

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Generalized Differential Operator on Bistarlike and Biconvex Functions Associated By Quasi-Subordination

To cite this article: Abdul Rahman S. Juma and Mohammed H. Saloomi 2018 *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **1003** 012046

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- [Effect of mode order, resonator length, curvature, and electrode coverage on enhancing the performance of biconvex resonators](#)
Muhammad Wajih Ullah Siddiqi, Cheng Tu and Joshua E-Y Lee
- [Some New Results of Differential Subordinations for HigherOrder Derivatives of Multivalent Functions](#)
Sarah A. Al-Ameedee, Waggas Galib Atshan and Faez Ali Al-Maamori
- [Self-calibration and biconvex compressive sensing](#)
Shuyang Ling and Thomas Strohmmer



The Electrochemical Society
Advancing solid state & electrochemical science & technology

243rd ECS Meeting with SOFC-XVIII

More than 50 symposia are available!

Present your research and accelerate science

Boston, MA • May 28 – June 2, 2023

[Learn more and submit!](#)

Generalized Differential Operator on Bistarlike and Biconvex Functions Associated By Quasi-Subordination

Abdul Rahman S. Juma¹, Mohammed H. Saloomi²

¹Department of Mathematics, University of Anbar, Ramadi, Iraq.

²Department of Mathematics, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq.

dr_juma@hotmail.com¹, mohammed_h1963@yahoo.com²

Abstract. In this paper, the generalized differential operator is applied to derive some subclasses of function class σ of bi-univalent functions defined in unit disk \mathcal{U} . We estimate the bounds of the coefficients a_2 and a_3 for all functions which belong to the derived subclasses of σ .

Keywords: Holomorphic function, Quasi-subordination, Bistarlike function, Biconvex function, Bi-univalent function.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of all functions f of the following form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1.1)$$

which are holomorphic in unit disk \mathcal{U}

$$\mathcal{U} = \{z: |z| < 1\}$$

and normalized by the conditions $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$. Let \mathcal{S} denote the class of all univalent and holomorphic functions.

Let $\varphi(z)$ be holomorphic function in \mathcal{U} and $|\varphi(z)| \leq 1$, such that

$$\varphi(z) = A_0 + A_1 z + A_2 z^2 + A_3 z^3 + \dots, \quad (1.2)$$

Where $A_0, A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots$ are real. Let $\phi(z)$ be a holomorphic and univalent function with positive real part in \mathcal{U} , $\phi(0) = 1$, $\phi'(0) > 0$ and $\phi(\mathcal{U})$ is a region starlike with the respect to 1 and symmetric with the respect to the real axis. Further, let

$$\phi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + B_3 z^3 + \dots, \quad (1.3)$$

where B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots are real and $B_1 > 0$.

Here, we suppose that the functions φ and ϕ are hold the above conditions one or otherwise stated.

The Koebe one-quarter Theorem [1] states that the image of \mathcal{U} under every function f in \mathcal{S} contains a disk of radius $\frac{1}{4}$. So each univalent function has an inverse $g = f^{-1}$ satisfying

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$



$$f(f^{-1}(\omega)) = \omega, (|\omega| < r_0(f), r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}), \tag{1.4}$$

A holomorphic function is called bi-univalent in \mathcal{U} if both f and f^{-1} are univalent in \mathcal{U} . By σ , we denote the class of all bi-univalent functions defined in (1.1). Since f in σ has the form (1.1), the computation proves that the invers $g = f^{-1}$ has the following expansion

$$g(\omega) = f^{-1}(\omega) = \omega - a_2 \omega^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)\omega^3 - \dots \tag{1.5}$$

A holomorphic function f is subordinate to holomorphic function g , written by

$$f \prec g \text{ or } f(z) \prec g(z) \text{ (} z \in \mathcal{U} \text{),} \tag{1.6}$$

provided there is holomorphic function h defined on \mathcal{U} , with $h(0)=0$ and $|h(z)| < 1$ such that $f(z) = g(h(z))$. Moreover if g is univalent in \mathcal{U} , then $f(z) \prec g(z)$ is equivalent to $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(\mathcal{U}) \subset g(\mathcal{U})$. For more details on the notion of subordination.(see [1]).

In [2], the concept of quasi-subordinate introduced by Robertson. For holomorphic functions f and g in \mathcal{U} , the function f is quasi-subordination to g , written as follows:

$$f(z) \prec_q g(z) \text{ (} z \in \mathcal{U} \text{)} \tag{1.7}$$

if there exist holomorphic functions φ and h with $|\varphi(z)| \leq 1, h(0) = 0$ and $|h(z)| < 1$ such that $f(z) = \varphi(z)g(h(z))$. ($z \in \mathcal{U}$).

Note that when $\varphi(z) = 1$, then $f(z) = g(h(z))$ so that $f(z) \prec g(z)$ in \mathcal{U} . Also notice that, if $h(z) = z$, then $f(z) = \varphi(z)g(z)$ and it is said that f is majorized by g , in \mathcal{U} . From previous statement it is clear that quasi-subordination is generalization of subordination as well as majorization. (For more details related to quasi-subordination see [2].)

Ma and Minda in [3] indicated to the unified classes by $S^*(\phi)$ and $K(\phi)$ and defined as following

$$S^*(\phi) := \{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \phi(z); z \in \mathcal{U} \}, \tag{1.8}$$

$$K(\phi) := \{ f \in \mathcal{A} : 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec \phi(z); z \in \mathcal{U} \}.$$

The classes $S^*(\phi)$ and $K(\phi)$ are amplification of a classical set of starlike and convex functions. (See [3]).

El-Ashwah and Kanas in [4] studied the classes

$$S_q^*(\gamma, \phi) := \{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{1}{\gamma} (\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1) \prec_q \phi(z) - 1; z \in \mathcal{U}, 0 \neq \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \},$$

$$K_q(\gamma, \phi) := \{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{1}{\gamma} (\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}) \prec_q \phi(z) - 1; z \in \mathcal{U}, 0 \neq \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \}.$$

If $\varphi(z) \equiv 1$, the classes $S_q^*(\gamma, \phi)$ and $K_q(\gamma, \phi)$ convert respectively, to $S^*(\gamma, \phi)$ and $K^*(\gamma, \phi)$ of Ma.Minda starlike and convex functions of order γ ($\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$), in unit disk \mathcal{U} ([5]). The classes $S_q^*(\gamma, \phi)$ and $K_q(\gamma, \phi)$ minimize, to $S^*(\phi)$ and $K(\phi)$, respectively. When $\gamma=1$, that are similar to Ma.Minda starlike and convex functions, determined by Mohd and Darus [6].

Let $\phi(b, d; z)$ be function defined by

$$\phi(b, d; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(b)_n}{(d)_n} z^{n+1}, \text{ (} d \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots, z \in \mathcal{U} \text{),}$$

where $(\alpha)_n$ denote the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(\alpha)_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } k = 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \\ \alpha(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2) \dots (\alpha + k - 1) & \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}. \end{cases}$$

In [7] corresponding to the function $\phi(b, d; z)$, the generalized deferential operator defined as

$$D_\lambda^m(b, d)f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U},$$

$$D_\lambda^0(b, d)f(z) = f(z) * \phi(b, d; z)$$

$$D_\lambda^1(b, d)f(z) = (1 - \lambda)(f(z) * \phi(b, d; z)) + \lambda z(f(z) * \phi(b, d; z))'$$

$$D_\lambda^m(b, d)f(z) = D_\lambda^1(D_\lambda^{m-1}(b, d)f(z))$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$, then from last two relations, we may deduce that

$$D_\lambda^m(b, d)f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + (n - 1)\lambda]^m \frac{(b)_{n-1}}{(d)_{n-1}} a_n z^n,$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, and $\lambda \geq 0$.

From the last relation, we have

$$z(D_\lambda^m(b, d)f(z))' = bD_\lambda^m(b + 1, d)f(z) - (b-1)D_\lambda^m(b, d)f(z),$$

and

$$\lambda z(\mathcal{D}_\lambda^m(b, d)f(z))' = \mathcal{D}_\lambda^{m+1}(b, d)f(z) - (1-\lambda)\mathcal{D}_\lambda^m(b, d)f(z).$$

Lately, Srivastava et al. [8] found estimates for coefficients of the first two factors $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$. For the functions of these classes, we use this motivation in this research to define a unified subclass of bi-univalent function class σ as follows.

A function $f \in \sigma$ defined in (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}^{\alpha,\lambda}\mathcal{D}^m(b, d, \gamma, \phi)$ if the quasi-subordination conditions are satisfied:

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \left[(1-\alpha) \frac{z(\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{m+1}(b, d)f(z))'}{\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{m+1}(b, d)f(z)} + \alpha \left\{ 1 + \frac{z(\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{m+1}(b, d)f(z))''}{(\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{m+1}(b, d)f(z))'} \right\} - 1 \right] <_q \phi(z) - 1,$$

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \left[(1-\alpha) \frac{w(\mathcal{G}_\lambda^{m+1}(b, d)g(w))'}{\mathcal{G}_\lambda^{m+1}(b, d)g(w)} + \alpha \left\{ 1 + \frac{w(\mathcal{G}_\lambda^{m+1}(b, d)g(w))''}{(\mathcal{G}_\lambda^{m+1}(b, d)g(w))'} \right\} - 1 \right] <_q \phi(w) - 1,$$

where $g(w) = f^{-1}(w)$ given by (1.5), and

$$\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{m+1}(b, d)f(z) = (1-\lambda)\mathcal{D}_\lambda^m(b, d)f(z) + \lambda z(\mathcal{D}_\lambda^m(b, d)f(z))'$$

$$\mathcal{G}_\lambda^{m+1}(b, d)g(w) = (1-\lambda)\mathcal{G}_\lambda^m(b, d)g(w) + \lambda w(\mathcal{G}_\lambda^m(b, d)g(w))', \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1. \quad (\text{see [7]})$$

For special values of $\alpha, \lambda, \gamma, b, d, m, \phi$ and $\phi(z)$, the class $\mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}^{\alpha,\lambda}\mathcal{D}^m(b, d, \gamma, \phi)$ unify known and new classes.

Remark (1.1): Putting $\lambda=0$ in the class $\mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}^{\alpha,\lambda}\mathcal{D}^m(b, d, \gamma, \phi)$, we get

$$\mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}^{\alpha,0}\mathcal{D}^m(b, d, \gamma, \phi) := \mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}^{\alpha}\mathcal{D}^m(b, d, \gamma, \phi).$$

For $b=d$ and $m=0$, we have the class $\mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}^{\alpha,\lambda}(\gamma, \phi)$ was introduced and studied by N.Magesh, V.K.Balaji and J.Yamini [9].

In particular for $b=d, m=0$, and $\gamma=1$, we have the class

$$\mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}^{\alpha}\mathcal{D}^0(b, b, 1, \phi) := \mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}^{\alpha}(\phi),$$

which introduced by Goyal and Kumar [10]. In this case for $\phi(z) \equiv 1$, we get

$$\mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}^{\alpha}(\phi) := \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{\alpha}(\phi),$$

this class was studied by Ali et al. [11,12].

Remark(1.2): Putting $\lambda=\alpha=0$ in the class $\mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}^{\alpha,\lambda}\mathcal{D}^m(b, d, \gamma, \phi)$, we get

$$\mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}^{0,0}\mathcal{D}^m(b, d, \gamma, \phi) := \mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}\mathcal{D}^m(b, d, \gamma, \phi).$$

In special case for $\gamma=1, b=d$ and $m=0$, we have the class $\mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}\mathcal{D}^0(b, b, 1, \phi) = S_{q,\sigma}^*(\phi)$, was introduced by Goyal and Kumar [10]. We observe that, for $\phi(z) \equiv 1$, we get the class

$$S_{q,\sigma}^*(\gamma, \phi) := S_{\sigma}^*(\gamma, \phi),$$

was studied by Deniz [12].

Remark(1.3): For $\lambda=0$ and $\alpha=1$ in this class $\mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}^{\alpha,\lambda}\mathcal{D}^m(b, d, \gamma, \phi)$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}^{1,0}\mathcal{D}^m(b, d, \gamma, \phi) := \mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}^1\mathcal{D}^m(b, d, \gamma, \phi).$$

In particular, put $b=d, m=0$, and $\gamma=1$, we obtain $\mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}^1\mathcal{D}^0(b, b, 1, \phi) := K_{q,\sigma}(\phi)$ this is special case of the class $\mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}^{\alpha}(\phi)$ when $\alpha=1$, this class was studied by Goyal and Kumar [10].

Remark (1.4): Put $\alpha=0$, we get the class $\mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}^{0,\lambda}\mathcal{D}^m(b, d, \gamma, \phi) \equiv \mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}\mathcal{D}^m(b, d, \lambda, \gamma, \phi)$ which defined as follow.

A function $f \in \sigma$ is in the class $\mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma} \mathcal{D}^m(b, d, \lambda, \gamma, \phi)$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ if the quasi-subordination conditions are satisfied:

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\frac{z(\mathcal{D}_\lambda^m(b,d)f(z))' + \lambda z^2(\mathcal{D}_\lambda^m(b,d)f(z))''}{(1-\lambda)\mathcal{D}_\lambda^m(b,d)f(z) + \lambda z(\mathcal{D}_\lambda^m(b,d)f(z))'} - 1 \right] \prec_q \phi(z) - 1,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\frac{w(\mathcal{G}_\lambda^m(b,d)g(w))' + \lambda w^2(\mathcal{G}_\lambda^m(b,d)g(w))''}{(1-\lambda)\mathcal{G}_\lambda^m(b,d)g(w) + \lambda w(\mathcal{G}_\lambda^m(b,d)g(w))'} - 1 \right] \prec_q \phi(w) - 1.$$

In particular for $b=d$ and $m=0$ in above class, we obtain the class

$$\mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma} \mathcal{D}^0(b, d, \lambda, \gamma, \phi) := \mathcal{P}_{q,\sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \phi).$$

The functions of the class $\mathcal{P}_{q,\sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \phi)$ are called bi-convex and bi-starlike functions of complex order γ of Ma-Minda type. This class was studied by Nanjundan, Vitalrao and Jagadesan [9].

Remark(1.5): Putting $\alpha=1$, we get the class $\mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}^{1,\lambda} \mathcal{D}^m(b, d, \gamma, \phi) \equiv \mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma,\lambda} \mathcal{D}^m(b, d, \gamma, \phi)$ which is defined as follow.

A function $f \in \sigma$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma,\lambda} \mathcal{D}^m(b, d, \gamma, \phi)$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, if the following conditions are satisfied

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\frac{z(\mathcal{D}_\lambda^m(b,d)f(z))' + (1+2\lambda)z^2(\mathcal{D}_\lambda^m(b,d)f(z))'' + \lambda z^3(\mathcal{D}_\lambda^m(b,d)f(z))'''}{z(\mathcal{D}_\lambda^m(b,d)f(z))' + \lambda z^2(\mathcal{D}_\lambda^m(b,d)f(z))''} - 1 \right] \prec_q \phi(z) - 1,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\frac{w(\mathcal{G}_\lambda^m(b,d)g(w))' + (1+2\lambda)w^2(\mathcal{G}_\lambda^m(b,d)g(w))'' + \lambda w^3(\mathcal{G}_\lambda^m(b,d)g(w))'''}{w(\mathcal{G}_\lambda^m(b,d)g(w))' + \lambda w^2(\mathcal{G}_\lambda^m(b,d)g(w))''} - 1 \right] \prec_q \phi(w) - 1.$$

In particular for $b=d$ and $m=0$ in above class, we obtain the class

$$\mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}^{\lambda} \mathcal{D}^0(b, b, \gamma, \phi) \equiv \mathcal{K}_{q,\sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \phi)$$

was studied by Nanjundan, Vitalrao and Jagadesan [9].

To find out our results, we needed to talk about the following lemma.

Lemma (1.6)[13]. If $p \in \mathcal{P}$, then $|c_j| \leq 2$ for each j , where \mathcal{P} is the family of all functions p , holomorphic in \mathcal{U} , for which

$$\operatorname{Re} \{ p(z) \} > 0, (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

where

$$p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \dots, (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

2. Coefficients Bounds

In this section, we find the initial Taylor coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for functions in class $\mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}^{\alpha,\lambda} \mathcal{D}^m(b, d, \gamma, \phi)$.

Theorem(2.1): If f belonging to the class $\mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}^{\alpha,\lambda} \mathcal{D}^m(b, d, \gamma, \phi)$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\gamma| A_0 |B_1| \sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{|\gamma| 2(1+2\alpha)(1+2\lambda)^{m+1} \frac{(b)_2}{(d)_2} - (1+3\alpha)(1+\lambda)^{2(m+1)} \left\{ \frac{(b)_1}{(d)_1} \right\}^2 A_0 B_1^2 - (1+\alpha)^2 (1+\lambda)^{2(m+1)} \left\{ \frac{(b)_1}{(d)_1} \right\}^2 (B_2 - B_1)}}} \quad (2.1)$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\gamma|^2 |A_0|^2 B_1^3}{|\gamma| 2(1+2\alpha)(1+2\lambda)^{m+1} \frac{(b)_1}{(d)_1} - (1+3\alpha)(1+\lambda)^{2(m+1)} \left\{ \frac{(b)_1}{(d)_1} \right\}^2 A_0 B_1^2 - (1+\alpha)^2 (1+\lambda)^{2(m+1)} \left\{ \frac{(b)_1}{(d)_1} \right\}^2 (B_2 - B_1)} + \frac{|\gamma| |A_1| |B_1|}{2(1+2\alpha)(1+2\lambda)^{m+1} \frac{(b)_2}{(d)_2}} + \frac{|\gamma| |A_0| |B_1|}{2(1+2\alpha)(1+2\lambda)^{m+1} \frac{(b)_2}{(d)_2}} \quad (2.2)$$

Proof: Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}^{\alpha,\lambda} \mathcal{D}^m(b,d,\gamma,\phi)$ and $g = f^{-1}$. Then there exist holomorphic functions $u, v: \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}$, with $u(0)=v(0)=0$, such that

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \left[(1-\alpha) \frac{z(\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{m+1}(b,d)f(z))'}{\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{m+1}(b,d)f(z)} + \alpha \left\{ 1 + \frac{z(\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{m+1}(b,d)f(z))''}{(\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{m+1}(b,d)f(z))'} \right\} - 1 \right] = \varphi(z)(\phi(z) - 1), \quad (2.3)$$

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \left[(1-\alpha) \frac{w(\mathcal{G}_\lambda^{m+1}(b,d)g(w))'}{\mathcal{G}_\lambda^{m+1}(b,d)g(w)} + \alpha \left\{ 1 + \frac{w(\mathcal{G}_\lambda^{m+1}(b,d)g(w))''}{(\mathcal{G}_\lambda^{m+1}(b,d)g(w))'} \right\} - 1 \right] = \varphi(w)(\phi(w) - 1). \quad (2.4)$$

Now, we define the functions p and q by

$$p(z) = \frac{1+u(z)}{1-u(z)} = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \dots$$

and

$$q(z) = \frac{1+v(z)}{1-v(z)} = 1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + b_3 z^3 + \dots$$

It is obvious, $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} q(z) > 0$. From last two relations, we derive

$$u(z) = \frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[c_1 z + \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \dots \right] \quad (2.5)$$

$$v(z) = \frac{q(z)-1}{q(z)+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[b_1 z + \left(b_2 - \frac{b_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \dots \right]. \quad (2.6)$$

It is obvious that p and q are holomorphic functions in \mathfrak{U} with $p(0) = q(0) = 1$.

Using (2.5), (2.6) in (2.3) and (2.4), respectively, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \left[(1-\alpha) \frac{z(\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{m+1}(b,d)f(z))'}{\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{m+1}(b,d)f(z)} + \alpha \left\{ 1 + \frac{z(\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{m+1}(b,d)f(z))''}{(\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{m+1}(b,d)f(z))'} \right\} - 1 \right] = \varphi(z) \left(\phi \left(\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1} \right) - 1 \right), \quad (2.7)$$

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \left[(1-\alpha) \frac{w(\mathcal{G}_\lambda^{m+1}(b,d)g(w))'}{\mathcal{G}_\lambda^{m+1}(b,d)g(w)} + \alpha \left\{ 1 + \frac{w(\mathcal{G}_\lambda^{m+1}(b,d)g(w))''}{(\mathcal{G}_\lambda^{m+1}(b,d)g(w))'} \right\} - 1 \right] = \varphi(w) \left(\phi \left(\frac{q(z)-1}{q(z)+1} \right) - 1 \right). \quad (2.8)$$

Utilize (2.5), (2.6) together with (1.3) it is evident that

$$\varphi(z) \left(\phi \left(\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1} \right) - 1 \right) = \frac{1}{2} A_0 B_1 C_1 z + \left\{ \frac{1}{2} A_1 B_1 C_1 + \frac{1}{2} A_0 B_1 \left(C_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} A_0 B_2 C_1^2 \right\} z^2 + \dots \quad (2.9)$$

$$\varphi(w) \left(\phi \left(\frac{q(z)-1}{q(z)+1} \right) - 1 \right) = \frac{1}{2} A_0 B_1 b_1 w + \left\{ \frac{1}{2} A_1 B_1 b_1 + \frac{1}{2} A_0 B_1 \left(b_2 - \frac{b_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} A_0 B_2 b_1^2 \right\} w^2 + \dots \quad (2.10)$$

It follows from (2.7), (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10) that

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} (1+\alpha)(1+\lambda)^{m+1} \frac{(b)_1}{(d)_1} a_2 = \frac{1}{2} A_0 B_1 C_1 \quad (2.11)$$

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} [2(1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 2\lambda)^{m+1} \frac{(b)_2}{(d)_2} a_3 - (1 + 3\alpha)(1 + \lambda)^{2(m+1)} \left(\frac{(b)_1}{(d)_1}\right)^2 a_2^2] = \frac{1}{2} A_1 B_1 C_1 + \frac{1}{2} A_0 B_1 \left(C_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} A_0 B_2 c_1^2 \tag{2.12}$$

$$\frac{-1}{\gamma} (1 + \alpha)(1 + \lambda)^{m+1} \frac{(b)_1}{(d)_1} a_2 = \frac{1}{2} A_0 B_1 b_1 \tag{2.13}$$

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} [(4(1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 2\lambda)^{m+1} \frac{(b)_2}{(d)_2} - (1 + 3\alpha)(1 + \lambda)^{2(m+1)} \left(\frac{(b)_1}{(d)_1}\right)^2] a_2^2 - 2(1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 2\lambda)^{m+1} \frac{(b)_2}{(d)_2} a_3 = \frac{1}{2} A_1 B_1 b_1 + \frac{1}{2} A_0 B_1 \left(b_2 - \frac{b_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} A_0 B_2 b_1^2 \tag{2.14}$$

From (2.11) and (2.13), we obtain

$$c_1 = -b_1 \tag{2.15}$$

and

$$a_2 = \frac{\gamma A_0 B_1 C_1}{2(1+\alpha)(1+\lambda)^{m+1} \frac{(b)_1}{(d)_1}} = \frac{-\gamma A_0 B_1 b_1}{2(1+\alpha)(1+\lambda)^{m+1} \frac{(b)_1}{(d)_1}} \tag{2.16}$$

$$8(1+\alpha)^2 (1+\lambda)^{2(m+1)} a_2^2 = \gamma^2 A_0^2 B_1^2 \left(\frac{(b)_1}{(d)_1}\right)^2 (b_1^2 + C_1^2), \tag{2.17}$$

adding (2.12) and (2.14) it follows that

$$\frac{a_2^2}{\gamma} [(4(1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 2\lambda)^{m+1} \frac{(b)_2}{(d)_2} - (1 + 3\alpha)(1 + \lambda)^{2(m+1)} \left(\frac{(b)_1}{(d)_1}\right)^2] = \frac{1}{2} A_0 B_1 (c_2 + b_2) + \frac{A_0(B_2 - B_1)}{4} (c_1^2 + b_1^2) \tag{2.18}$$

Substituting (2.15) and (2.16) into (2.18), we have

$$a_2^2 = \frac{\gamma^2 A_0^2 B_1^3 (c_2 + b_2)}{4\gamma[(1+2\alpha)(1+2\lambda)^{m+1} \frac{(b)_2}{(d)_2} - (1+3\alpha)(1+\lambda)^{2(m+1)} \left(\frac{(b)_1}{(d)_1}\right)^2] A_0 B_1^2 - 4(B_2 - B_1)(1+\alpha)^2 (1+\lambda)^{2(m+1)} \left(\frac{(b)_1}{(d)_1}\right)^2} \tag{2.19}$$

Applying Lemma (1.6), we get the desired inequality (2.1).

Subtracting (2.12) from (2.14) and computation using (2.15), we obtain

$$a_3 = a_2^2 + \frac{\gamma A_1 B_1 C_1}{4(1+2\alpha)(1+2\lambda)^{m+1} \frac{(b)_2}{(d)_2}} + \frac{\gamma A_0 B_1 (c_2 - b_2)}{8(1+2\alpha)(1+2\lambda)^{m+1} \frac{(b)_2}{(d)_2}}$$

By applying for Lemma (1.6) again, we had the estimate (2.2).

Taking special values for α and λ in previous theorem, we obtain the following results

Corollary (2.2): Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\alpha \geq 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}^{\alpha} \mathcal{D}^m(b, d, \gamma, \phi)$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\gamma| A_0 B_1 \sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{|\gamma[2(1+2\alpha)\frac{(b)_2}{(d)_2} - (1+3\alpha)\left(\frac{(b)_1}{(d)_1}\right)^2] A_0 B_1^2 - (1+\alpha)^2 \left(\frac{(b)_1}{(d)_1}\right)^2 (B_2 - B_1)|}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\gamma|^2 |A_0|^2 B_1^3}{|\gamma 2(1+2\alpha) \binom{(b)_1}{(d)_1} - (1+3\alpha) \{\binom{(b)_1}{(d)_1}\}^2 |A_0 B_1|^2 - (1+\alpha)^2 \{\binom{(b)_1}{(d)_1}\}^2 (B_2 - B_1)|} + \frac{|\gamma| |A_1| |B_1|}{2(1+2\alpha) \binom{(b)_2}{(d)_2}} + \frac{|\gamma| |A_0| |B_1|}{2(1+2\alpha) \binom{(b)_2}{(d)_2}}$$

Remark (2.3): For $b=d$ and $m = 0$, the above corollary reduces [9, Corollary 12, p.5].

Corollary (2.4): Let f be in the class $\mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma} \mathcal{D}^m(b, d, \gamma, \phi)$, and $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, \alpha \geq 0$. Then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\gamma| |A_0| |B_1| \sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{|\gamma 2 \binom{(b)_2}{(d)_2} - \{\binom{(b)_1}{(d)_1}\}^2 |A_0 B_1|^2 - \{\binom{(b)_1}{(d)_1}\}^2 (B_2 - B_1)|}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\gamma|^2 |A_0|^2 B_1^3}{|\gamma 2 \binom{(b)_1}{(d)_1} - \{\binom{(b)_1}{(d)_1}\}^2 |A_0 B_1|^2 - \{\binom{(b)_1}{(d)_1}\}^2 (B_2 - B_1)|} + \frac{|\gamma| |A_1| |B_1|}{2 \binom{(b)_2}{(d)_2}} + \frac{|\gamma| |A_0| |B_1|}{2 \binom{(b)_2}{(d)_2}}$$

Remark (2.5): For $b = d$ and $m = 0$, the Corollary (2.4) reduces to [9, Corollary 9, p.5].

Corollary (2.6): Let f be in the class $\mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}^1 \mathcal{D}^m(b, d, \gamma, \phi)$, and $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$. Then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\gamma| |A_0| |B_1| \sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{|\gamma 6 \binom{(b)_2}{(c)_2} - 4 \{\binom{(b)_1}{(c)_1}\}^2 |A_0 B_1|^2 - 4 \{\binom{(b)_1}{(c)_1}\}^2 (B_2 - B_1)|}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\gamma|^2 |A_0|^2 B_1^3}{|\gamma 6 \binom{(b)_1}{(c)_1} - 4 \{\binom{(b)_1}{(c)_1}\}^2 |A_0 B_1|^2 - 4 \{\binom{(b)_1}{(c)_1}\}^2 (B_2 - B_1)|} + \frac{|\gamma| |A_1| |B_1|}{6 \binom{(b)_2}{(c)_2}} + \frac{|\gamma| |A_0| |B_1|}{6 \binom{(b)_2}{(c)_2}}$$

Remark (2.7): For $b=d$ and $m = 0$, the Corollary (2.6) reduces to [9, Corollary 11, p.5].

Corollary (2.8): Let f be in the class $\mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma} \mathcal{D}^m(b, d, \lambda, \gamma, \phi)$, and $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\gamma| |A_0| |B_1| \sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{|\gamma 2(1+2\lambda)^{m+1} \binom{(b)_2}{(c)_2} - (1+\lambda)^{2(m+1)} \{\binom{(b)_1}{(c)_1}\}^2 |A_0 B_1|^2 - (1+\lambda)^{2(m+1)} \{\binom{(b)_1}{(c)_1}\}^2 (B_2 - B_1)|}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\gamma|^2 |A_0|^2 B_1^3}{|\gamma 2(1+2\lambda)^{m+1} \binom{(b)_1}{(c)_1} - (1+\lambda)^{2(m+1)} \{\binom{(b)_1}{(c)_1}\}^2 |A_0 B_1|^2 - (1+\lambda)^{2(m+1)} \{\binom{(b)_1}{(c)_1}\}^2 (B_2 - B_1)|} + \frac{|\gamma| |A_1| |B_1|}{2(1+2\lambda)^{m+1} \binom{(b)_2}{(c)_2}} + \frac{|\gamma| |A_0| |B_1|}{2(1+2\lambda)^{m+1} \binom{(b)_2}{(c)_2}}$$

Remark (2.9): By taking $b=d$ and $m = 0$, the above corollary gives to the result obtained in Corollary (13) in [9].

Corollary (2.10): If f be in the class $\mathcal{M}_{q,\sigma}^\lambda \mathcal{D}^m(b, d, \lambda, \gamma, \phi)$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\gamma| |A_0| |B_1| \sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{|\gamma 6(1+2\lambda)^{m+1} \binom{(b)_2}{(c)_2} - 4(1+\lambda)^{2(m+1)} \{\binom{(b)_1}{(c)_1}\}^2 |A_0 B_1|^2 - 4(1+\lambda)^{2(m+1)} \{\binom{(b)_1}{(c)_1}\}^2 (B_2 - B_1)|}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\gamma|^2 |A_0|^2 B_1^3}{|\gamma 6(1+2\lambda)^{m+1} \binom{(b)_1}{(c)_1} - 4(1+\lambda)^{2(m+1)} \{\binom{(b)_1}{(c)_1}\}^2 |A_0 B_1|^2 - 4(1+\lambda)^{2(m+1)} \{\binom{(b)_1}{(c)_1}\}^2 (B_2 - B_1)|} + \frac{|\gamma| |A_1| |B_1|}{6(1+2\lambda)^{m+1} \binom{(b)_2}{(c)_2}} + \frac{|\gamma| |A_0| |B_1|}{6(1+2\lambda)^{m+1} \binom{(b)_2}{(c)_2}}$$

Remark (2.11): If we set $b=d$ and $m =0$, the above corollary leads to get coefficient estimates $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ in the class $K_{q\sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \phi)$. [9, Corollary 14, p.5].

References

- [1] P. Duren, 1977, Subordination in complex analysis, Lecture Note in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin. Germany, 599, 22-29.
- [2] M. S. Robertson, 1970, Quasisubordination and coefficient conjectures, Bulletin of American Mathematical Society, 76. 1-9.
- [3] W. C. Ma and D. Minda, 1994, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, in Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis, Tianjin, 1992, vol. I of Lecture Notes for Analysis, International Press, Cambridge, Mass, USA, 157–169,
- [4] R. El-Ashwah and S. Kanas, 2015, Fekete-Szego inequalities for quasi-subordination functions classes of complex order, *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, **55**(3), 679–688.
- [5] V. Ravichandran, Y. Polatoglu, M. Bolcal, and A. Sen, 2005, Certain subclasses of starlike and convex functions of complex order, *Haceteppe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, **34**, 9–15.
- [6] M. H. Mohd and M. Darus, 2012, Fekete-Szego problems for quasi-subordination classes, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*. Art. ID 192956, 1-14.
- [7] C. Selvaraj and K. R. Karthikeyan, 2009, Differential subordination and superordination for analytic functions defined using a family of generalized differential operators, *Tomis Vniversitas Ovidiana*. **17**(1),201-210.
- [8] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra, and P. Gochhayat, 2010, Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, **23**(10), 1188–1192.
- [9] N. Magesh, V. K. Balaji and J. Yamini, 2016, Certain subclasses of bi-starlike and bi-convex functions based on quasi-subordination,” *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Article ID 3102960,1- 6.
- [10] S. P. Goyal and R. Kumar, 2015, Coefficient estimates and quasi- subordination properties associated with certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Mathematica Slovaca*, **65**(3), 533-544.
- [11] R. M. Ali, S. K. Lee, V. Ravichandran, and S. Supramaniam, 2012, Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent Ma-MINDa starlike and convex functions, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, **25**(3), 344–351.
- [12] E. Deniz, 2013, Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions satisfying subordinate conditions, *Journal of Classical Analysis*, **2**(1), 49-60.
- [13] C. Pommerenke, 1975, Univalent Functions, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Gottingen, Germany.