# Prevalence and distribution of gingival recession and root caries in a group of dental patients in Ramadi city, Iraq

Raad S. Al- Ani B.D.S., H.D.D., M.Sc., PhD (1) Ahmed M. Abdul-Razzak B.D.S., M.Sc. (2)

## ABSTRACT

Background: With respect to increase in the rate of gingival recession in the adults and elderly people which is considered as a risk factor for root caries ,the prevalence and attack rate of root caries may differ This study determine the prevalence of both alterations among a group under study.

Subjects and methods: Three hundred and thirty six subjects ranging in age from 20 - 49 years divided into three age groups of both genders (176 males and 160 females). Four surfaces were examined in each tooth. Gingival recession was regarded as present when ever more than 1mm of root surface was exposed and its vertical width was measured in millimeters from the cemento-enamal junction to the gingival margin in addition to the presence of caries on the exposed surface.

Results: Ginglval recession was observed in 52.4 % of the total sample (52.8 % in males and 51.9 % in females) and at least in one dental surface in the affected teeth. The prevalence was found to increase with increasing age. First molar teeth exhibit more surfaces with gingival recession. The average value was (21.6 %). 18.5 % of the sample have root caries. It increases as age and recession increase, it affects males (19.3 %) more than females (17.5 %) and first molar teeth (32.3) was mostly affected.

Conclusion: The high prevalence of gingival recession and root caries demonstrate attention must be provided by dentist and people themselves, preventive measures must be conducted among population to control their increase. Key word: Gingival recession, root caries, epidemiology(J Bagh Coll Dentistry 2009; 21(1): 84-87)

#### INTRODUCTION

Gingival recession is an undesirable condition resulting in exposure of root surfaces of teeth on which the gingival margin is located apical to cemento – enamel junction (1). It affects aesthetic and leads to cervical hypersensitivity and considered as a risk factor of root caries because of the exposure of the root surface to the oral environment (2). The occurrence of gingival recession associated with effects of several factors (3), include dental plaque (4), calculus (5), Mechanical trauma by hard bristled toothbrush (6), and its technique (7), frequency of tooth brushing (8) Orthodontic treatment and trauma from removable partial denture (9), and chemical trauma related smoking (10), also associated with periodontal attachment loss (11), with abnormal tooth position and with inflammation of gingival margin (12).

Regarding root caries, studies showed that it is located adjacent to the crest of gingiva where dental plaque accumulated on the proximal and buccal surfaces, its location was positively associated with age and gingival recession affected by dietary habits and decreased salivary flow (13)

Regarding prevalence, in Brazil (2) reported that gingival recession in USA in middle age individuals affected 22-53 % of the teeth, in

the gingival margin in the affected teeth, three

categories were established according to the

Norway, it affects 51% of 18 years adult, and in New Guinia, 11–40% of the adults present this alteration, as in Finland reported 68%. Studies indicate that maxillary canine, premolars, first molars and mandibular central incisors are the most affected teeth (5). Regarding root caries, (2) reported that 98.9% had root caries and gingival recession and 78.1% had at least one root caries lesion and maxillary canine, first premolars and mandibular molars presented the greatest root caries index and was greater in buccal and proximal surfaces. Hellyer etal <sup>(14)</sup> reported 88.4% in 55 years MacEtee etal <sup>(15)</sup> reported 36–67%. while Imazto etal (13) concluded that 39% had one or more decayed roots and 53.3% had one decayed root lesion and canine teeth were more frequently affected followed by first premolars, they found that 56.9% of males had one or more root caries and 53.3% in females and concluded that canine most commonly affected by root caries was canine in maxilla and premolar in mandible. In addition they found that 17.5% of the exposed surface in males affected by root caries and 11.5% in females.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS Three hundred and thirty six subjects ranging in age from 20-49 years dividing into three age groups (20-29, 30-39 and 40-49 years) of both genders (176 males and 160 females) were examined. Four surfaces were examined in each tooth: mesial, distal, buccal and lingual or palatal. Measurement of the gingival recession was obtained from the cemento—enamel junction up to

<sup>(1)</sup>Lecturer, Preventive, Orthodontic and Pedodontic department, Al-Anbar dental college

<sup>(2)</sup> Assistant lecturer, periodontal department, Al-Anbar dental college,

apicocoronal dimension of the root surface exposed, this was done according to criteria suggested by Miller (16):

- 1- Small recession: less than 3mm of root surface exposed.
- 2-Moderate recession: 3 to 4 mm of root surface exposed.
- 3-Advanced recession: more than 4mm of root surface exposed to the dental environment.

Measurement of root caries was done using root caries index (RCI)

$$RCI = \frac{(R - D) + (R - F) \times 100}{(R - D) + (R + F) + (R - N)}$$

R - N= Recession present (root surface normal or sound)

R - D= Recession present (with a decayed root surface)

R - F=Recession present (with a filled root surface)

Measurement was done according to age group and gender. The association between root caries and gingival recession was evaluated using logistic regression (LR).

#### RESULTS

Table 1 presented the number and percentage of subjects with gingival recession and root caries with the value of root caries index (RCI) measured according to age groups and gender. It shows that 52.8% of males affected by gingival recession in comparison with that of female 51.9, the age group 40-49 years old constitute the mostly affected group (76.5% of males and 71.7% of females). The difference was statically not significance. Regarding root caries, 19.3% of males who have gingival recession was affected, where it is 17.5% in females in the total sample, the difference was statically not significance; in addition, RCT in males (29.1) was more than that of females (27.7). This table demonstrated that both gingival recession and root caries are increased with increasing age but not significant.

Table 2 shows the distribution of subjects with gingival recession according to depth (in millimeter), age group and gender. It shows that 37.5% of the 20-29 years old have gingival recession of 3–4mm in depth which constitute the highest percentage among this age group and it was the same among all other age group. According to gender, males have the highest percentage of gingival recession of the 3–4 mm depth which constitutes 39.8 in comparison with that of female (39.1). The difference was highly significant ( F = 53.21, P = 0.000).

Table 1: Number and percentage of subjects with gingival recession (GR) and root caries (RC) according to age group and gender with the value of root caries index (RCI)

Age group and gender \*

Age	_	- 29	_		40 – 49		Total		All
Gende r	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	
Sampl e	60	68	54	57	46	51	160	176	336
With GR	22	26	28	30	33	37	83	93	176
% GR	36. 7	38. 2	51. 9	52. 6	71. 7	76. 5	51. 9	52. 8	52. 4
With RC	6	8	10	12	12	14	28	34	62
% RC	10. 1	11. 8	18. 5	21. 1	26. 1	27. 5	17. 5	19. 3	18. 5
RCI	13. 6	10. 0	27. 8	30. 8	48. 0	50. 0	27. 7	29. 1	27. 9

\* Not significant according to age group \*\* Association between GR and RC was highly significant (F = 62. 59, P = 0.001) \*\*\* According to gender not significant

Table 3 demonstrated the number and percentage of surfaces affected by gingival recession and root caries according to age group It shows that buccal surface was the highest surface affected by gingival recession which constitute 33.8 % followed by proximal surfaces (23.0 % in mesial and 22.2 % in distal surfaces).

Table 2: Number and percentage of subject with gingival recession according to age

groups, gender and depth in mm									
Age group	Gender	Number	Less than 3mm No. %		3 – 4 mm		4mm & more* No. %		
			110.	70	110.	70	110.	, , 0	
20- 29	M	26	11	42.3	10	38.5	5	19.2	
20- 29	F	22	10	45.5	8	36.4	4	18.2	
	T	48	21	43.8	18	37.5	9	8.8	
	M	30	7	23.3	13	43.3	8	26.7	
30- 39	F	28	8	28.6	10	35.7	7 2	25.0	
	T	58	15	25.9	23	39.7	15	25.9	
40- 49	M	39	3	8.1	14	37.9	12	32.4	
40-49	F	33	3	9.1	12	36.4	10	30.3	
	T	70	6	8.6	26	37.1	22	31.4	
	M	93	21	22.6	37	39.8	25	26.9	
All	F	83	21	25.3	30	36.1	21	25.3	
	T	176	42	23.9	67	38.1	46	26.1	

F = 53.21, P = 0.000, highly significant according to depth.

Regarding root caries, mesial and distal surface found to be more affected (34.8% for mesial and 30.4% for distal surfaces) followed by buccal surfaces which constitute 28.3%. Lingual

surfaces are least affected. The difference was statically significance (F = 4.79, P 0.05).

Table 4 demonstrated the number and percentage of teeth affected by gingival recession and root caries according to age group. It shows that first and second molar teeth was the mostly affected by gingival recession among all teeth 21.6 % for first molar , 19.3% for second molar followed by 17.6% for canine and 15.3% for central incisors. Regarding root caries, first and second permanent molar constitute the highest percentages which affected by root caries (32.3 and 24 .2 respectively) followed by first premolar (16.1%) and canine (14.5%) whereas the lateral incisor was the least tooth to be affected by root caries (3.2 %). Regression analysis showed highly significant association between gingival recession and root caries (F = 62.59, P = 0.001).

Table 3: Number and percentage of surfaces affected by gingival recession (GR) and root caries (RC) according to age group and surfaces

surfaces									
Age group	Buccal No. %	Gingival No. %	Mesial No. %	Distal No. %	Total No. %				
20- 29 GR RC	65 32.5 7 29.2	20 20 1 4.2	49 24 9 37.5	46 23 7 29	200 28 24 17				
30 - 39 GR RC	73 32.9 14 28.0	49 22.1 3 6.0	51 23 17 34	49 22 16 32	222 31 50 36				
40 - 49 GR RC	103 5.5 18 8.1	60 22.1 5 7.8	64 22 22 34	63 21 19 29.7	290 41 64 46				
Total GR RC	241 3.8 39 28.3	149 21 9 6.5	164 23 48 35	158 22 42 30	712 100 138 100				

\* According to surfaces not significant \*\* According to age group not significant X2 = 10.01, F = 6, P = 0.12 Not significant

### **DISCUSSION**

The current study demonstrates levels of gingival recession among a group of people lived in Ramady city to the west of Iraq, dental health services is available there throw several of health centers with good equipment and dental materials in addition to good number of dentist but people still suffer from oral and dental diseases. This study was conducted to determine the prevalence of gingival recession and root caries among a group of 20–49 years old dentally attendance people which considered part of parameters used to evaluate gingival health condition. The main

findings of the currents study were that 52.4% of the total samples have gingival recession, this was in agreement with that found by Albander and Kingman <sup>(5)</sup> and this problem was affected young adult of 20–29 years old.

Table 4: Number and percentage of teeth affected by gingival recession (GR) and Root caries (RC) according to age group

Age group		20 - 29 GR RC		30-39 GR RC		40-49 GR RC		Total	
Central incisor	7 2	14.6 14.3	-	15.5 13.6	11 3	15.7 11.5		15.3 12.9	
Lateral incisor	2	4.2 0.0	-	6.9 4.5	6 1	8.6 3.8	12 2	6.8 3.2	
Canine	9 0	18.8 0.0	10 2	17 9.1	12 2	17.1 7.7	31 4	17.6 14.5	
First premolar	6 2	12.5 14.3	7 3	12.1 13.6	-	12.9 19.2	22 10	6.8 16.1	
Second premolar	3	6.3 7.1	4 1	6.9 4.5	5 1	7.1 3.8	12 3	6.8 4.8	
First molar	11 5	23 33	13 7	22 31.8	14 8	20 31	38 20	21.6 32.3	
Second molar	10 4	21 28.6	11 5	19 22.7	13 6	18.9 23.1	34 15	19.3 24.2	
Total	48 14	27 2.6	58 22		70 26	39.7 41.9	176 62	100.0 100.0	

This percentage indicated that this alteration could occur in people with good oral hygiene and in those with bad oral hygiene. Those of good oral hygiene have brushed away gingival tissue to have 0.5 mm or more exposed cementum on the buccal surfaces of one or more teeth. Many concluded that traumatic mechanical tooth brushing was considered a factor in the etiology of gingival recession  $^{(6,9)}$ , while those with poor oral hygiene, periodontitis play a role in its occurrence and the loss of attachment which was the result of localized Inflammatory process (17). When compared the result of this study with other, it was found to be in agreement with that found by Kallestal etal (18). According to age, results of this study indicated that prevalence of gingival recession was increased with increasing age; this was in agreement with that found by Kallestal etal (18) and Pimenta etal (19). This was due to the longer period of exposure to the factor which cause gingival recession <sup>(6)</sup>, also due to cumulative affect of the lesion itself <sup>(3)</sup>, as concluded by many studies that the prevalence of gingival recession was depend on the type of agent or the cause (2). Data of this study showed that molar teeth display the highest frequency of gingival recession due to aggressive periodontal disease and pocket formation when provide the accumulation of food debris and dental plaque and cause bone destruction, lead to root surface exposure in addition to incorrect traumatic tooth brushing. These surfaces with gingival recession are less favorable to self cleansing, lead to formation of root caries. This indicated that interproximal and buccal surfaces are the most frequent site affected by gingival recession and this was in agreement with that found by Albander and Kingman <sup>(5)</sup>, Marlivia and Watanabe <sup>(2)</sup>, Pimenta et al <sup>(19)</sup>.

Regarding root caries, this study found that 18.5 % of the subjects affected by root caries. The Root Cries Index proposed by Katz (20) compete a true attack rate for root caries. Results of this study found that root caries prevalence and root caries index was lower than that found by MacEntee etal <sup>(15)</sup> and Imazato etal <sup>(13)</sup>. This was due to variation in the sample, the country where the study was conducted, their habits and environments. The prevalence of root caries was found to be increased with increasing age of the people and results of this study was in agreement with that found by Katz etal (20), regarding prevalence of root caries, while in case of Root caries index, results of this study was in agreement with that found by MacEntee etal (15), and Imazato etal (13). Root caries was seen most frequently on roots of molar teeth and this was in agreement with that found by Imazato etal (13). Exposed root caries will develop root caries and the increase in prevalence of root caries in the manifestation of gingival recession. increasing prevalence of root caries with increasing age is an indicator of increased root exposure to the oral environment. This study concluded that these alterations could increase among population so it is important to conduct oral dental health care. Programs including dental health education and periodontal health care in addition to fluoride preventive measures to control this increase.

#### REFRENCES

- 1. Gupta B, Marya CM, Juneja V, Dahiya V. Root caries: An aging problem. Int J Dent Science 2007; 5 (1).
- Marlivia, Watanabe. Root caries prevalence in a group of Brazilian adult dental patients. Braz Dent J 2003; 14
- 3. Serino G, Wennstrom JL, Eneroth L. The prevalence and distribution of gingival recession in subjects with a high standard of oral hygiene. J Clin periodontol 1994; 21 (1): 57 63.
- Ericsson I, Lindhe J. Recession in sites with inadequate width of the keratinized gingiva. An experimental study in the dog. J Clin Periodontol 1984; 11 (2): 95– 103
- 5. Albandar JM, Kingman A. Gingival recession, gingival bleeding and dental calculus in adults 30 years of age

- and older in the United States 1988–1994. National Institute of dental and craniofacial research, National Institute of health. J.
- Khocht A, Simon G, Person P, Denepitiya J. Gingival recession in relation to history of hard tooth brush use. J Periodontal 1993; 74 (9): 900–5.
- Joshipura KJ, Kent RL, Depaola PF. Gingival recession: intra-oral distribution and associated factors. J Periodontal 1994; 65 (9): 864-71
- 8. Vehkalahti M. Occurrence of gingival recession in adults. J Periodontol 1989; 60: 599.
- 9. Checchi, L, Daprile, G, Gatto, MRA, Pelliccioni A. Gingival recession and tooth brushing in an Italian school of Dentistry: a pilot study. J Clin Periodontol 1999; 26 (5): 276–80.
- Martinez-Canut P, Lorca A, Magan R. Smoking and periodontal disease severity. J Clin Periodontol 1995; 22 (10):734-49.
- 11. Beck JD, Kock GG. Characteristics of older adults experiencing periodontal attachment loss as gingival recession or probing depth. J Periodontal Res 1994; 29 (4): 290–8.
- 12. Ainamo J, Paloheimo L, Nordblad A, Murtomaa H. Gingival recession in school children at 7, 12 and 17 years of age in Espoo, Finland. Community Dentoral Epidemiol 1986; 14: 283–6
- 13. Imazato S, ikebe K, Nokubi T, Ebisu S, Walls AWG. Prevalence of root caries in a selected population of older adult in Japan. J Oral Rehab 2006; 33 (2): 137–43.
- 14. Hellyer, Beighton et al. Root caries in older people attending a general dental practice in East Sussex. Brit Dent J 1990; 169 (7): 201–6.
- 15. MacEntee Clark DC, Glick N. Predictors of caries in old age. Gerodontology 1993; 10: 90–7.
- Miller JRPD. A classification of marginal tissue recession. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent 1985; 5 (2): 8–13
- 17. Marini MG, Greghi SLA, Passane E, Passane AC, Ana S. Gingival recession: prevalence, extension and severity in adult. J Appl Oral Sci 2004; 12 (3).
- Kallestal C, Matsson L, Holm AK. Periodontal conditions in a group of Swedish adolescents (1): a descriptive epidemiologic study. J Periodontol 1990; 17: 601–8.
- 19. Pimenta LA, Ritter A, Beck J. Predictors of root caries in older adults in US, 2008. (Medline abst.).
- Katz RV, Newitter DA, Clive JM. Root caries prevalence in adult dental patients. J Dent Res 1985; 64: 293.