii) is true.

Since $H_t = K(n, n, n, n, n + 1, n + 1)$ and H_t is an improvement of H_{t-1} , it is not hard to see that $H_{t-1} \in \{R, R_0, R_3\}$, where $R = K(n - 1, n, n, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1)$,

R_i	Graphs H_{t-2}	$\theta(R_i)$
R_1	$K(n-1, n-1, n+1, n+1, n+1, n+1)$	2
R_2	$K(n-2, n, n+1, n+1, n+1, n+1)$	5/2
R_3	$K(n-1, n, n, n, n+1, n+2)$	3
R_4	$K(n-1, n-1, n, n+1, n+1, n+2)$	4
R_5	$K(n-2, n, n, n+1, n+1, n+2)$	9/2
R_6	$K(n-1, n-1, n, n, n+2, n+2)$	6
R_7	$K(n-2, n, n, n, n+2, n+2)$	13/2
R_8	$K(n-1, n, n, n, n, n+3)$	9
R_9	$K(n-1, n-1, n, n, n+1, n+3)$	10
R_{10}	$K(n-2, n, n, n, n+1, n+3)$	21/2

Table 1: H_{t-2} and its θ -values

$R_0 = K(n, n, n, n, n, n+2)$ and $R_3 = K(n-1, n, n, n, n+1, n+2)$. Hence, by Lemma 2.2, we have $\theta(R) = 1$, $\theta(R_0) = 2$ and $\theta(R_3) = 3$.

Note that H_{t-1} is an improvement of H_{t-2} and it is not hard to see that $H_{t-2} \in \{R_i | i = 1, 2, \dots, 10\}$, where R_i and $\theta(R_i)$ are shown in Table 1.

To complete the proof of the theorem, we need only determine all complete 6-partite graph G with $6n + 2$ vertices such that $\theta(G) < 9/2$. By Lemma 2.3, $\theta(H_{t-3}) > 9/2$ for each H_{t-3} if $H_{t-2} \in \{R_i | i = 5, 6, \dots, 10\}$. All graphs H_{t-3} and its θ -values are listed in Table 2 when $H_{t-2} \in \{R_i | i = 1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

By Lemma 2.3, $\theta(H_{t-4}) > 9/2$ for every H_{t-4} if $H_{t-3} \in \{M_i | 2 \leq i \leq 7\}$, one can easily obtain the following: If $H_{t-3} = M_1$, then $H_{t-4} \in \{M_8, M_9\}$, where $M_8 = K(n-3, n, n+1, n+1, n+1, n+2)$, $M_9 = K(n-4, n+1, n+1, n+1, n+1, n+2)$, and $\theta(M_8) = 25/4$, $\theta(M_9) = 65/8$. Hence, by Lemma 2.3, Table 1, Table 2 and the above arguments, we conclude that the theorem holds. \square

4 Chromatically closed 6-partite graphs

In this section, we obtained the χ -closed of the families in $\mathcal{K}^{-s}(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6)$.

M_i	Graphs H_{t-3}	$\theta(M_i)$
M_1	$K(n-3, n+1, n+1, n+1, n+1, n+1)$	$17/4$
M_2	$K(n-2, n-1, n+1, n+1, n+1, n+2)$	$11/2$
M_3	$K(n-3, n, n+1, n+1, n+1, n+2)$	$25/4$
M_4	$K(n-1, n-1, n-1, n+1, n+2, n+2)$	7
M_5	$K(n-2, n-1, n, n+1, n+2, n+2)$	$15/2$
M_6	$K(n-1, n-1, n-1, n+1, n+1, n+3)$	11
M_7	$K(n-2, n-1, n, n+1, n+1, n+3)$	$23/2$

Table 2: H_{t-3} and its θ -values

Theorem 4.1 *If $n \geq s+2$, then the family of graphs $\mathcal{K}^{-s}(n, n, n, n, n+1, n+1)$ is χ -closed.*

Proof. Let $G = K(n, n, n, n, n+1, n+1)$ and $Z \in \mathcal{K}^{-s}(n, n, n, n, n+1, n+1)$. The 6-independent partition of G is certainly 6-independent partition of Z . So $\alpha(Z, 6) \geq \alpha(G, 6) = 1$. Let $H \sim Z$, then $\alpha(H, 6) = \alpha(Z, 6) \geq \alpha(G, 6) = 1$. Let $\{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5, A_6\}$ be a 6-independent partition of H , $|A_i| = t_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ and $F = K(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6)$. Then there exist $S' \in E(F)$ such that $H = F - S'$. Let $q(G)$ be the number of edges in graph G . Since $q(H) = q(Z)$, therefore $s' = |S'| = q(F) - q(G) + s$.

From Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\alpha(Z, 7) = \alpha(G, 7) + \alpha'(Z), s \leq \alpha'(Z) \leq 2^s - 1, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\alpha(H, 7) = \alpha(F, 7) + \alpha'(H), s' \leq \alpha'(H).$$

Thus $\alpha(H, 7) - \alpha(Z, 7) = \alpha(F, 7) - \alpha(G, 7) + \alpha'(H) - \alpha'(Z)$ and $\alpha(Z, 7) = \alpha(H, 7)$, so $\alpha(H, 7) - \alpha(Z, 7) = 0$.

If $F \neq G$, from Theorem 3.1, we have $\theta(F) - \theta(G) \geq 1$. So

$$\alpha(F, 7) - \alpha(G, 7) = (\theta(F) - \theta(G)) \cdot 2^{n-2} \geq 2^{n-2}.$$

Hence

$$\alpha(H, 7) - \alpha(Z, 7) \geq 2^{n-2} + \alpha'(H) - \alpha'(Z) \geq 2^{n-2} + 0 - (2^s - 1) \geq 1.$$

This is a contradiction. So $F = G$, $s = s'$. Thus, $H \in \mathcal{K}^{-s}(n, n, n, n, n+1, n+1)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{K}^{-s}(n, n, n, n, n+1, n+1)$ is χ -closed if $n \geq s+2$. The proof is now completed. \square

By using the similar proof of Theorem 4.1, we can obtain the following results.

Theorem 4.2 *If $n \geq s+5$, then the family of graphs $\mathcal{K}^{-s}(n-1, n, n, n+1, n+1, n+1)$ is χ -closed.*

Theorem 4.3 *If $n \geq s+3$, then the families of graphs $\mathcal{K}^{-s}(n, n, n, n, n, n+2)$ and $\mathcal{K}^{-s}(n-1, n-1, n+1, n+1, n+1, n+1)$ are χ -closed.*

Theorem 4.4 *If $n \geq s+5$, then the family of graphs $\mathcal{K}^{-s}(n-2, n, n+1, n+1, n+1, n+1)$ is χ -closed.*

Theorem 4.5 *If $n \geq s+4$, then the family of graphs $\mathcal{K}^{-s}(n-1, n, n, n, n+1, n+2)$ is χ -closed.*

Theorem 4.6 *If $n \geq s+4$, then the family of graphs $\mathcal{K}^{-s}(n-1, n-1, n, n+1, n+1, n+2)$ is χ -closed.*

Theorem 4.7 *If $n \geq s+7$, then the family of graphs $\mathcal{K}^{-s}(n-3, n+1, n+1, n+1, n+1, n+1)$ is χ -closed.*

5 Chromatically unique 6-partite graphs

In this section, we first study the chromatically unique 6-partite graphs with $6n+2$ vertices and a set S of s edges deleted where the deleted edges induce a star $K_{1,s}$.

Theorem 5.1 *If $n \geq s+2$, then the graphs $K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n, n, n, n, n+1, n+1)$ are χ -unique for $(i, j) \in \{(1, 2), (1, 5), (5, 1), (5, 6)\}$.*

Proof. From Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 4.1, we know that $K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n, n, n, n, n+1, n+1) = \{K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n, n, n, n, n+1, n+1) | (i, j) \in \{(1, 2), (1, 5), (5, 1), (5, 6)\}$ is χ -closed if $n \geq s + 2$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} t(K_{1,2}^{-K_{1,s}}(n, n, n, n, n+1, n+1)) &= t(K(n, n, n, n, n+1, n+1)) - s(4n+2); \\ t(K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n, n, n, n, n+1, n+1)) &= t(K(n, n, n, n, n+1, n+1)) - s(4n+1) \text{ for } (i, j) \in \{(1, 5), (5, 1)\}; \\ t(K_{5,6}^{-K_{1,s}}(n, n, n, n, n+1, n+1)) &= t(K(n, n, n, n, n+1, n+1)) - 4sn. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.6, we conclude that $\sigma(K_{1,5}^{-K_{1,s}}(n, n, n, n, n+1, n+1)) \neq \sigma(K_{5,1}^{-K_{1,s}}(n, n, n, n, n+1, n+1))$. Hence, by Lemma 2.1, the graphs $K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n, n, n, n, n+1, n+1)$ are χ -unique where $n \geq s + 2$ for $(i, j) \in \{(1, 2), (1, 5), (5, 1), (5, 6)\}$. The proof is now completed. \square

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 5.1, we can prove Theorems 5.2–5.5.

Theorem 5.2 *If $n \geq s + 3$, then the graphs $K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n, n, n, n, n, n+2)$ are χ -unique for $(i, j) \in \{(1, 2), (1, 6), (6, 1)\}$.*

Theorem 5.3 *If $n \geq s + 3$, then the graphs $K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n-1, n-1, n+1, n+1, n+1, n+1)$ are χ -unique for $(i, j) \in \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (3, 1), (3, 4)\}$.*

Theorem 5.4 *If $n \geq s + 5$, then the graphs $K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n-2, n, n+1, n+1, n+1, n+1)$ are χ -unique for $(i, j) \in \{(1, 2), (2, 1), (1, 3), (3, 1), (2, 3), (3, 2), (3, 4)\}$.*

Theorem 5.5 *If $n \geq s + 7$, then the graphs $K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n-3, n+1, n+1, n+1, n+1, n+1)$ are χ -unique for $(i, j) \in \{(1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 3)\}$.*

Theorem 5.6 *If $n \geq s+5$, then the graphs $K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n-1, n, n, n+1, n+1, n+1)$ are χ -unique for $(i, j) \in \{(1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 4), (4, 2), (4, 5)\}$.*

Proof. Let $F \in \{K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n-1, n, n, n+1, n+1, n+1) | (i, j) \in \{(1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 4), (4, 2), (4, 5)\}\}$ and $H \sim F$. By Theorem 4.2, $H \in \mathcal{K}^{-s}(n-1, n, n, n+1, n+1, n+1)$. Since

$$\alpha(H, 7) = \alpha(F, 7) = \alpha(K(n-1, n, n, n+1, n+1, n+1), 7) + 2^s - 1,$$

from Lemma 2.4, we know that $H \in \{K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n-1, n, n, n+1, n+1, n+1) | i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. It easy to see that $H \in \{K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n-1, n, n, n+1, n+1, n+1) | i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$.

$$1, n + 1)|i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\} = \{K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n - 1, n, n, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1)|i, j \in \{(1, 2), (2, 1), (1, 4), (4, 1), (2, 3), (2, 4), (4, 2), (4, 5)\}\}.$$

Now let's determine the number of triangles in H and F . Then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} t(K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n - 1, n, n, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1)) &= t(K(n - 1, n, n, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1)) - s(4n + 3) \text{ for } (i, j) \in \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}, \\ t(K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n - 1, n, n, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1)) &= t(K(n - 1, n, n, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1)) - s(4n + 2) \text{ for } (i, j) \in \{(1, 4), (4, 1), (2, 3)\}, \\ t(K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n - 1, n, n, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1)) &= t(K(n - 1, n, n, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1)) - s(4n + 1) \text{ for } (i, j) \in \{(2, 4), (4, 2)\}, \\ t(K_{4,5}^{-K_{1,s}}(n - 1, n, n, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1)) &= t(K(n - 1, n, n, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1)) - 4sn. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling

$$F \in \{K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n - 1, n, n, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1)|i, j \in \{(1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 4), (4, 2), (4, 5)\}\}$$

and $t(H) = t(F)$, thus we have

$$H, F \in \{K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n - 1, n, n, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1)|i, j \in \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}\}$$

or

$$H, F \in \{K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n - 1, n, n, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1)|i, j \in \{(2, 4), (4, 2)\}\}.$$

It follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} P(K_{1,2}^{-K_{1,s}}(n - 1, n, n, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1), \lambda) &\neq P(K_{2,1}^{-K_{1,s}}(n - 1, n, n, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1), \lambda); \\ P(K_{2,4}^{-K_{1,s}}(n - 1, n, n, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1), \lambda) &\neq P(K_{4,2}^{-K_{1,s}}(n - 1, n, n, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1), \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.1, we conclude that the graphs $K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n - 1, n, n, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1)$ are χ -unique where $n \geq s + 5$ for each $(i, j) \in \{(1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 4), (4, 2), (4, 5)\}$.

□

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 5.6, we can prove Theorems 5.7 and 5.8.

Theorem 5.7 *If $n \geq s + 4$, then the graphs $K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n - 1, n, n, n, n + 1, n + 2)$ are χ -unique for $(i, j) \in \{(1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 6), (6, 2), (5, 6), (6, 5)\}$.*

Theorem 5.8 *If $n \geq s + 4$, then the graphs $K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n - 1, n - 1, n, n + 1, n + 1, n + 2)$ are χ -unique for $(i, j) \in \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (3, 1), (1, 4), (4, 1), (4, 5)\}$.*

Let $G = K(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6)$ be a complete 6-partite graph with partite sets $A_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, 6)$ such that $|A_i| = n_i$. Denote $\langle A_i \cup A_j \rangle$ be the subgraph of G induced by $A_i \cup A_j$, where $i \neq j$ and $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. Let $K_{i,j}^{-sK_2}(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6)$ denotes the graph obtained from $K(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6)$ by deleting a set of s edges that forms a matching in $\langle A_i \cup A_j \rangle$.

We now investigate the chromatically unique 6-partite graphs with $6n + 2$ vertices and a set S of s edges deleted where the deleted edges induce a matching sK_2 .

Theorem 5.9 *If $n \geq s + 3$, then the graphs $K_{1,2}^{-sK_2}(n - 1, n - 1, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1)$ are χ -unique.*

Proof. Let $F \sim K_{1,2}^{-sK_2}(n - 1, n - 1, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1)$. It is sufficient to prove that $F = K_{1,2}^{-sK_2}(n - 1, n - 1, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1)$. By Theorem 4.3 and Lemma 2.4, we have $F \in \mathcal{K}^{-s}(n - 1, n - 1, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1)$ and $\alpha'(F) = s$. Let $F = G - S$ where $G = K(n - 1, n - 1, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1)$. Next we consider the number of triangles in F . Let $e \in S$ and $t(e)$ be the number of triangles in G containing the edge e . It is easy to see that $t(e) \leq 4n + 4$. As $n - 1 \leq n - 1 < n + 1 \leq n + 1 \leq n + 1 \leq n + 1$, we know that $t(e) = 4n + 4$ if and only if e is an edge in the subgraph $\langle A_1 \cup A_2 \rangle$ in G . So we have

$$t(F) \geq t(G) - \sum_{i=1}^s t(e) \geq t(G) - s(4n + 4);$$

and the equality holds if and only if each e edge in S is an edge of the subgraph $\langle A_1 \cup A_2 \rangle$ in G .

Note that $t(F) = t(G) - s(4n + 4)$ and $\alpha'(F) = s$. By Lemma 2.4, we know that $F = K_{1,2}^{-sK_2}(n - 1, n - 1, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1)$. This completes the proof. \square

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 5.9, we can prove Theorems 5.10 and 5.11.

Theorem 5.10 *If $n \geq s + 5$, then the graphs $K_{1,2}^{-sK_2}(n - 2, n, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1, n + 1)$ are χ -unique.*

Theorem 5.11 *If $n \geq s + 4$, then the graphs $K_{1,2}^{-sK_2}(n-1, n-1, n, n+1, n+1, n+2)$ are χ -unique.*

We end this paper with the following problems:

- [1.] Study the chromaticity of the following graphs: (i) $K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n-1, n, n, n+1, n+1, n+1)$ where $n \geq s + 5$ for each $(i, j) \in \{(1, 4), (4, 1), (2, 3)\}$, (ii) $K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n-1, n, n, n, n+1, n+2)$ where $n \geq s + 4$ for each $(i, j) \in \{(1, 5), (5, 1), (1, 6), (6, 1), (2, 3), (2, 5), (5, 2)\}$ and (iii) $K_{i,j}^{-K_{1,s}}(n-1, n-1, n, n+1, n+1, n+2)$ where $n \geq s + 4$ for each $(i, j) \in \{(1, 6), (6, 1), (3, 4), (4, 3), (3, 6), (6, 3), (4, 6), (6, 4)\}$.
- [2.] Study the chromaticity of the following graphs: (i) $K_{1,2}^{-sK_2}(n, n, n, n, n+1, n+1)$ where $n \geq s+2$, (ii) $K_{1,2}^{-sK_2}(n-1, n, n, n+1, n+1, n+1)$ where $n \geq s+5$, (iii) $K_{1,2}^{-sK_2}(n, n, n, n, n, n+2)$ where $n \geq s + 3$, (iv) $K_{1,2}^{-sK_2}(n-1, n, n, n, n+1, n+2)$ where $n \geq s + 4$ and (v) $K_{1,2}^{-sK_2}(n-3, n+1, n+1, n+1, n+1, n+1)$ where $n \geq s + 7$.

References

1. J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory with Application, London: Macmillan, 1976.
2. F. Brenti, Expansions of chromatic polynomials and log-concavity, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 332(2) (1992), 729-756.
3. X.E. Chen, Chromaticity on 6-partite graphs with $6n+5$ vertices, Pure and Applied Math. 21(2) (2005), 134-141.
4. G.L. Chia, B.H. Goh and K.M. Koh, The chromaticity of some families of complete tripartite graphs, Scientia, Series A: Math.Sci. 2 (1988), 27-37.
5. F.M. Dong, K.M. Koh and K.L. Teo, Sharp bounds for the number of 3-independent partition and chromaticity of bipartite graphs, J. Graph Theory 37 (2001), 48-77.
6. F.M. Dong, K.M. Koh and K.L. Teo, Chromatic Polynomials and Chromaticity of Graphs, Word Scientific, 2005.

7. K.M. Koh and K.L. Teo, The search for chromatically unique graphs, *Graphs Combin.* 6 (1990), 259–285.
8. K.M. Koh and K.L. Teo, The search for chromatically unique graphs II, *Discrete Math.* 172 (1997), 59–78.
9. G.C. Lau and Y.H. Peng, Chromaticity of complete tripartite graphs with certain star and matching deleted, *Ars Comb.*, accepted.
10. G.C. Lau and Y.H. Peng, Chromaticity of complete 4-partite graphs with certain star and matching deleted, *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.* 4 (2010), 253–268.
11. R.C. Read and W.T. Tutte, Chromatic Polynomials, In: L.W. Beineke and R.J. Wilson, eds. *Selected Topics in Graph Theory (II)*, New York: Academic Press, (1988),15-42.
12. H. Roslan, A. Sh. Ameen, Y. H. Peng and H.X. Zhao, Chromaticity of complete 5-partite graphs with certain star and matching deleted, submitted.
13. H. Roslan, A. Sh. Ameen, Y. H. Peng and H.X. Zhao, Classification of complete 5-partite graphs and chromaticity of 5-partite graphs with $5n+2$ vertices, *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 42, No. 1 (2010), 59–72.
14. H. Roslan, A. Sh. Ameen, Y. H. Peng and H.X. Zhao, Chromaticity of complete 5-partite graphs with certain edges deleted, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing* 35 (2011), 507-516.
15. H. Roslan, A. Sh. Ameen, Y. H. Peng and H.X. Zhao, Chromaticity of complete 6-partite graphs with certain star and matching deleted deleted, *Bulletin of Malaysian Math. Society*, accepted.
16. H. Roslan, A. Sh. Ameen, Y. H. Peng and H.X. Zhao, Chromaticity of complete 6-partite graphs with certain star and matching deleted deleted II, *Acta Mathematicae Appl. Sinica (English Series)*, accepted.

17. H.X. Zhao, R.Y. Liu and S.G. Zhang, Classification of Complete 5-Partite Graphs and Chromaticity of 5-Partite Graphs With $5n$ Vertices, *Appl. Math. J.Chinese Univ. Ser. B.* 19(1) (2004), 116–124.
18. H.X. Zhao, On the chromaticity of 5-partite graphs with $5n+4$ vertices, *J. of Lanzhou Univ. (Natural Sciences)*, 40(3) (2004), 12–16 (in Chinese).
19. H.X. Zhao, Chromaticity and adjoint polynomials of graphs, Ph.D. Thesis University of Twente, (2005) Netherland.

Received: October, 2011