

Received : 23/1/2020

Accepted :18/2/2020

Some Properties on a Class of Analytic Functions Involving Generalized linear operator

Osamah N.Kassar^{a,*} and Abdul Rahman S.Juma^a

^{a,*}Department of Mathematics; University of Anbar; Ramadi; Iraq, Email(os1989ama@gmail.com)

^aDepartment of Mathematics; University of Anbar; Ramadi; Iraq, Email(dr_juma@hotmail.com)

ABSTRACT:

In this paper, we introduce generality the linear operator $\mathcal{O}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q),\zeta}^{s,a,\lambda}$ defined on the open unit disc $U = \{Z \in \mathbb{C} : |Z| < 1\}$. By using this linear operator $\mathcal{O}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q),\zeta}^{s,a,\lambda}$, we introduce a subclass of analytic functions $\mathfrak{S}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q),\zeta}^{s,a,\lambda}(\delta, d)$. Moreover, We obtain some geometric characterization like coefficient estimates, distortion and growth theorems closure theorems and integral operators, radii of close-to-convexity, convexity and starlikeness for functions in the class $\mathfrak{S}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q),\zeta}^{s,a,\lambda}(\delta, d)$.

KEYWORDS: Analytic functions, Close-to-convex functions, Linear operator, Integral operator

1. Introduction

let \mathbf{A} symbol to the class of analytic functions that from

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic and normalized in the open unit disc $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ in the complex plane. For functions $f \in \mathbf{A}$. Next we will provide generalized the linear operator drawn up and introduced by Srivastava and Gaboury [1] as follows :

$$\mathcal{O}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q),\zeta}^{s,a,\lambda}(f) : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A},$$

when characterized by

$$\mathcal{O}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q),\zeta}^{s,a,\lambda} f(z) = \zeta_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q),\zeta}^{s,a,\lambda}(z) * f(z) \quad (1.2)$$

Such that $\zeta_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q),\zeta}^{s,a,\lambda}(z)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q),\zeta}^{s,a,\lambda}(z) & := \frac{\lambda \prod_{j=1}^q (\mu_j) (1+a)^s \Gamma(s) \cdot \Lambda \left[1+a, \zeta, s, \lambda \right]^{-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^p (\lambda_j)} \\ & \cdot \left[\Phi_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p; \mu_1, \dots, \mu_q}^{(1, \dots, 1, 1, \dots, 1)} \left(z, s, a; \zeta, \lambda \right) - \frac{a^{-s}}{\lambda \Gamma(s)} \Lambda(a, \zeta, s, \lambda) \right] \\ & = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(a+1, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{a+1}{a+n} \right)^s \frac{z^n}{n!} \quad (1.3) \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$\Lambda(a, \zeta, s, \lambda) := H_{0,2}^{2,0} \left[\zeta^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} (n+a) \mid (s, 1), \left(0, \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \right]. \quad (1.4)$$

linking (1.2) and (1.3), we Obtain

2. Coefficient Inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{O}^{s,a,\lambda} \\ & (\lambda_p)(\mu_q)_\zeta \\ & := z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(1+a, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s a_n \frac{z^n}{n!}. \quad (1.5) \\ & (\lambda_j \in \mathbb{N} (j=1, \dots, p); \mu_j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} (j=1, \dots, q); z \in U; \\ & p \nmid q+1; \min\{\Re(a), \Re(s)\} > 0; \lambda > 0 \text{ when} \\ & \Re(\zeta) > 0 \text{ and } s \in \mathbb{N}; a \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \text{ when } \zeta = 0) \end{aligned}$$

for more details see [2]

Definition 1.1 : A function $f \in \mathbf{A}$ be given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathbf{T}^{s,a,\lambda}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)_\zeta}(\delta, d)$ if the following condition holds:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{d} \left[(1-\delta) \frac{\mathfrak{O}^{s,a,\lambda}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)_\zeta} f(z)}{z} + \delta \left(\mathfrak{O}^{s,a,\lambda}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)_\zeta} f(z) \right)' - 1 \right] \right\} > 0. \quad (1.6)$$

Or, equivalently:

$$\left| \frac{(1-\delta) \frac{\mathfrak{O}^{s,a,\lambda}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)_\zeta} f(z)}{z} + \delta \left(\mathfrak{O}^{s,a,\lambda}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)_\zeta} f(z) \right)' - 1}{(1-\delta) \frac{\mathfrak{O}^{s,a,\lambda}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)_\zeta} f(z)}{z} + \delta \left(\mathfrak{O}^{s,a,\lambda}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)_\zeta} f(z) \right)' - 1 + 2d} \right| < 1, \quad (1.7)$$

where $z \in U, \delta \geq 0, d \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$.

Some special cases of the above class can be found in [3],[4]

Let \mathbf{T} denote the subclass of \mathbf{A} consisting of function of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad a_n \geq 0 \quad (1.8)$$

Now we define the class $\mathfrak{S}^{s,a,\lambda}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)_\zeta}(\delta, d)$ by:

$$\mathfrak{S}^{s,a,\lambda}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)_\zeta}(\delta, d) = \mathbf{T}^{s,a,\lambda}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)_\zeta}(\delta, d) \cap \mathbf{T} \quad (1.9)$$

The class $\mathfrak{S}^{s,a,\lambda}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)_b}(\delta, d)$ is introduced and studied by Al-Hawary et al. [5], Darus and Faisal [6], and Amourah et al. [7,8,9].

In our present paper, we obtain some interesting geometric properties in the class $\mathfrak{S}^{s,a,\lambda}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)_\zeta}(\delta, d)$

Theorem 2.1. A function $f \in \mathbf{A}$ given by (1.1) is in the class $\mathfrak{S}^{s,a,\lambda}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)_\zeta}(\delta, d)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[1+\delta(n-1)] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(1+a, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s a_n}{n!} \leq |d|, \quad (2.1)$$

$$(\lambda_j \in \mathbb{N} (j=1, \dots, p); \mu_j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} (j=1, \dots, q); z \in U; p \nmid q+1;$$

$$\min\{\Re(a), \Re(s)\} > 0; \lambda > 0 \text{ when } \Re(\zeta) > 0 \text{ and } \zeta \in \mathbb{N}; a \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$$

when $\zeta = 0$)

Proof: Let $f \in \mathfrak{S}^{s,a,\lambda}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)_\zeta}(\delta, d)$. Then for $z \in U$ we have

$$\left| (1-\delta) \frac{\mathfrak{O}^{s,a,\lambda}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)_\zeta} f(z)}{z} + \delta \left(\mathfrak{O}^{s,a,\lambda}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)_\zeta} f(z) \right)' - 1 \right| - \left| (1-\delta) \frac{\mathfrak{O}^{s,a,\lambda}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)_\zeta} f(z)}{z} + \delta \left(\mathfrak{O}^{s,a,\lambda}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)_\zeta} f(z) \right)' - 1 + 2d \right| =$$

$$\left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[1+\delta(n-1)] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(1+a, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s a_n z^{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} - \left[2d - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[1+\delta(n-1)] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(1+a, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s a_n z^{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \right] \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[1+\delta(n-1)] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(1+a, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s a_n |z^{n-1}| - 2|d|$$

$$+ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[1+\delta(n-1)] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(1+a, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s a_n |z^{n-1}|}{(1.8)}$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[1+\delta(n-1)] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(1+a, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s a_n}{n!} - |d| \leq 0.$$

This implies

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[1+\delta(n-1)] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(1+a, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s a_n}{n!} \leq |d|,$$

Contrariwise, let inequality (2.1) is satisfied. Then

$$\left| \frac{(1-\delta) \frac{\phi^{s,a,\lambda}}{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)_\zeta} f(z)}{z} + \delta \left(\frac{\phi^{s,a,\lambda}}{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)_\zeta} f(z) \right)' - 1}{(1-\delta) \frac{\phi^{s,a,\lambda}}{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)_\zeta} f(z)} + \delta \left(\frac{\phi^{s,a,\lambda}}{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)_\zeta} f(z) \right)' - 1 + 2d} \right| < 1.$$

This Completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.2. If f in $\mathfrak{F}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)_\zeta}^{s,a,\lambda}(\delta, d)$ is given by (1.1), then

$$a_n \leq \frac{|d|}{[1+\delta(n-1)] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (\lambda_j+1)_{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (\mu_j+1)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(a+1, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s}, n \geq 2.$$

3. Distortion and Growth Theorems

we give distortion and growth bounds for the functions f belonging to the class $\mathfrak{F}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)_\zeta}^{s,a,\lambda}(\delta, d)$ is contained in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Let $f \in \mathfrak{F}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)_\zeta}^{s,a,\lambda}(\delta, d)$ which is defined by (1.8). Then $|z| = r < 1$, we have for

$$\begin{aligned} r - \frac{|d|}{[1+\delta] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(a+1, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s} &\leq |f(z)| \\ &\leq r + \frac{|d|}{[1+\delta] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(a+1, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s} r^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{2|d|}{[1+\delta] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(a+1, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s} &\leq |f'(z)| \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{2|d|}{[1+\delta] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(a+1, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s} r. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Since $f \in \mathfrak{F}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)_\zeta}^{s,a,\lambda}(\delta, d)$, from Theorem 2.1

we can write

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq \frac{|d|}{[1+\delta(n-1)] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (\lambda_j+1)_{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (\mu_j+1)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(a+1, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s}. \quad (3.1)$$

Thus, for $|z| = r < 1$, and making use of (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\leq |z| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n |z|^n \leq r + r^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \\ &\leq r + \frac{|d|}{[1+\delta] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(a+1, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s} r^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\geq |z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n |z|^n \geq r - r^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \\ &\geq r - \frac{|d|}{[1+\delta] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(a+1, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s} r^2. \end{aligned}$$

As well from Theorem 2.1, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} [1+\delta] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(a+1, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n \leq \\ &\leq \frac{|d|}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n \leq |d|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |f'(z)| &\leq 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n |z|^n \leq 1 + r \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n \leq \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{2|d|}{[1+\delta] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(a+1, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s} r. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left| f'(z) \right| \geq 1 - \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n |z^n|}{2|d|} \geq 1 - r \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n}{2|d|} \geq$$

$$1 - \frac{p}{[1+\delta]} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(1+a, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s}{\prod_{j=1}^{q-1} (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} r.$$

Then, the proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete

4. Closure Theorems

Let the functions $g_i(z)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, I$ be defined by

$$g_i(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,i} z^n, \quad a_{n,i} \geq 0 \quad (4.1)$$

for z in U .

Closure theorems for the class $\mathfrak{F}_{(\lambda_p), (\mu_q), \zeta}^{s, a, \lambda}$ are given by the following

Theorem 4.1. Let the functions $g_i(z)$ which is defined by (4.1) be in the class $\mathfrak{F}_{(\lambda_p), (\mu_q), \zeta}^{s, a, \lambda}(\delta, d)$

$(\lambda_j \in \square (j=1, \dots, p); \mu_j \in \square \square \bar{0} (j=1, \dots, q); i = 1, 2, \dots, I; z \in U; p \dagger q+1; \min\{\Re(a), \Re(s)\} > 0; \lambda > 0$ when $\Re(\zeta) > 0$ and $s \in \square$; $a \in \square \square \bar{0}$ when $\zeta = 0$). Then the function $E(z)$ defined by

$$E(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} q_n z^n, \quad q_n \geq 0 \quad (4.2)$$

is a member of the class $g_i(z)$ in $\mathfrak{F}_{(\lambda_p), (\mu_q), \zeta}^{s, a, \lambda}(\delta, d)$, where

$$q_n = \frac{1}{I} \sum_{i=1}^I a_{n,i} \quad (n \geq 2)$$

Proof: Since $g_i(z) \in \mathfrak{F}_{(\lambda_p), (\mu_q), \zeta}^{s, a, \lambda}(\delta, d)$ it follows from

Theorem 2.1 that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1+\delta(n-1)] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(1+a, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s}{\prod_{j=1}^{q-1} (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} a_{n,i} \leq |d|$$

for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, I$. Hence

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1+\delta(n-1)] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(1+a, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s}{\prod_{j=1}^{q-1} (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} q_n$$

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1+\delta(n-1)] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(1+a, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s}{\prod_{j=1}^{q-1} (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \left\{ \frac{1}{I} \sum_{i=1}^I a_{n,i} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{I} \sum_{i=1}^I \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1+\delta(n-1)] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(1+a, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s}{\prod_{j=1}^{q-1} (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} a_{n,i} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{I} \sum_{i=1}^I |d| = |d|, \text{ which implies that } E(z) \in \mathfrak{F}_{(\lambda_p), (\mu_q), \zeta}^{s, a, \lambda}(\delta, d).$$

Theorem 4.2. The class $\mathfrak{F}_{(\lambda_p), (\mu_q), \zeta}^{s, a, \lambda}(\delta, d)$ is closed under

convex linear combination, where

$$(\lambda_j \in \square (j=1, \dots, p); \mu_j \in \square \square \bar{0} (j=1, \dots, q); z \in U; p \dagger q+1; \min\{\Re(a), \Re(s)\} > 0; \lambda > 0 \text{ when } \Re(\zeta) > 0 \text{ and } s \in \square; a \in \square \square \bar{0} \text{ when } \zeta = 0).$$

Proof: Suppose that the functions $g_i(z)$ ($i = 1, 2$) defined by (4.1) are in the class $\mathfrak{F}_{(\lambda_p), (\mu_q), \zeta}^{s, a, \lambda}(\delta, d)$, it is

suffices to prove that the function

$$K(z) = \varphi g_1(z) + (1-\varphi) g_2(z), \quad (0 \leq \varphi \leq 1) \quad (4.3)$$

is also in the class $\mathfrak{F}_{(\lambda_p), (\mu_q), \zeta}^{s, a, \lambda}(\delta, d)$.

Since, for $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$

$$K(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \{ \varphi a_{n,1} + (1-\varphi) a_{n,2} \} z^n,$$

we observe that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1+\delta(n-1)] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(1+a, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q-1} (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \cdot \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s \{ \varphi a_{n,1} + (1-\varphi) a_{n,2} \}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \varphi \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1+\delta(n-1)] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(1+a, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s a_{n,1} \\
&+ (1-\varphi) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1+\delta(n-1)] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(1+a, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s a_{n,2} \\
&\leq \varphi |d| + (1-\varphi) |d| = |d|.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $K(z) \in \mathfrak{F}_{(\lambda_p), (\mu_q), \zeta}^{s, a, \lambda}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.2

5. Integral Operators

In this part, we review integral transforms of functions in the class $\mathfrak{F}_{(\lambda_p), (\mu_q), \zeta}^{s, a, \lambda}(\delta, d)$

Theorem 5.1. If the function f defined by (1.6) is in the class $\mathfrak{F}_{(\lambda_p), (\mu_q), \zeta}^{s, a, \lambda}(\delta, d)$ Where

$$(\lambda_j \in \mathbb{R} (j=1, \dots, p); \mu_j \in \mathbb{R} (j=1, \dots, q); z \in U; p \neq q+1;$$

$$\min\{\Re(a), \Re(s)\} > 0; \lambda > 0 \text{ when } \Re(\zeta) > 0 \text{ and } s \in \mathbb{R}; a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \bar{0}$$

when $\zeta=0$).

defined by

$$F(z) = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt, \quad (c > -1) \quad (5.1)$$

also belongs to the class $\mathfrak{F}_{(\lambda_p), (\mu_q), \zeta}^{s, a, \lambda}(\delta, d)$.

Proof: from (5.1), it follows that

$$F(z) = z^{-c} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} Q_n z^n, \text{ where } Q_n = \left(\frac{c+1}{c+n} \right) a_n.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1+\delta(n-1)] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(1+a, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s Q_n \\
&= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1+\delta(n-1)] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(1+a, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s \left(\frac{c+1}{c+n} \right) a_n \\
&\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1+\delta(n-1)] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(1+a, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s a_n \leq |d|,
\end{aligned}$$

since $f(z) \in \mathfrak{F}_{(\lambda_p), (\mu_q), \zeta}^{s, a, \lambda}(\delta, d)$. Hence by Theorem 2.1,

$$F(z) \in \mathfrak{F}_{(\lambda_p), (\mu_q), \zeta}^{s, a, \lambda}(\delta, d)$$

6. Radii of Close-to-Convexity, Starlikeness and Convexity

A function $f \in \mathbf{A}$ is said to be close-to-convex of order η if it satisfies

$$\Re\{f'(z)\} > \eta, \quad (6.1)$$

for some η ($0 \leq \eta \leq 1$) and for all $z \in U$. Also a function $f \in \mathbf{A}$ is said to be starlike of order η if it satisfies

$$\Re\left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \eta, \quad (6.2)$$

for some ($0 \leq \eta \leq 1$) and for all $z \in U$. Further, a

function $f \in \mathbf{A}$ is said to be convex of order η , if and

only if $z f'(z)$ is starlike of order η , that is if

$$\Re\left\{ 1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \eta, \quad (6.3)$$

for every η ($0 \leq \eta \leq 1$) and for all z in U .

Theorem 6.1. The function f belong to be the class $\mathfrak{F}_{(\lambda_p), (\mu_q), \zeta}^{s, a, \lambda}(\delta, d)$ is close-to-convex of order η in $|z| < h_1(\mu, \delta, d, \eta)$, where

$$h_1(\mu, \delta, d, \eta) = \inf_n \left\{ \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(1+a, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$$

Proof: It is sufficient to show that

$$|f'(z) - 1| < \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n |z|^{n-1} \leq 1 - \eta \quad (6.4)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1+\delta(n-1)] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(1+a, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s a_n \leq |d|.$$

Observe that (6.4) is true if

$$\frac{n |z|^{n-1}}{1-\eta} \leq \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1}}{[1+\delta(n-1)] \prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(1+a, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s. \quad (6.5)$$

Solving (6.5) for $|z|$, we get

$$|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{(1-\eta)[1+\delta(n-1)] \prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(a+1, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1} n|d|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \quad (n \geq 2).$$

Theorem 6.2. If f belong to be the class $\mathfrak{S}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)\zeta}^{s,a,\lambda}(\delta, d)$, then $f(z)$ is starlike of order η in $|z| < h_2$ where

$$h_2(\mu, \delta, d, \eta) = \inf_n \left\{ \frac{(1-\eta)[1+\delta(n-1)] \prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(a+1, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s}{(n-\eta)|d|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$$

Proof: We must show that $\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 - \eta$ for $|z| < h_2(\mu, \delta, b, \eta)$ since

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)a_n |z|^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k |z|^{k-1}}$$

If

$$\frac{(n-\eta)|z|^{n-1}}{1-\eta} \leq \frac{(1+\delta(n-1)) \prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(a+1, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s}{\prod_{j=1}^q (1+\mu_j)_{n-1} |d|},$$

$f(z)$ is starlike of order η

Corollary 5.3. If f belong to be the class $\mathfrak{S}_{(\lambda_p)(\mu_q)\zeta}^{s,a,\lambda}(\delta, d)$.

Then f is convex of order η in $|z| < h_4(\mu, \delta, d, \eta)$, where

$$h_4(\mu, \delta, d, \eta) = \inf_n \left\{ \frac{(1-\eta)[1+\delta(n-1)] \prod_{j=1}^p (1+\lambda_j)_{n-1} \left(\frac{\Lambda(a+n, \zeta, s, \lambda)}{\Lambda(a+1, \zeta, s, \lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{1+a}{a+n} \right)^s}{n(n-\eta)|d|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$$

References

- [1] H. M. Srivastava and S. Gaboury, A new class of analytic functions defined by means of a generalization of the Srivastava-Attiya operator, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2015 (2015), Article ID 39, 1–15.
- [2] H. M. Srivastava, Abdul Rahman S. Juma, Hanaa M, Univalence conditions for an integral operator defined by a generalization of the Srivastava-Attiya operator, *Filomat* 32:6 (2018), 2101–2114
- [3] A.A. Amourah and FerasYousef, Some Properties of a Class of Analytic Functions Involving a New Generalized Differential Operator, *Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matematica* 38(6):33-42 · January 2020, Article ID 10.5269/bspm.v38i6.40530
- [4] M. Darus and I. Faisal, Problems and properties of a new differential operator, *Journal of Quality Measurement and Analysis* JQMA 7. 1 (2011), 41-51.
- [5] Tariq Al-Hawary, A. Amourah, FerasYousef and M. Darus, A certain fractional derivative operator and new class of analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Int. Inf. Inst. (Tokyo). Information*, 18(11) (2015), 4433-4442.
- [6] M. Darus and I. Faisal, Problems and properties of a new differential operator, *Journal of Quality Measurement and Analysis* JQMA 7. 1 (2011), 41-51
- [7] A. Amourah, Feras Yousef, Tariq Al-Hawary and M. Darus, A certain fractional derivative operator for p-valent functions and new class of analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 99.1 (2016), 75-87.
- [8] A. Amourah, Feras Yousef, Tariq Al-Hawary and M. Darus, On a class of p-valent non-Bazilevic functions of order $\mu + i\beta$, *Int. J. Math. Analysis*, 15.10 (2016), 701-710.

- [9] A. Amourah, Feras Yousef, Tariq Al-Hawary and M. Darus, On $H_3(p)$ Hankel determinant for certain subclass of p -valent functions, *Italian J. Pure and App. Math.*, 37 (2017), 611-618